



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING
MECHANICAL AND MECHANICS ENGINEERING
Volume 13 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2013
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 Print ISSN:0975-5861

Analysis of MRR and SR with Different Electrode for SS 316 on Die-Sinking EDM using Taguchi Technique

By Suraj Choudhary, Krishan Kant & Parveen Saini

Galaxy Global Group of Institutions

Abstract - The development of new materials show the immense growth but the major problem, it is very difficult to machine the newly developed materials. So it is necessary to adopt some new machining methods. Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is a non-traditional and most popular machining method to manufacture dies, punches and press tools because of its capability to produce complicated, intricate shapes and to machine hard materials. From the industrial point of view stainless steel 316 is a very commonly used material due to its property of resistant to corrosion. During experimentation, electrode material, current and pulse on time were taken as variables for the study of material removal rate and surface roughness. Three different electrode materials copper, brass and graphite were used with EDM oil as a dielectric fluid in the experiment. Using Taguchi method, L9 orthogonal array has been chosen and three levels corresponding to each of the variables are taken. Experiments have been performed as per the set of experiments designed in the orthogonal array. Results of experimentation were analyzed analytically as well as graphically. Signal to Noise ratio was calculated to analyze the effect of input parameter more accurately. It is found that ANOVA has unable to find the key significant parameters for the output response due to less number of variables and factors. The optimal value of MRR and SR were also calculated using their signal to noise ratio value.

Keywords : EDM, taguchi design orthogonal array.

GJRE A Classification : FOR Code: 290501



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :



Analysis of MRR and SR with Different Electrode for SS 316 on Die-Sinking EDM using Taguchi Technique

Suraj Choudhary ^α, Krishan Kant ^σ & Parveen Saini^ρ

Abstract - The development of new materials show the immense growth but the major problem, it is very difficult to machine the newly developed materials. So it is necessary to adopt some new machining methods. Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is a non-traditional and most popular machining method to manufacture dies, punches and press tools because of its capability to produce complicated, intricate shapes and to machine hard materials. From the industrial point of view stainless steel 316 is a very commonly used material due to its property of resistant to corrosion. During experimentation, electrode material, current and pulse-on time were taken as variables for the study of material removal rate and surface roughness. Three different electrode materials copper, brass and graphite were used with EDM oil as a dielectric fluid in the experiment. Using Taguchi method, L_9 orthogonal array has been chosen and three levels corresponding to each of the variables are taken. Experiments have been performed as per the set of experiments designed in the orthogonal array. Results of experimentation were analyzed analytically as well as graphically. Signal to Noise ratio was calculated to analyze the effect of input parameter more accurately. It is found that ANOVA has unable to find the key significant parameters for the output response due to less number of variables and factors. The optimal value of MRR and SR were also calculated using their signal to noise ratio value. From the experimental results it is clear that copper electrode, higher current value (30A) and pulse-on value (50 μ s) possess highest MRR while brass electrode, lower current value (18A) and higher pulse-on time (65 μ s) value has better surface finish.

Keywords : EDM, taguchi design orthogonal array.

1. INTRODUCTION

a) Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM)

Electrical Discharge Machining is a non-traditional concept of machining. It has been widely used for making dies, punches and molds. It is also used in manufacturing of finished parts for automotive and aerospace industries and surgical components. It is also called spark erosion machining method because in this method material of work piece is removed by erosion effect by the electric spark. This process can be

successfully employed to machine electrically conductive parts irrespective of their hardness, shape and toughness [1]. The EDM machine has a tool and a work piece which is to be machined. In die-sinking EDM, the shape of tool used for spark generation is a replica of the shape of which is to be produced. The tool electrode and the work are held at an accurately controlled distance from one another, which are dependent on the operating conditions and referred to as spark gap. Both the tool and the work piece are dipped in a dielectric medium like kerosene, EDM oil etc [2].

b) Historical Background

The origin of electrical discharge machining goes far back to 1770, when English scientist Joseph Priestly discovered the erosive effect of electrical discharges on metals but after that the full advantage of this concept had not been taken till 1943. The Lazarenko, used resistance capacitance type of power supply, which is widely used in 1950s. This idea gave a new born to the EDM process but during the 1948-1950 this idea started to spread in the industrial world area. In 1980s the advancement of Computer Numerical Control (CNC) in EDM has brought a great turn in improving the efficiency of machining operations, pulse recognition, real time analysis, A.C tool wear analysis, controls and expert systems [3,1]. Wire EDM machine (WEDM) touched the new heights of performance. The phase 1990-95 brought the new parametric approach, Neutral networks and Fuzzy controllers. Modern era from 1995 till date brought in various new aspects in EDM machining such as micromachining by EDM and machining without liquid dielectric. Now EDM is more accepted technique for material removal next to CNC Milling [4].

c) Process of EDM

The working principle of EDM is based on the thermoelectric energy. This energy is created between the electrode and the work piece, dipped in dielectric fluid with the passage of electric current. The work piece and electrode are separated by a small gap called spark gap. Pulsed arc discharges occur in this gap filled with a dielectric liquid like hydrocarbon oil or de-ionized (de-mineralized) water. The technique of material removal with EDM is still arguable. This is because ignition of

Author ^α : Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Galaxy Global Group of Institutions, Dinaurpur, Ambala, India.

Author ^σ : Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Galaxy Global Group of Institutions, Dinaurpur, Ambala, India.

Author ^ρ : Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, E.Max Group of Institutions, Kalpi, Ynr, India.

electrical discharges in a liquid filled gap, when applying EDM, is mostly interpreted as ion action identical as found by physical research of discharges in air or in vacuum.

As well as with investigations on the break through strength of insulating hydrocarbon liquids. EDM with a system comprising two major components: a machine tool and power supply [5]. The electrode (tool) is held in machine tool, which advances towards the work piece and produces a high frequency series of electrical spark discharge.

The spark is generated by a pulse generator between electrode and work material. The reduced spark gap results that the applied voltage is high enough to ionize the dielectric fluid. The electrode and work piece are separated by the short duration pulses which are generated in liquid dielectric gap. The spark is generated at the smallest inter electrode gap. The erosive effect of discharges removes the material from the tool and the work piece. The discharge energy is concentrated on very small cross-section with the dielectric fluid. It flushes out the removed material during machining and cools the electrode from heating. The erosion of work piece material uses electrical energy and converts them into the thermal energy through a series of electrical discharges.

The material is removed by partial vaporization or melting. The removed debris which is in molten state re-solidified and flushes out with help of dielectric fluid. The thermal energy generates plasma between tool and work material having temperature range 8000°C to 12000°C and high as 20000°C . When the DC supply is switch off then plasma channel breaks down results in reduction in temperatures [6].

In EDM operation, the material removal rate is less than the conventional machining. The amount of material removal is dependent upon the amount of pulsed current in each discharge, frequency of the discharge, dielectric flushing condition, electrode material and work piece material. Surface finish is an important factor for the work-piece. It becomes more vital so as to produce a better surface when hard materials are machined, requiring no subsequent polishing.

Surface finish is also important in the case of tools and dies for moulding as well as drawing operations. Surface finish mainly depends upon the type of electrode used, value of discharged current and polarity [4].

II. PAST WORK

Many researchers used the steel for their experimentation like AISI 304, En 31, XW 42 and many more on EDM but still no work is done on Stainless Steel 316 which is one of the most commonly used steel in manufacturing industries due to of its better corrosion

resistance, weldability properties and called as "marine grade stainless steel". Mostly work has been done by using kerosene as a dielectric only few researchers used the EDM oil. In all steel that used as studied in literature brass and graphite is the least used as a tool electrode. Only few researchers used the ANOVA technique for the analysis of result due to lack of experimental design. Without the use of ANOVA, no significant parameters and individual contribution of input parameter to the response cannot be calculated [7].

The behaviour of different material is different during the machining both for electrode and work piece in EDM because every material have different composition so it is necessary to know that which material gives the highest material removal rate and better surface finish with suitable electrode especially for those material which is commonly in use. So in this work it was proposed to study the effects of different input parameter electrode material, current and pulse-on time on Material removal rate (MRR) and Surface roughness (SR) with EDM oil as a dielectric. The experimental design has been done by using Taguchi technique. The response has been analysed using S/N ratio and analysis of Variance.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP & PROCEDURE

The experiments have been conducted on Electrical Discharge Machine model T-3822 of Electronic available at Ambala college of Engg. Mithapur in the machine Lab as shown in Fig 3.1. Many input parameters like discharge voltage, pulse on time, pulse off time, polarity, peak current, electrode gap and dielectric pressure can be varied in EDM process. Each factor has its own effect on the output parameters such as tool wear rate (TWR), material removal rate (MRR), surface roughness (SR), hardness of the machined surface, overcut size and profile/geometry accuracy. The range of the input parameters is constrained by this model of EDM machine.



Figure 3.1 : Set-up of Electrical Discharge Machine

The input parameters, which were kept constant during the experimentation, are below in the Table No.3.1.

Table 3.1 : Constant input parameters

S.No	Parameter	Set Value
1	Polarity	Positive
2	Machining Time	15 minute
3	Pulse off	5 μ s
4	Open circuit voltage	80 \pm 5%
5	Dielectric	EDM oil
6	Dielectric Pressure	0.2 Kg/cm ²
7	Diameter of electrodes	10mm

Surface roughness was measured using the apparatus of company Mitutoyo; model Surfest SJ-301 at MMU, Mullana. The equipment uses the stylus method of measurement and measure roughness up to 100 μ m. Surface roughness of each sample was measured at three different positions of each machined sample.

Stainless Steel 316 is secondly most common used austenitic steel. Stainless steel 316 is the standard molybdenum-bearing grade. The molybdenum gives 316 better overall corrosion resistant properties than grade 304, particularly higher resistance to pitting and corrosion in chloride environments. It has excellent forming and welding techniques. It also has good welding characteristics.

Table 3.2 : Composition of stainless steel 316

C(%)	Mn	Si	P	S	Cr	Mo	Ni	N
0.08	2	0.75	0.045	0.03	18	3	14	0.10

The composition for the stainless steel 316 shown in Table No.3.2. The work piece dimensions for the experiment were Φ 60 mm and thickness 10 mm. The mechanical, physical and electrical properties of stainless steel 316 are shown in the table no. 3.3 and 3.4 respectively.

Table 3.3 : Mechanical properties for Stainless steel 316

Tensile stress (MPa)	Yield stress (MPa)	Rockwell hardness (HRB)	Brinell hardness (HB)
515	205	95	217

Table 3.4 : Physical and electrical properties for Stainless steel 316

Density (Kg/m ³)	Elastic Modulus (GPa)	Thermal Conductivity (W/m.K) at 100°C	Specific heat 0-100°C (J/Kg.K)	Electrical Restivity (n Ω .m)
8000	193	16.3	500	740

This grade cannot heat treated by thermal treatment. Stainless steel 316 typical applications include: Laboratory equipments, Food preparation

equipment (especially in chloride environment), Chemical containers, Springs, Heat Exchangers.

IV. DESIGN OF EXPERIMENTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

L₉ orthogonal array was used in this experiment for the machining parameters. This orthogonal array consists of three control factors and three levels as shown in the table no 4.1. In this study, the material removal rate and surface roughness were analyzed on the basis of maximum and minimum values respectively. So by taguchi method "higher is better" chooses for MRR, and "smaller is better" for SR. Both of the output response was performed with three replication at each set value. The results were analysed on S/N ratio and analysis of variance (ANOVA) which is based on Taguchi method.[7]

Higher is better

$$(S/N)_{HB} = -10 \log (MSD_{HB})$$

$$\text{Where } MSD_{HB} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{y_i^2} \right)$$

MSD_{HB} = Mean Square deviation for higher the better response.

r = no. of trials

y_i = the i^{th} measured value in a row

Smaller is better

$$(S/N)_{LB} = -10 \log (MSD_{LB})$$

$$\text{Where } MSD_{LB} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r (y_i^2)$$

MSD_{LB} = Mean Square deviation for lower the better response

Table 4.1: Factors & their levels for experiments

Factors	Levels			Observed Values
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Electrode Material	Copper	Brass	Graphite	1. Material removal rate (gms) 2. Surface roughness (μ m)
Current, Amp	10	18	30	
Pulse-on, μ s	35	50	65	

V. ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)

The knowledge of the contribution of individual factors is critically important for the control the final response. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) is a common statistical technique to determine the percent contribution of each factor for results of the experiment. It calculates parameters known as sum of squares SS(tr), degree of freedom (DOF), variance and percentage of each factor. Since the procedure of ANOVA is a very complicated and employs a considerable of statistical formula, only a brief description of is given as following. The Sum of Squares SS(tr) is a measure of the deviation of the experimental data from the mean value of the

data[8].

$$SS(tr) = n \sum_{i=1}^c (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2$$

Where n = number of response observations

\bar{y} = mean of all observations

\bar{y}_i = mean of i^{th} response

The sum of square of error (SSE) within the groups is calculated by the formula

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^c \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2$$

Where c = no of trials

y_{ij} = Corresponding element of i, j

The mean sum of square (S_B^2) between the treatments is

$$S_B^2 = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^c (\bar{y}_i - \bar{y})^2}{c-1}$$

The degree of freedom for the factor between treatments is c-1 and for the error is

$$n_T - c.$$

The Fisher's ratio is also called F value. The principle of the F test is that the larger value for a particular parameter, the greater the effect on the performance characteristics due to the change in that parameter. F value is defined as:

$$F = \frac{\text{Mean square for the term}}{\text{Mean square for the error term}}$$

Table 5.1 : L_9 orthogonal array with S/N ratio for the MRR & SR

Experiment No.	Electrode Material	Current (Amp)	Pulse on (μ s)	Material Removal Rate (gms)			S/N ratio for MRR	Surface Roughness (μ m)			S/N ratio of SR
				1	2	3		1	2	3	
1	Copper	10	35	1.44	1.42	1.55	3.3273	9.96	9.99	10.13	-20.0233
2	Copper	18	50	2.82	2.78	2.77	8.9109	10.38	10.47	10.53	-20.3908
3	Copper	30	65	4.14	4.22	4.15	12.4008	11.02	10.86	10.82	-20.7488
4	Brass	10	50	0.28	0.29	0.3	-10.7624	6.20	6.26	6.23	-15.8898
5	Brass	18	65	0.48	0.46	0.5	-6.3909	6.86	6.88	6.92	-16.7602
6	Brass	30	35	0.71	0.75	0.79	-2.5235	5.45	5.39	5.41	-14.6747
7	Graphite	10	65	0.58	0.54	0.59	-4.9018	5.36	5.32	5.40	-14.5835
8	Graphite	18	35	1.86	1.88	2.02	5.6487	12.77	12.84	12.76	-22.1374
9	Graphite	30	50	2.66	2.61	2.56	8.3296	11.1	11.21	11.01	-20.9119

Table No 5.2 : Average effect response table of S/N ratio for MRR

Level	Electrode material	Current	Pulse-on
1	8.213	-4.1123	2.1508
2	-6.5589	2.7229	2.1594
3	3.0255	6.0689	0.3694
Delta	14.7719	10.1812	1.79
Rank	1	2	3

Table No 5.3 : Average effect response table of raw data for MRR

Level	Electrode material	Current	Pulse-on
1	2.81	0.7767	1.38
2	0.5067	1.73	1.8967
3	1.7	2.51	1.74
Delta	2.3033	1.733	0.5167
Rank	1	2	3




Figure No. 5.1 : Main effects plot of S/N ratio for MRR




Figure No. 5.2 : Main effects plot of mean value for MRR

Table No. 5.4 : Analysis of variance and F test for MRR

Source	Sum squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F _{value}
Material	7.9615	2	3.9807	8.27
Current	4.5217	2	2.2608	4.69
Pulse-on	0.4211	2	0.2105	0.44
Error	0.9631	2	0.4815	
Total	13.8674	8		

Table No. 5.5 : Average effect response table of S/N ratio for SR

Level	Electrode material	Current	Pulse-on
1	-20.39	-16.83	-18.94
2	-15.78	-19.76	-19.06
3	-19.21	-18.78	-17.37
Delta	4.61	2.93	1.70
Rank	1	2	3

Table No. 5.6 : Average effect response table of raw data for SR

Level	Electrode material	Current	Pulse-on
1	10.460	7.203	9.410
2	6.180	10.047	9.267
3	9.753	9.143	7.717
Delta	4.280	2.843	1.693
Rank	1	2	3




Figure No. 5.3: Main effects plot of mean value for SR




Figure No 5.4 : Main effects plot of S/N ratio for SR

Table No. 5.7 : Analysis of variance and F test for SR

Source	Sum squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F _{value}
Material	31.586	2	15.793	2.28
Current	12.664	2	6.332	0.91
Pulse	5.290	2	2.645	0.38
Error	13.880	2	6.940	
Total	63.421	8		

VI. RESULTS & ANALYSIS

After the collection of raw data the calculated S/N ratio value for MRR and SR is shown in the table no.5.1. With help of data shown in table no 5.1., the average effect response of raw data is calculated for MRR and SR is shown in table no.5.3 and 5.6 respectively and average effect response of S/N ratio is calculated for MRR and SR is shown in table no. 5.2 and

5.5 respectively. Electrode material, current and pulse on time is assigned as rank 1, 2, and 3 respectively according to their larger value of delta. Rank 1 means highest contribution factor for the MRR and Rank 3 means lowest contribution factor for MRR. Pulse on is the least contribution parameter. From the figure no. 5.2, it is observed that MRR goes on increasing with higher values of current. MRR has highest value at current 30A. MRR has lowest value at pulse-on 30 μ s and highest value at 50 μ s. Copper electrode has highest MRR and Brass has lowest MRR value. Graphite electrode gives average value of MRR comparison with copper and graphite. From the figure no.5.3 it is analysed that the copper electrode has highest surface roughness and brass has lowest surface roughness. It means brass is preferable electrode for better surface roughness. For current, 10A the surface finish is better than other values 18A and 30A. High pulse-on value 65 μ s has better surface finish than other values 35 μ s and 50 μ s.

The purpose of analysis of variance is to determine which input parameter significantly affects the MRR and SR. shown in table no. 5.4 and 5.7 for MRR and SR respectively. In this case the ANOVA table is not supporting because all the parameters were found insignificant. The calculated F_{value} is less than the F_{critical} which is 99. The no. of variables were less for analysing it with ANOVA. For selecting the proper significant parameter with help of ANOVA, larger orthogonal array should be selected.[9]

a) Optimal Design for MRR and SR

In the experimental analysis, main effect plot of S/N ratio for MRR and SR is used for estimating the S/N ratio of MRR with optimal design condition. As shown in the figure no.5.1, electrode material (A) has highest value at level 1 so named it A1. For the current (B) and pulse-on (C) it is B3 and C2 respectively. After evaluating the optimal parameter settings, the next step of the Taguchi approach is to predict and verify the enhancement of quality characteristics using the optimal parametric combination[7]. The estimated S/N ratio using the optimal level of the design parameters can be calculated:

$$n_{\text{opt}} = n_m + \sum_i^a (\bar{n}_i - n_m)$$

Where n_m = the total mean of S/N ratio

\bar{n}_i = mean S/N ratio at optimum level

a = number of design parameters that effect quality characteristics

Based on the above equation the estimated multi response signal to noise ratio can be obtained.

$$n_{\text{opt}} = 1.5598 + (8.213 - 1.5598) + (6.0689 - 1.5598) + (2.1594 - 1.5598) = 13.3217$$

$$\text{Corresponding value of MRR} = y_{\text{opt}}^2 = \frac{1}{10^{-\frac{n_{\text{opt}}}{10}}}$$

$$y_{\text{opt}}^2 = \frac{1}{10^{-\frac{13.3217}{10}}}$$

$$y_{\text{opt}} = 4.65$$

As per the optimal level again the experiment is performed as A1 B3 C2. The experimental value that is obtained is 4.10. So the value of percentage change is 11.82%.

For the surface roughness as shown in figure no.5.4 electrode material (A) has highest value at level 2 means at brass so we named it A2. For the current (B) and pulse-on (C) it is B1 and C3 respectively. The estimated S/N ratio using the optimal level of the design parameters can be calculated:

$$n_{\text{opt}} = -18.458 + (-15.78 + 18.458) + (-16.83 + 18.458) + (-17.37 + 18.458) = -13.064$$

$$\text{Corresponding value of SR} = y_{\text{opt}}^2 = 10^{-\frac{n_{\text{opt}}}{10}}$$

$$y_{\text{opt}}^2 = 10^{\frac{13.064}{10}}$$

$$y_{\text{opt}} = 4.49$$

As per the optimal level again the experiment is performed as A2 B1 C3. The experimental value that is obtained is 4.41. So the value of percentage change is 1.78%.

VII. CONCLUSION

In the present study, for EDM process the effect of electrode material (copper, brass and graphite), current and pulse-on has been investigated. The effect of input parameter on output response Material removal rate and Surface roughness were analysed for work material stainless steel 316. L9 orthogonal array based on Taguchi design and ANOVA was performed for analysing the result.

1. For the MRR, electrode material is most influencing factor and then discharge current and the last is pulse-on time. MRR increases with the higher value of discharge current.
2. Copper electrode shows the highest MRR while the brass electrode shows the least MRR. For lower value of pulse-on time (35 μ s) the MRR is low and highest at 50 μ s. At current 30A, the MRR is highest
3. For surface roughness, the electrode material is most influencing factor and then discharge current and the last is pulse-on time. SR is better with lower value of current.
4. Brass electrode shows the better surface finish while the copper electrode shows the worst surface finish as comparative to graphite and brass. For higher value of pulse-on (65 μ s) time the SR is best. At higher current 30A, the SR is highest which is not preferable.
5. Graphite electrode has intermediate value of MRR and SR as comparative to copper and brass electrode. For further study more input parameter can be considered.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Haron, C.H., Deros, B.M., Ginting, A. and Fauziah, M. (2001), "Investigation on influence of machining parameters when machining tool steel using EDM", Journal of Materials Processing Technology, Vol. 116, pp. 84-87.
2. Kojima, H., Kunieda, M. and Nishiwaki, N. (1992), "Understanding discharge location movement during EDM", Proceedings International Symposium Electro machining, Vol. 10, pp. 144.
3. Dewangan, S.K. (2010), "Experimental Investigation of Machining Parameters for EDM using U- shaped Electrode of AISI P20 Tool Steel", NIT Rourkela, pp. 4-6.
4. Jianxin, D. and Taichiu, L. (2002), "Effect of ultrasonic surface finishing on the strength and thermal shock behavior of the EDM ceramic composite", International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture, Vol.42, pp. 245-250.
5. Han, F. and Kunieda, M. (2004), "Development of parallel spark electrical discharge machining", Precision Engineering, Vol. 28, pp. 65-72.
6. Hassan, M.A., Tomadi, S.H., and Khalid, A.G. (2009), "Analysis of the Influence of EDM Parameters on Surface Quality, Material Removal Rate and Electrode Wear of Tungsten Carbide", Proceedings of the International Multi Conference of Engineers and Computer Scientists, Vol II.
7. Rose, J. P. (1996), "Taguchi techniques for quality engineering", 2nd Edition, Mc-Graw Hill, pp. 23-138.
8. Trivedi, K.S. (2008), "Probability & statistics with reliability, queuing and computer science applications", PHI learning private limited, New Delhi. pp. 561-566.
9. Patel, K.P. (2012), "Experimental analysis on surface roughness of CNC END Milling process using Taguchi design Method", International journal of Engineering science and Technology, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 540-545.