Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Is it Time for a Rocket Engine for Pile Driving Hammers?

Vasil Genchev

Received: 6 December 2012 Accepted: 1 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

5 Abstract

1

2

3

⁶ Development of pile driving hammers in the recent 160 years is considered. It is established

7 that only the equipment used for raising the impact body (ram) on a certain height was being

changed, whereas the driving itself is performed through the free fall on the pile, e.g. the very
driving technology has remained unchanged since almost 8 000 years. In this connection, an

¹⁰ interesting relationship is discovered â??" a new way of powering the ram comes around every

¹¹ 40 years! Another established tendency is a periodical increase in the mass of the impact

¹² body. Authors propose a new way of powering the ram that will lead to radical changes in the

hammer design and driving technology. First and foremost, the impact body is additionally

¹⁴ activated when striking a blow, and does not only rely on earth gravity.

15

Index terms— pile driving hammer (PDH), industrial rocket engine (IRE), impact body (RAM).
 Introduction n important stage in humankind's history is transition to living in pile dwelling. So, about 8

17 Introduction in important stage in numarking is instory is transition to fiving in pile dwennig. 36, about 8 18 000 years ago, the driving of wooden piles began. ?he t?chnology included use of an elementary device made of 19 wooden beams upon which a wooden or stone weight was lifted by means of ropes and periodically released to 20 drop onto the driven pile. ?his technology passed without any change through Ancient Egypt, Greece and Rome 21 as well as through the whole Middle Ages. On Figure 1 we see a picture of a 16th Century Dutch painter(History 22 of pile driving) showing a group of men pulling ropes in order to lift and drop a driving weight. In this way the 23 piles of the foundations of Venice, Antwerp, Petersburg and other cities were driven into the soil.

²⁴ 1 II. Mechanically Powered Pile Driving Hammers

In 1841, the Scottish inventor James Nasmyth built the first steam hammer, raising its ram in the upper position 25 26 by means of a piston activated by steam in a cylinder. But this was a blacksmith hammer with a falling impact 27 body used for forging metal things. Then, in a consistent manner, he built a steam-powered compactor applied to smooth road surfaces and then, in 1843, a steam-driven hammer for pile driving. We suppose that it was 28 the lack of auto cranes for raising hammer on piles that is the reason why this device did not come into use. 29 Some episodic efforts for using a steam hammer for pile driving were being made in the next years, for example 30 during the construction of railways in USA, but not until 1883 the German company MENCK started to use 31 regularly steam-powered pile driving hammers. The MENCK steam installation raises the ram with a rope and 32 then allowed it to fall freely on the driven piles. But as early as in 1906 it was proposed to raise the hammer 33 ram by explosion in a cylinder with a piston (Otto ??icklefs,1906). In 1923, the German company DELMAG 34 launched an introduction of a simplified diesel engine for raising the impact body to a certain height. Evidences 35 about a regular pile driving by a so called diesel hammer refer to 1936-1940. The diesel hammer proved to be a 36 37 very convenient pile A Global Journal of Researches in Engineering XIII Issue v v v IV Version I moment, more 38 than 65 000 diesel hammers operated worldwide, from which about 40 000 have a ram with a mass of 2 200 kg. 39 One disadvantage of diesel hammers proved to be the limited maximum mass of the impact part. The increased scale of the construction industry imposed the use of even greater piles with a diameter of 5,0 m and even 7,0 40 m, so their driving into the soil requires even greater mass of the impact parts. The biggest hammer ever built 41 by DELMAG had a ram mass of 20 000 kg. Jet the German company Bauer succeeded in increasing the ram 42 mass initially to 24 000 kg, and then -to 27 000 kg, which for the present seems to be the maximum possible 43 for diesel hammers. In 1963, to meet the new demand of hammers with a greater ram, the German company 44 KRUPP developed a concept for a so called hydraulic hammer for pile driving which used a hydraulic cylinder for 45

raising the ram. In this way it became possible to built hydraulic driving hammers having rams with a mass of 46 50 000 kg, 97 000 kg (MENCK), 115 000 kg (IHC, Netherland) and 125 000 kg (MENCK). This sheer gigantism 47 continues and at the beginning of 2012 the Dutch company Heerema Hammers & MENCK conducted tests of 48 driving hammer with a ram mass of 192 000 kg. The tendency for increase in the ram mass is also observed 49 in forging hammers. The Russians built in 1983 the world's largest forging hammer with two rams -each with 50 a mass of 150 000 kg, and for several years now, the German company MÜLLER WEINGARTEN (MÜLLER 51 WEINGARTEN, 2007) has been building even greater forging hammer with two rams -an upper body with a 52 mass of 218 000 kg and a lower body with a mass of 230 000 kg. Let us return to the pile driving hammer (PDH). 53 The above mentioned types of PDH strike a blow at a maximum ram speed of up to 7 m/s. This is necessary for 54 the sake of structural convenience-in order to conduct the fall from a smaller height and also to reduce the risk 55 of destroying rams and driven piles. An additional restriction of the maximum impact speed probably imposes 56 the use of rams which are castings or hollow bodies filled with metallurgical slag or metal small shot -this was 57 introduced by some companies like MENCK. Due to the free fall of the ram, the most convenient is the vertical 58 pile driving, but technology also enables ? tilted pile driving -up to 30? towards the vertical. In 1994, we tried 59 to illustrate the development of driving hammers during the last 160 years and the result is shown on Figure 2. 60 61 Surprisingly, it turned out that every 40 years a new way of powering of PDH appearsfor lifting and dropping 62 the ram. So over the course of that development, in succession, after the steampowered hammers there emerged 63 diesel-and then hydraulically-powered hammers. Will this tendency continue in our days too? The elementary 64 adding of another 40 years to the last date on III. What is the Next Ram Powering Engine?

We started to look for the next powering engine in succession. As it happened, one of the authors of this 65 article already has been working for years on end on a new way to activate forging hammers and we assumed 66 that most probably it is he who should be our "usual suspect". In 1976, the dipl. eng. Petar Bodurov, financially 67 supported by the Technical University, Sofia, constructed 3 operating models of: rocket press, rocket hammer and 68 installation for hot sheet metal forming with a rocket jet (Bodurov P.&Genov J., 1976). The Air Force School of 69 the Bulgarian Air Force ensures 57 mm uncontrollable aviation rockets of the type "air-air", model C-5? (made 70 in the ex-USSR) for powering the rocket engines with a solid fuel. All experiments started on 13.10.1976 have 71 been successful and we suppose that those were the first deformations of metal stock material by means of rocket 72 engines. For the present article, the results of the experiments with the rocket hammer are of primary interest. 73 The C-5M rocket engine charged with a solid fuel has a total mass of 3,5 kg, develops a thrust of about 350 kg 74 75 (varying with about 10% depending on the ambient temperature) for 0,75 s. The engine is stationary mounted 76 on a steel ram shaped as a piston, with a mass of 15 kg. The ram is held by fixing devices in a leading tube which ensures acceleration stroke of the ram of 0,9 m, moves under the action of the rocket engine and strikes the stock 77 material. The rocket engine is switched on from a battery with a voltage of 27 V. The ram strikes with a speed 78 of about 19 m/s (calculated). The striking energy of the rocket hammer model corresponds to that of a forging 79 hammer with a falling part of 80 kg at an impact speed of 9 m/s. The first successful strike with a rocket engine 80 was realized on 22 October 1976 on the polygon of the Air Force School. Lead specimens were first deformed 81 and then specimens from aluminum alloys. Figure ?? shows a matrix, cylindrical stock material of aluminum 82 alloy and a detail forged with a rocket hammer having a mass of 0,053 kg. The experimental results obtained 83 gave us a confidence that the idea to construct a new industrial engine working on the principle of rocket engine 84 is realizible. The rocket engine is the best energy transformer! In addition, is without any competition in two 85 areas(Barrere M., Jaumotte A. et al, 1960): 86

⁸⁷ 2 Global Journal of Researches in Engineering

88 1. Space flights.

2. Where it is necessary to have a small-sized engine assembly with a big strength and a brief action.

It is obvious that the impact machines, or, rather, the hammers, are most suitable for powering by a rocket 90 engine, which will be most effective when working at short pulses. The rocket engines used in the first experiments 91 with a rocket hammer model are charged with a solid fuel of the type of colloidal gunpowder pressed in hollow 92 cylinders. However, the solid rocket fuel is about 100 times more expensive than the liquid fuel and is extremely 93 inconvenient for multiple charging -you will need to disassemble the engine after every start, clean it totally and 94 recharge which is unacceptable for an industrial machine. Although the scheme described for multiple charging 95 with a solid fuel was successfully experimentally tested on 21.09.1988, we took up developing a liquid-fuel-charged 96 industrial rocket engine (IRE) which can activate industrial machines. The liquid fuel is cheaper and safer than 97 the solid rocket fuel and allows convenient multiple charging of IRE. Also, the IRE has to endure the dynamic 98 loading generated by the powered impact parts. Another important requirement is that IRE should have a 99 multiple action -not less than 10 000 switching, because at the moment all rocket engines are only single-use 100 101 machines. All these problems were solved and the first IRE was constructed in 1993 -the model IRE-1, Figure 5?. 102 Technical data of IRE-1 are shown in Table ??, and the exhaust gases composition -in Table ??. It is obvious that the engine creates less contamination than the motorcars and this is due to the assured complete combustion 103 of the fuel. In addition, a removal of the exhaust gases is foreseen, so that the rocket machines can work in 104 production departments of the plants. O -0,0022 H2O -0,1296 CO -0,0059 H -0,0010 N3 -0,7264 CO2 -0,1259 105 OH -0,0012 NO -0,0018 Ar -0,0087 In this way, we added a fifth industrial engine -IRE -to the already existing 4 106 industrial engines: steam engine, electrical engine, turbine engine and internal combustion engine. According to 107

historians the rocket engine is the first engine made by man as early as about 2 000 years ago. But historically it so happened that it was only used as a transport vehicle rather than as a powering machine. And so is today -the rocket engines are used for transportation of 3 object types -explosion, apparatus and people. We are puzzled why during the past thousand years nobody noticed the ability of the rocket engine to operate also as an impact machine? Perhaps the reason is in the inertia of thinking and the opinion that when something flies it is bound

to be light, tender and fragile? The rocket engine has extremely simple structure, can be produced as a single body, without any moving parts, which is a prerequisite for making a product resistant to impacts. Figuratively speaking, the rocket engine is one-profile tube which is closed from one end.

In 1994, we built the first forging rocket hammer model TWS-36 powered by IRE-1 (Figure 5 b, Table 3) (Bodurov P., 1978; Bodurov P. et al., 1999; Bodurov P. & Penchev T., 2005). After its creation it turned out that the mass of the rocket hammer is 35% less than this of an analogous pneumatic hammer -at equal impact energies. We forged on this hammer steel conic gearwhels with a mass of 0,810 kg. Based on the results obtained,

we assumed that the rocket engine is the next engine which will gain ground for PDH (see Figure 2).

¹²¹ 3 Ire-Powered PDH

122 We propose 3 main schemes for IRE-powered PDH:

A. Lifting the ram with IRE till reaching a set falling height (Bodurov P., 1978).

B. Lifting the ram to a set height (or at a given distance from the pile) by already existing powerings, such as steam, diesel and hydraulic, and striking with the ram under the action of IRE in combination with the earth gravity (Bodurov P., 2008).

C. Lifting the ram to a certain height (or at a given distance from the pile), as well as moving the ram for 127 striking -and both movements are realized exceptionally by the action of IRE and the earth gravity(Bodurov 128 P.&Genchev V., 2011). A characteristic feature of the First scheme (A) is the condition that the IRE thrust 129 should be higher with 20-30% than the mass of the ram. Otherwise it cannot be realized. This condition only 130 restricts the pile driving vertically or with a slope up to 30? and does not have any special advantages over 131 the now operating hammers. For the present, construction of an IRE with a thrust higher than 6 000 kg is still 132 a serious technical problem and this restricts the mass of the ram to max 5 000 kg, if only one IRE is used. 133 However, there is no technical problem to activate the ram with more than one IRE. 134

The second scheme (B) is more prospective and allows not only to replace the now existing PDH, but also to 135 improve them (see Figure 6), retaining the device for lifting the ram -steam, diesel or hydraulic -and introducing 136 an active powering of the ram for striking a blow. In this way, the effect of the earth gravity is eliminated to a 137 great extent -it is no more a determining factor for the impact speed of the ram and now there is a possibility 138 that PDH can strike at any angle in the space. Another advantage is the possibility to obtain an equivalent 139 increase in the ram mass and therefore increase in the impact energy. The masses of the equivalent rams are 140 given in Table 4 -they are calculated when the engine IRE-2 is mounted to the masses of three type sizes PDH, 141 according to the scheme of Figure 6. The IRE-2 engine (Raketenantriebe, 2009) has the same parameters as the 142 IRE-1engine, but it is structurally adjusted to the modernized PDH with a ram to 2 200 kg. It is well known that 143 the piles driven at a higher speed have a higher bearing capacity -because of the better soil consolidation around 144 the piles. The active effect of the IRE which is added to that of the earth gravity allows an alteration of the 145 146 strike energy without any change of the falling height or the ram mass, and so larger piles will be driven with a smaller mass. Another advantage is the unique ability of the IRE to exert so called complex or combined impact 147 and thus at the moment of impact the operating IRE exerts also a press effort (Bodurov P. & Penchev T., 2005). 148 It is imminent to conduct a study of the new possibilities of the combined impact which will totally change the 149 impact and turn it to a "controllable" impact. It is obvious that the so far used "simple impact" does not respond 150 to the already changed requirements for pile driving. What is more, we should note that the introduction of 151 mechanically powered lifting of the impact body 160 years ago resulted in certain change of the impact by which 152 the piles are driven. The reason is that when moving down for striking, the shaped as a piston activation element 153 pushes the respective fluid (water steam or hydraulic liquid) or compresses the air, which leads to striking at a 154 decreasing speed. When the impact body of the elementary gravity hammers makes a free fall, it only encounters 155 the air resistance which is insignificant because of the comparatively small speed of the free fall. So during the 156 gravity fall the impact is carried out at a constantly growing speed. For the sake of mechanization and efficiency, 157 we have made the impact "softer" thanks to introducing mechanically powered lifting of the impact part. Energy 158 expenses are also increased but on account of this we can reach up to 100 impacts per minute, and also enormous 159 in mass impact parts can be lifted. The IRE will allow, for the short haul, to bring back the advantages of the 160 impact at a growing speed till the realization of the impact itself. 161

Introduction of an active ram will allow also to revolutionize the PDH design and technology of driving.

There is a real perspective to change and optimize the thousand-year technology of pile driving, adding to it new possibilities. To answer possible objections to introducing the rocket engine for driving, we will remind that more than 100 years ago the introducing of a steam -driven hammer was also considered a fanciful adventure. Speed V is given in m/s, at acceleration stroke S of the ram in m, with a mass of ram G and a thrust R of the

rocket engine in kg, and the earth acceleration $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$. The formulas are derived by using the motion of 168 material particle theorem from the Classical Theoretical Mechanics. It is assumed that the thrust R is constant 169 during the whole acceleration stroke S, the mass G of the Ram & IRE set does not change and the friction in he 170 hammer guide as well as the air resistance are neglected. These assumptions do not change the impact speed V 171 by more than 1%, because structurally the stroke S is not greater than several meters, a small quantity of fuel is 172 used and the friction is insignificant when lubrication is assured. The speed V is less than 20 m/s and so it is not 173 substantially influenced by the air resistance. That is why the formulas given are fully reliable and convenient 174 for application. Driving direction Formulas for the impact speed 1. 175

176 2.

¹⁷⁷ **5 3**.

178 4.

¹⁷⁹ **6 5**.

The evaluated speed V and the impact energy E of an IRE-2-modernized tube diesel hammer with a ram mass of 1 180 181 250 kg are given in Table 6, compared with the possibilities of non-modernized PDH. The total superiority of the modernized PDH is obvious. In 2001-2002, we proposed the project "Modernization of PDH" to a team of post-182 graduate students of the Wharton Business Faculty, University of Pennsylvania (USA). The students participated 183 with this project under the name "Jet Technologies" in the Wharton Business Plan Competition and were ranked 184 185 amongst the seven big finalists ??Wharton, 2002). However, the comments of some PDH manufacturers in the press were that the IRE fixation to a ram is impossible (E. Schurenberg, 2002). The experiments and structures 186 realized by us later disprove these statements. One of the arguments was that the ram speed is too big. For 187 designers of the blacksmith and forging hammers such statements sound not seriously because the falling part of 188 the PDH does not develop an impact speed greater than 7 m/s, and the hammers used in metal working usually 189 work at speeds of 6-9 m/s. High-speed hammers which deform special alloys and sophisticated articles work at 190 speeds of the impact body of about 16-22 m/s and even a hammer working at an impact speed of 40 m/s is 191 constructed. All problems of fixing of the tools to their impact parts are solved and there is no problem connected 192 with fixing the IRE to the ram of PDH which we have proved in practice. By our opinion, a pile driving at a 193 speed of 6-7 m/s is wrong. An elementary increase of the driving speed with only 2 m/s, e.g. to increase it 194 from 7 m/s to 9 m/s, will lead to reduction of the ram mass with about 40%. The use of metal piles makes it 195 totally pointless to object to driving at higher velocities. We propose to go to driving at velocities of the order 196 of 9-11 m/s, and even 12 m/s. In this way you can put an end to this unjustified and expensive gigantism in the 197 production of impact parts. Of course, for higher impact velocities, single-piece forged impact parts are more 198 suitable than castings. For reinforced concrete piles probably an additional strengthening and reinforcement of 199 the steel fixture will be needed, but this is not an obstacle for the technology of their elaboration. 200

201 7 ? ?

It is worth mentioning one more advantage of the IRE and this is the possibility to work even at the lowest temperature. This advantage is particularly important for fortifications in northern and polar regions where the whole construction lies exceptionally on piles and it can only be practiced during a small part of the year.

207 The third scheme (C) is the most complicated one but has the unique applicability. It is well known that the rocket engine is the only engine that is able to work independently from the environment. So the rocket 208 engines today work without problems in airless space, air atmosphere and water. Due to this ability, the rocket 209 engine nowadays is considered an ideal engine for powering of the ram in underground pile driving hammers at 210 all existing depths. The fact that it will work in water environment is a possibility to use an electrical rocket 211 engine whose working medium is the surrounding water (Bodurov P. & Genchev V., 2011). By heating and 212 evaporating water in the rocket chambers, a jet thrust is generated which will move the ram up and down or 213 forward-backward. The evaporation of water can happen in three ways: ? By using a high-frequency induction 214 current -similar facilities are used in metallurgy. In this way a temperature of the order of 3 000 ?C can be 215 reached; ? By using a voltaic arc, similar to one used in electric welding -in this way a temperature of the order 216 217 of 5 000?C can be reached; ? By using a laser which will allow to reach a heating temperature of the order 4 000 218 -10 000?C. We think that using a laser is the most suitable because it allows to obtain an instant evaporation 219 of water in the rocket chambers by short pulses from nanoseconds to microseconds. The temperature of water 220 evaporation increases with the increase in the depth. For example, at a depth of 7 000 m the temperature of evaporation is 730?C and this is not a problem for the laser. We expect that in the forthcoming years it will be 221 necessary to extract oil from ocean depths of 7 000 m and then the ram powered by electric rocket engine will 222 have no alternative (Bodurov P. & Genchev V., 2012). The electric rocket engine can also be used for working 223 on dry land. 224

225 V.

226 8 Conclusion

The experimental results and information reported in the present article lead to the general conclusion that the time has come to accept the industrial rocket engine (IRE) as powering force for the PDH. Its introduction is logical in the context of the 40years renovation cycle of the PDH and the new problems arising for its applications discussed by the authors. Implementation of the schemes for realization of the IRE-powered PDH will enable to create new possibilities and to solve important production problems such as:

- 232 ? Pile driving at any angle in the space;
- 233 ? Pile driving at higher speeds; ? Reduction of ram masses;
- 234 ? Pile driving at lowest earth temperatures;
- ? Pile driving at any depths under water; ? Pile driving with combined (" controllable") impact.
- Introducing the new ways of powering with unique qualities will lead to optimization and enhancement of the thousand-year technology for pile driving. With its help, we can better cope with the rapid increase of climaterelated disasters worldwide, reclamation of the ocean floor and unique building projects on land.




Figure 1: Figure 1 :

238 239 ^{5 6}

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^{2}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^{3}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^{4}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{{}^{5}}$ © 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^6 @}$ 2013 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 2: GFigure 2 :



Figure 3: GFigure 3



Figure 4: Figure 4 : 10 @G










Figure 7: Figure 6 : 11








Figure 9: Figure 7 : Figure 8 : G



Figure 10: Figure 9 :

Figure 11: Table 1 : Table 2 :

3

Maximum Striking Energy
Ram Speed
Stroke of the Ram
Height Above Floor
Width and Depth
Weight (incl. anvil of $22\ 000\ \text{kg}$)
IV.

36 KJ from 10 to 18 m/s max 1 650 mm 3 350 mm 1 250 x 800 mm 28 000 kg

Figure 12: Table 3 :

 $\mathbf{4}$

Ram weight [kg] (lbs)	$500 (1 \ 102)$	$1\ 250\ (2\ 756)$	$2\ 200\ (4\ 851)$
Power E before modernization [kgm]	$1250(9 \ 041)$	$3\ 120\ (22\ 567)$	$5\ 500\ (39\ 782)$
(ft.lbs)			
Power E after modernization [kgm]	4 250(30)	$6\ 360\ (46\ 002)$	$8\ 800\ (63\ 650)$
(ft.lbs)	740)		
Equivalent ram weight after moderniza-	$1800\ (3\ 969)$	2 540 (5 601)	$3\ 250\ (7\ 166)$
tion [kg] (lbs)			

Figure 13: Table 4

 $\mathbf{5}$

Figure 14: Table 5 :

6

Figure 15: Table 6 :

- 240 [Müller Weingarten ()], A G Müller Weingarten . 2007.
- 241 [Produktübersicht ()], Produktübersicht. 2007. p. 12.
- [Barrere and Jaumotte ()] M Barrere, A Jaumotte . *ROCKET PROPULSION*, (Amsterdam-London-New York Princeton) 1960. ELSEVIER PUBLISHING COMPANY.
- [Bodurov ()] 'Device for pile anchoring'. P Bodurov . BG Patent ? 2008. 2008. 65 p. 331.
- 245 [Bodurov ()] Feeding rocket engine scheme with fuel and oxidant, BG Patent ? 66 181, P Bodurov . 2011. 2011.
- 246 [Bodurov ()] 'High Speed Hammer'. P Bodurov . BG Patent ? 1978. 1978. 24 p. 567.
- 247 [Bodurov ()] High Speed Hammer, BG Patent ? 65 331, P Bodurov . 1999. 1999.
- [Bodurov and Penchev ()] 'Industrial rocket engine and its application for propelling of forging hammers'. P
 Bodurov , T Penchev . Journal of Materials Processing Technology 2005. 32 p. .
- 250 [Schurenberg ()] It's Not Rocket Science. Or Is It?, Schurenberg . BUSINESS 2.0. 2002. 2002. p. 30.
- [Offshore Hydraulic Pile Driving Hammers MHU ()] Offshore Hydraulic Pile Driving Hammers MHU, 1994.
 1994. 5. (History of pile driving -piledrivershop)
- [Bodurov and Genchev ()] Pile propelling hammer, BG Patent Application ? 111 092, P Bodurov , V Genchev
 . 2011. 2011.
- [Ricklefs ()] Ramme, deren als Kolben in einen Zilinder beweglicher Bär durch Explosions kraft gehoben wird, DE
 Patent ? 193 436, Otto Ricklefs . 1906.
- [Bodurov and Radev ()] Speed possibilities of a High Sped Hammer with rocket Engine, Scientific Papers of
 VIMMESS Institute of Rousse, P Bodurov, S Radev. 1979. 1979. 4 p. . (in Bulgarian)
- [Bodurov and Genov ()] Structures for metal deformation with rocket engines, Scientific Conferens for metal
 forging., Reports, Part one, town of Pleven, P Bodurov, J Genov. 1976. 1976. p. . (in Bulgarian)
- [Raketenantrie Be Für Schmiede-Und Rammhämmer ()] UM FORM Technik, 2, Raketenantrie Be Für
 Schmiede-Und Rammhämmer . 2009. 2009. p. 34.
- 263 [Bodurov and Genchev ()] 'Underwater Pile Driving Hammer with Active Impact Body'. P Bodurov , V Genchev
- . Mechanical Engineering Research 2012. 2012. 2 p. .