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I. INTRODUCTION

There have been thousands of cases of death and injury at the Chittagong yards. Over the last twenty years more than 400 workers have been killed and 6,000 seriously injured, according to the estimates made by several NGOs and the Bangladeshi media. The explosion of the Iranian tanker TT Dena on 31 May 2000 alone is said to have caused fifty deaths. To this toll must be added thousands of cases of irreversible disease which have occurred and will occur in future due to the toxic materials that are handled and inhaled without minimum precautions or protective gear. The recent 279th Session of the ILO’s Governing Body (November 2000) endorsed a conclusion of the Tripartite Meeting on the Social and Labor Impact of Globalization in Manufacture of Transport Equipment (May 2000), stating that, as a first step, the ILO should draw up a compendium of best practice adapted to local conditions leading to the preparation of a comprehensive code on occupational safety and health in ship-breaking, and that governments should be encouraged to require ships to have an inventory of hazardous materials on board that is updated throughout the life of the vessel, and requested the Director-General to bear this in mind when drawing up proposals for the future work of the Office. The draft Program and Budget for 2002-03 also identifies the improvement of working conditions at Asian ship-breaking sites as a priority area for extra-budgetary activities.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The OSH policy for the ship breaking facility should include, as a minimum, the following key principles and objectives to which the facility is committed:

a) Management commitment to, and leadership of, the occupational safety, health and environmental programs;

b) Recognizing OSH as an integral part of the overall management structure and OSH performance as an integral part of the facility’s business performance;

c) Protecting the safety and health of all members of the facility by preventing work-related injuries and diseases, ill health and incidents;

d) Complying with relevant OSH national laws and regulations, voluntary programmers, collective agreements on OSH and other requirements to which the facility subscribes;

e) Ensuring that workers and their representatives are consulted and encouraged to participate actively in all elements of the OSH management system;

f) Continual improvement of the performance of the OSH management system.

Typically, an OSHA management system should contain the following main elements:
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A hazard analysis is one of the most important elements of the safety management program. A hazard analysis is an organized & systematic effort to identify the significant of potential hazard in workplace. This analysis provides information that will help the employers & employees in making decisions for improving safety & reducing the consequences of unwanted & unplanned hazardous situations. The hazard analysis should focus on equipments instrumentations utilities human actions & external sectors that may impact the process. These considerations assist in determining the hazards and potential failure points or failure modes in a process.

III. METHODOLOGY

A hazard analysis is one of the most important elements of the safety management program. A hazard analysis is an organized & systematic effort to identify the significant of potential hazard in workplace. This analysis provides information that will help the employers & employees in making decisions for improving safety & reducing the consequences of unwanted & unplanned hazardous situations. The hazard analysis should focus on equipments instrumentations utilities human actions & external sectors that may impact the process. These considerations assist in determining the hazards and potential failure points or failure modes in a process.

![Figure 1: Process flow diagram of the work](image)

In this research work we have used different terms like Risk, Hazard, Hazard identification Check-list, and Risk calculator. Here the explanations of those terms are given below:

a) **Risk**

Risk is the potential that a chosen action or activity (including the choice of inaction) will lead to a loss. The notion implies that a choice having an influence on the outcome exists (or existed). Potential losses themselves may also be called "risks".

Risk = Probability of accident occurring* expected loss in case of the accident

b) **Hazard**

A hazard is a situation that poses a level of threat to life, health, property, or environment.

c) **Hazard Identification Check List**

This check list is used to identify different types of hazards associated with ship breaking. in this check list different types of hazards are grouped according their types. Source of those kinds of hazards are also shown in the list. Here who is exposed to the hazard & when he exposed are also shown.

d) **The Risk Calculator**

This is a tool to calculate risk. This calculator takes into account the frequency & duration of exposure of hazards. The risk calculator is primarily based on a Nomogram introduced in the British Standard BS 5308:1988(machinery safety).

e) **Elements of Risk Calculator**

- Chance
- Frequency & duration of exposure
- Consequence

f) **Categories of Risk Level**

- High Risk A
- Moderate Risk B
- Low Risk C

g) **Brief Explanation of Working Procedure**

In our research work at first we have we have observed some ship breaking yards carefully. Then we have taken interview from ship breaking workers & we have documented the data collected from the interview. After that we have analyze those data very carefully. By analyzing we have identified different hazards associated with ship breaking. When various hazards are identified then we have used hazard identification check list to identify of potential hazards in workplace. In this checklist different types of hazards have been grouped according their types. Source of those kinds of hazards are also shown in the list. Here who is exposed to the hazard & when he exposed are also shown.

We have also identified risk level of different work activity which is done in ship breaking yards using risk calculator. We have categorized risk into high risk, moderate risk & low risk.
IV. Data and Result

a) Hazard Identification

Table 1: List of hazards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Frequency of happening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Crushing in metal cutting machinery</td>
<td>Hand in running machine due to inattention, in appropriate protective equipment</td>
<td>Finger or hand injury</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>Crushing in material pulling machinery</td>
<td>Sleepy floor, in appropriate protective equipment</td>
<td>Finger or hand injury</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Being caught inside broken ship</td>
<td>Missing cover inattention</td>
<td>Significant body injury</td>
<td>1 in 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>Fall from above</td>
<td>Inattention</td>
<td>Leg or hand injury</td>
<td>1 to 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Damage from machinery splinter</td>
<td>Rupture during operations</td>
<td>Major wounds</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>Knock from edge, metal part etc</td>
<td>Inattention</td>
<td>Wounds, cuts</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>Hair or cloths being caught in equipment</td>
<td>Inattention, inappropriate protective Cause</td>
<td>Significant body injury</td>
<td>1 in 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>Bodily damaged from unobserved machinery start-up</td>
<td>Technical failure, Noise, inappropriate protective equipment</td>
<td>Significant body injury</td>
<td>1 in 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>Crushing when lifting material</td>
<td>Sleepy floor, inattention</td>
<td>Finger or hand injury</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Damage due to roll coming loose</td>
<td>Rupture of spindle, carelessness</td>
<td>Sever injuries, fatalities</td>
<td>1 in 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Damage due to dropping material</td>
<td>Failure of tackle, inappropriate fastening</td>
<td>Sever injuries, fatalities</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Dust oil, smoking, sparks</td>
<td>Loss of machine, destruction of machines, injury to human body</td>
<td>1 in 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the table we have shown that event 01, 02, 05, 06, 09, 11, 12 are occurred minimum 1 time among 10 incidents. Event 04, 08, 10 are occurred minimum 1 time among 100 incidents. Event 03, 07 are occurred minimum 1 time among 1000 incidents.

Those events are grouped together and named E1, E2, and E3 in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency of occurring</th>
<th>Event No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 in 10</td>
<td>01, 02, 05, 06, 09, 11, 12</td>
<td>E1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 in 100</td>
<td>04, 08, 10</td>
<td>E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 in 1000</td>
<td>03, 07</td>
<td>E3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we will be able to calculate risk for different hazardous events. Here E1 occur frequently, E2 occur less than E1, E3 occur less than E2. So E1 > E2 > E3.

b) Risk Calculation

Using the data of hazard identifications we shall calculate risk now. Here we have divided risk into three categories. These are:

a) High risk: This indicates that the level of risk is unacceptable.

b) Moderate risk: This indicates that the level of risk should be reduced to a level as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP).

c) Low risk: This indicates that the level of risk is broadly acceptable.

In this risk calculator probability level divided into six categories. These are:

- Frequent
- Probable
- Occasional
- Remote
- Improbable
- Extremely Remote

In risk calculator consequences are divided into six categories. These are:

- Multiple fatalities
- Fatalities
- Sever
- Major
● Minor
● Significant

d) Risk Calculation Diagram

With this diagram we shall calculate risk for event group E1, E2, E3. Here the calculation is given below.

![Risk Calculation Diagram]

From our research work we have come to know that ship breaking is a very much dangerous process. A lot of unwanted death has been occurred from 2001 to 2012 due to ship breaking, many workers also get injured.

V. Recommendation

a) Ensure that all workplaces are safe and without risk to the safety and health of workers.
b) Adequate and safe means of access and egress should be provided for all workplaces during all ship breaking operations. These means should be maintained in a safe condition.
c) Means of escape should be kept clear at all times. Escape routes should be frequently inspected and continuously modified on the ship according to the breaking progress.
d) Roadways, quays, yards, etc., where persons or vehicles move or are stationed should be so constructed and maintained as to be safe for the traffic that they have to carry.
e) A suitable housekeeping program should be established and continuously implemented on each ship breaking facility.
f) All openings through which workers are liable to fall should be kept effectively covered or fenced and clearly indicated in the most appropriate manner.
g) Adequate precautions should be taken, such as the provision of fencing, lookouts or barriers to protect any person who might be injured by the fall of materials, or tools or equipment being raised or lowered.
h) Fire Prevention and Fire-Fighting measures should be taken by the employer to ensure safety for ship breaking workers.
i) Signs and symbols are a very effective method for warning against hazards and for presenting information in a non-linguistic form. Safety signs and notices should conform in shape and color to the requirements of the competent authority.
j) Visitors should not be allowed access to ship breaking facilities or ships, as appropriate, unless accompanied by or authorized by a competent person and provided with the appropriate protective equipment.
k) As a basis for eliminating or controlling exposure to hazardous substances (including dusts, fumes and gases), the provisions of the ILO code of practice ambient factors in the workplace should be consulted.

VI. Conclusion

From our research work we have come to know that ship breaking is a very much dangerous process. A lot of unwanted death has been occurred from 2001 to 2012 due to ship breaking, many workers also get injured. This types of accidents happened because there are no safety rules for ship breaking workers. Worker do works without appropriate safety equipments so they easily expose to hazardous element. It increases the probability of accidents. So if we want to reduce the rate of accident we have to think about the safety issues of ship breaking worker. In our research work we have identified different hazardous work activities. We have also calculated the risk level of those work activities. With the help of the risk level we have given recommendations for different types of dangerous work. If we can implement those recommendations totally or partially it is sure that accident rate will be reduced to a acceptable level. In our research work we have shown an important thing that is the zoning of ship breaking area. This is a good idea to divide the ship breaking area into different parts. If we can ensure safety to every zone then total ship breaking area will be a safe place for the workers. If we can improve safety we shall get higher efficiency from the workers, we shall be able to break ship within a short time, as a result we shall be able to earn more money, and moreover worker satisfactions will be achieved. At last it can be said that our government should come forward to ensure the safety for the ship breakers. Owners of the ship breaking yard should think about the safety. If they can ensure
safety of their own ship breaking yards the workers will be benefited and the owners will be benefited as well.
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