Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

| 1 | Economic Analysis of Combined Concrete Bed Energy Storage |
|---|---|
| 2 | and Solar Collector System |
| 3 | Adeyanju A.A. ¹ |
| 4 | ¹ Ekiti State University |
| 5 | Received: 7 December 2012 Accepted: 31 December 2012 Published: 15 January 2013 |

7 Abstract

17

Energy economics is a specialized field used to make decisions on energy purchases, selection 8 of competing energy generation technologies, and financing of energy technologies. This study 9 carried out the economic analysis of combined packed bed energy storage and solar collector 10 system by using the design and operational parameters such as concrete bed size, cylindrical 11 cross sectional area, concrete size, air flow rate and void fraction. This was accomplished by 12 investigating the effects of the above parameters on the total energy stored and the blower 13 cost together with daily storage system cost per unit energy stored in the concrete bed for the 14 winter climatic conditions of Trinidad. Spherical shaped concrete of three different sizes were 15 used in this analysis over varying air flow rate. 16

- 18 Index terms— economic analysis, concrete, packed-bed, storage system, solar collector.
- Introduction nergy economics is a specialized field used to make decisions on energy purchases, selection of competing energy generation technologies, and financing of energy technologies. A thorough study of this subject is beyond the scope of this research, but every engineer should have a basic understanding of energy economics in order to bridge the gap between engineering decision analysis and economic decision analysis. The most efficient energy conversion technology may not be the most cost effective.

Any economic-based decision on energy or energy technology will include some type of analysis involving capital and recurring costs. The scope of the Author : Mechanical Engineering Department, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. e-mail: anthonyademolaadeyanju@yahoo.co.uk analysis can vary significantly. The particular choice of analysis will depend on the desired basis for comparison. Typically, these various analysis methods are subsets of three general methods [1]:

Determine largest possible savings in energy costs for a fixed budget; 2. Determine the minimum budget
 required to achieve a specified reduction in energy costs or utilization; and 3. Determine return-on-investment
 for an alternative energy system.

The type of analysis chosen has much to do with type of energy project being considered. For instance, a short-32 lived project will not be affected by the future value of money, but a project which is expected to take decades 33 will certainly be affected by future costs. The cost effectiveness of the short-lived project may be accomplished 34 using a simple payback method. The long-lived project may be better assessed through a life cycle analysis 35 (LCA). Simple Payback Method determines the time period to recover capital costs. Typical considerations are 36 37 [2] Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) may account for all costs including indirect costs paid by society but not reflected 38 as cash flow. An example would be health and environmental costs associated with pollution due to electric 39 power generation from coal; a cost not directly paid by the power generating utility. The difficulty with life cycle analysis is that many of the costs are in the future and can only be estimated with some unknown uncertainty. 40 New technologies may also result in unanticipated obsolescence that, in hindsight, will turn a 'cost effective' 41 decision into an investment loss. 42

For the purposes here, Life Cycle Analysis encompasses many variations. All of the economic evaluation analysis methods are attempting to do two things. The first is to manipulate costs and savings in time to some common basis. The second is to assess these costs against some comparative objective; i.e., (i) which energy

3 METHODOLOGY A) THEORETICAL ANALYSES OF THE COMBINED PACKED BED

46 system has the lowest total expense, (ii) which system maximized return on investment, (iii) which system will 47 maximize savings in energy costs. Some common evaluation methods [3]

⁴⁸ 1 Net Present Value (NPV): (also known as Net

49 Benefits, Net Present Worth, Net Savings Methods) determines the difference between benefits and expenses with

everything discounted to present value. NPV is used for determining long-term profitability.
 Benefit-to-Cost
 Ratio (BCR): (also known as Savings-to-Investment Ratio) is similar to NPV, but utilizes a ratio instead of

a difference. Benefits usually imply savings in energy cost. What to include in the numerator (benefits) and denominator (costs) varies and care should be taken when assessing a reported benefit-to-cost ratio. This method

is often used when setting priorities amongst competing projects with a limited budget.

Projects with the largest ratio get the highest priority. 5. Overall Rate-of-Return (ORR): determines the discount rate for which savings in energy costs are equal to total expenditures. This is equivalent to determining the discount rate that results in a zero NPV. Previous methods require a specifying a discount rate; this method solves for the discount rate. This method enables cash flow to be expressed in terms of the future value at the

end of the analysis period. 6. Discounted Payback Method (DPM): determines the time period required to offset
 the initial investment (capital cost) by energy savings or benefits.

Unlike the simple payback method, the time value of money is considered. DPM is often used when the useful life of the project or technology is not known.

The performance of the concrete bed storage system is influenced by various design and operational parameters such as size and configuration of the concrete, size of bed, air mass flow rate,void fraction within the bed, thermal and physical properties of concrete, and inlet temperature of air.

For efficient applications, many scientists have studied the performance and approximate designing methods 66 of packed bed energy storage system. Clark and Beasley [4] have developed one and two dimensional numerical 67 models for the dynamic response of both fluid and solid temperatures in a packed bed and have studied the effects 68 of void fraction, flow distribution, wall heat capacity, and wall energy losses on the dynamic response of the packed 69 bed subjected to an arbitrary time dependent inlet and initial temperatures. Clark and Nabozny [5] also developed 70 a computer program for formulating the dynamic response and thermal storage capacity of a packed bed storage 71 unit for both charging and recovery modes. Saez and McCoy [6] model includes axial thermal dispersion as well 72 as intra particle conduction. Rao and Suri [7] investigated both analytical and theoretical unsteady state heat 73 transfer through packed bed storage of homogenous spheres. Chandra and Willits [8] conducted an experimental 74 study and concluded that pressure drop is affected by rock size, bed porosity, and air flow rate. They also 75 discovered that volumetric heat transfer coefficient depend only on rock size and air flow rate. 76 This study carried out the economic analysis of combined packed bed storage and solar collector system using 77

This study carried out the economic analysis of combined packed bed storage and solar conector system using
the present value methods which can be used to bring all future costs, which may occur in different years, back
to today's value of money. In this way, the cost effectiveness of different energy technologies can be compared on
an equal basis.

⁸¹ **2 II.**

⁸² 3 Methodology a) Theoretical Analyses of the Combined ⁸³ Packed Bed

Storage and Solar Collector System Figure ??.0 shows the schematic of the combined packed bed energy storage 84 85 system and solar collector system. The size of the duct was 3 x 0.5 x 0.0254m. The packed bed storage system 86 consists of packed spherical shaped concrete imbedded with copper tubes, an inlet plenum chamber and outlet plenum chamber. The copper tube was of type L and of 0.00635m standard size. The outside diameter of the 87 copper tube was 0.02223m, the inside diameter was 0.01994m, wall thickness of 0.01143m, length 1.32m, number 88 of copper tubes were 4 of two passes with radius 0.115m. The spherical shaped concrete was made of ratio 89 1:1.2:1.1 of cement, sand and gravel, respectively. Storage tank having 0.70 m diameter was made of MS sheet of 90 3.00 mm thickness. The tank was 1.07 m high, including lower and upper plenums of height 0.25 m each resulting 91 to packed bed height of 0.47 m. Tank was insulated with fiber glass to minimize the heat losses. 92

The entry and exit lengths were 0.65 and 0.96m respectively, including the inlet plenum and outlet plenum height of 0.3 m each.

The solar air heater (SAH) has (1.90 x 0.80 x 0.1 m3) outer dimensions. The top of the SAH was covered with 95 96 a single transparent glass layer. High transmissivity to solar radiation glass cover of 0.005m thickness. The gap 97 spacing between the absorber plate and the glass cover is about 0.05m. The air heater frame was constructed 98 from wooden plate of 0.012m thickness except at the bottom which has 0.019m thickness. The absorber plate 99 which is made of aluminum plate having 0.0015m thickness was painted with matt black layer to increase the 100 absorptivity of the solar radiation and thereby reduces the temperature gradient between the inside and outside surfaces. The air was heated while passing between the transparent glass cover and absorber plate. The system 101 was insulated from all sides and bottom by a 0.05m thickness fine wood frame to reduce the heat losses to ambient 102 air. The whole air heater was oriented to face south and tilted 100 with respect to the horizontal to maximize 103 the solar radiation incident on the air heater. Therefore, the design of the concrete bed in this study has been 104

made for the above design and operational parameters of combined packed bed energy storage system and solar 105 collector system. 106

III. Daily Energy Stored in the Packed Bed 4 107

The energy balance equations for different components of the solar collector air heater and the packed bed energy 108 storage system and their initial and boundary conditions are given below ?? 109

$\mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{m} \ \mathbf{C} \ \mathbf{H} \ \mathbf{h} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{h} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{h} \ \mathbf{T} \ \mathbf{T}$ 5 110

? ? = + ? ? ? ? ? ? (1) () ()TTmmCChTThTTtWx???? + = ? + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? (2) () (111)(),,,,,,, 112

T m C H h T T h T T t h6 113

T T h T T ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? (3) () ()T T m m C C h T T t W x h T T ? ? ? ? + = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? 114 ?? (4) () () () , , ,p p p r ab p ab p conv p fb fb p r p a T m C h T T h T T U T T t ? = ? + ???? (5) 115 b) Packed Bed () () / / f f f f f c ct f V f c ct T T C GC h T T t x ? ? ? ? + = ? ? ? (6) () () () / / / / / 1 116 c ct c ct f c ct Vf c ct T C h T T t ???? =?? (7) c) Initial and boundary conditions () () () () 117

- 0 1 and , 0 1 [11]. The heat transfer coefficient between air and concrete and copper tube in the bed () () 118
- Vf c ct h were computed by using the Coutier and Farber [12] relation which can be written as follows.f f i a b 119
- b i a T x T T T x T T = = = = (8) () () () () () () () () , 0 , 01T i T i T Q m C A n ? = ? ? + + ? ? ? ? ? 120
- ? ? = × ?(12) Where, () b T() 0.76 / / 700 Vf c ct c ct G h D ? ? = ? ? ? ?(13) 121
- IV. 122
- Daily Cost of the Storage System (dc) 123
- In order to calculate the daily cost (DC) of the packed bed solar thermal energy storage system together with 124
- the solar air heater device, the different cost factors were calculated as given below [9].a) Daily blower cost (DBC) 125 () / 0.746 KW h m m pc h DBC ? ? = ?(14) / KW h c = cost of electricity in KW/h m ? = Electric motor 126
- efficiency 127
- The pressure drop p? can be determined using the relation: 3002 3 / 1 L c ct f F G p f D ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ???? 128

? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? (15) () () 150 1 friction factor 1.75 f R ? ? = + (16) / c ct GD R µ 129 =(17 CI DCC CR ?? = ????(18)))130

Where, Capital recovery CRCI SV CR SV i SPWF??? $= + \times$????(19) 131

Series present forth factor (Table 1. interest rate rapid on borrowed, earned or saved moneyn i SFF i i = ? ? 132 +???=(23)133

7 c) The Daily Maintenance Cost (DMC) 134

The daily maintenance cost of the packed bed storage and the solar air heater device were considered to be 10%135 of the daily capital cost (DCC) of the system. 136

The Daily Cost (DC) of the system was then calculated and presented as shown in Figure ??.0. 137 V.

138

Results and Discussions 8 139

For the numerical calculation the cost of absorbing paint was assumed as TT\$ 7.0/m2, solar collector cover glass 140 as TT\$ 18.0/m2, air duct material as TT\$ 23.0/m2, absorber plates as TT\$ 19.0/m2, concrete materials as TT\$ 141 44.0/m2, fiberglass (insulation) as TT\$ 12.0/m2, wood as TT\$ 15.0/m2, and sheet metal as TT\$ 45.0/m2. The 142 cost of the blower is TT\$ 650.0 and the cost of electricity as 27 cents. The rate of interest (i) was assumed as 143 10% and life of device (n) as 10 years. The fabrication cost was considered to be 25% of the capital investment. 144 The operational time was considered as 300 days/year and 9 hours/day. Figures 78.0 and 79.0 shows the daily 145 blower cost and daily cost of the entire packed bed storage system respectively as function of air flow rate for 146 147 spherical shaped concrete of diameter 0.065m, 0.08m and 0.11m.

Spherical shaped concrete of size 0.065m diameter has the highest blower cost of \$TT37.83/day at 0.045m3/s 148 due to low porosity and high pressure drop while concrete size 0.11m diameter has the lowest blower cost of 149 \$TT0.16/day at 0.0094m3/s as shown in Figure ??.0. Also, Spherical shaped concrete of size 0.065m diameter 150 has the highest storage system daily cost of \$TT38.83/day at 0.045m3/s while concrete size 0.11m diameter has 151

the lowest daily cost of \$TT1.16/day at 0.0094m3/s as shown in Figure ??.0. 152

¹© 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 1: Figure 1 . 0 :





| | | | a) Solar Collector Air Heater | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---|-------------------------------|---|----|---|---|---------------|---|---|----|---|-------|
| g g | g | g | , , r ab g | (| ab | g |) | , , conv g fa | (| g | fa |) | , g a |



| ab | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|----|--------|------------|---|----|------------|----|----|
| ab ab | g ab | | r g ab | ab | g | | conv ab fa | ab | fa |
| | r ab p | ab | р | conv ab fb | | ab | fb | | |

Figure 4:

| The radiative , , r ab g h | and | , , | , |
|--|---|-------------|------------------|
| | | r | v |
| | | $^{\rm ab}$ | r |
| | | р | 1 |
| | | ĥ | |
| convective (), g a h coefficients were calculated by using the standard heat transfer relations summarized in [10]. The forced convective heat transfer coefficients for the air heater | and conductive () r U heat transfe | r | |
| , , conv g fa h | , , , conv ab fa h $\ , \ , \ ,$ conv ab fb h $\ ,$ a | nd | , c F ł |
| calculated by using the relation derived by Tan and | | | |
| Charters | | | |

Figure 5:

1

| 0 : Series Present worth | n Factors (SP | WF).Fa | actors for co | mputing annu | al cost of | f investi | ment ov | er "N" | years | o | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------|------------|-----------|---------|--------|-------|---|--|--|--|
| | life at the interest rates shown [2] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Interest rate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ν | 6% | 8% | 10% | 12% | 14% | 16% | 18% | 20% | Ν | | | | |
| 1 | 0.943 | 0.926 | 0,909 | 0.893 | 0.877 | 0.862 | 0.847 | 0.833 | 1 | | | | |
| 2 | 1.833 | 1.783 | 1,736 | 1.690 | $1,\!647$ | 1.605 | 1.566 | 1.528 | 2 | | | | |
| 3 | 2.673 | 2.577 | 2.487 | 2.402 | 2.322 | 2.246 | 2.174 | 2.106 | 3 | | | | |

Figure 6: Table 1 .

153 .1 Conclusion

The price of the combined packed bed energy storage and solar collector system needs to be determined, which allows the gross income calculation. Additional costs for the annual operation and maintenance was taken into account.

From the above discussion it was discovered that spherical shaped concrete of size 0.065m diameter has the highest blower cost of \$TT37.83/day at 0.045m3/s due to low porosity and high pressure drop while concrete size 0.11m diameter has the lowest blower cost of \$TT0.16/day at 0.0094m3/s. Also, spherical shaped concrete of size 0.065m diameter has the highest storage system daily cost of \$TT38.83/day at 0.045m3/s while concrete size 0.11m diameter has the lowest daily cost of \$TT1.16/day at 0.0094m3/s.

- [Saez and Mccoy] 'Dynamic Response of A Packed Bed Thermal Storage System. A Model for Solar Air Heating'.
 A E Saez , B J Mccoy . Solar Energy 29 (3) .
- [Clark and Beasley ()] 'Dynamic Response of a Packed Bed Thermal Storage Unit'. J A Clark , D E Beasley .
 Prog. Solar Energy 1982. International Solar Energy Society. p. .
- [Choudhury et al. ()] 'Economic Design of a Rock Bed Storage Device for Storing Solar Thermal Energy'. C; P
 M Choudhury , H P Chauhan , Garg . Journal of Solar Energy 1995. 55 p. .
- [Tan and Charters ()] 'Effect of Thermal Entrance Region on Turbulent Forced Convective Heat Transfer for an
 Isometrically Heated Rectangular Duct with Uniform Heat Flux'. H Tan , W W S Charters . Journal of Solar
 Energy 1969. 12.
- [Vanek and Albright ()] Energy Systems Engineering Evaluation and Implementation, M F Vanek , L D Albright
 . 2008. The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- [Krieth and Goswami ()] Handbook of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, F Krieth , D Y Goswami . 2007.
 CRC Press.
- [Clark and Nabozny (1977)] Heat Storage and Thermal Transfer Aspects of the Dynamic Behavior of a Packed
 Bed. Paper Presented at the International Meeting on Solar Heating of Buildings and Water, J A Clark, R I
- 177 Nabozny . 1977. September 1977. Liege, Belgium. p. . Univ. De Liege
- [Thumann and Dunning ()] Plant Engineers and Managers Guide to Energy Conservation, A Thumann , S C
 Dunning . 2008. 2008. The Fairmont Press, Inc. (9th edition)
- [Chandra and Willits ()] 'Pressure Drop and Heat Transfer Characteristics of Air-Rock Bed Thermal Storage
 System'. P Chandra , D H Willits . *Solar Energy* 1981. (6) p. 27.
- [Duffie and Beckman ()] Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes, J A Duffie , W A Beckman . 2006. New York:
 John Wiley.
- [Rao and Suri ()] 'Thermal Characteristics of Homogeneous Packed Beds with Steady Fluid Flow'. S K Rao , R
 K Suri . Journal of Mechanical Engineering 1969. 50 (1) .
- [Coutier and Farber ()] 'Two Applications of a Numerical Approach of Heat-Transfer Process within Rock Beds'.
 J Coutier , E Farber . Journal of Solar Energy 1982. 29 (6) p. .