



Quintic B-spline Collocation Method For Sixth Order Boundary Value Problems

By K.N.S. Kasi Viswanadham & Y. Showri Raju

National Institute of Technology, Warangal, India

Abstract - A finite element method involving collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions have been developed to solve sixth order boundary value problems. The sixth order and fifth order derivatives for the dependent variable are approximated by the central differences of fourth order derivatives. The basis functions are redefined into a new set of basis functions which in number match with the number of collocated points selected in the space variable domain. The proposed method is tested on several linear and non-linear boundary value problems. The solution of a non-linear boundary value problem has been obtained as the limit of a sequence of solutions of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization technique. Numerical results obtained by the present method are in good agreement with the exact solutions or numerical solutions available in the literature.

Keywords : *collocation method, quintic B-spline, basis function, sixth order boundary value problem, absolute error.*

GJRE-I Classification : *FOR Code: 010399*



Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:



Quintic B-spline Collocation Method For Sixth Order Boundary Value Problems

K.N.S. Kasi Viswanadham^α & Y. Showri Raju^σ

Abstract - A finite element method involving collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions have been developed to solve sixth order boundary value problems. The sixth order and fifth order derivatives for the dependent variable are approximated by the central differences of fourth order derivatives. The basis functions are redefined into a new set of basis functions which in number match with the number of collocated points selected in the space variable domain. The proposed method is tested on several linear and non-linear boundary value problems. The solution of a non-linear boundary value problem has been obtained as the limit of a sequence of solutions of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization technique. Numerical results obtained by the present method are in good agreement with the exact solutions or numerical solutions available in the literature.

Keywords : collocation method, quintic B-spline, basis function, sixth order boundary value problem, absolute error.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we developed a collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions for getting the numerical solution of a general sixth order linear boundary value problem, which is in the form

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x)y^{(6)}(x) + a_1(x)y^{(5)}(x) + a_2(x)y^{(4)}(x) \\ + a_3(x)y'''(x) + a_4(x)y''(x) + a_5(x)y'(x) \\ + a_6(x)y(x) = b(x), \quad c < x < d \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} y(c) &= A_0, & y(d) &= B_0 \\ y'(c) &= A_1, & y'(d) &= B_1 \\ y''(c) &= A_2, & y''(d) &= B_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $A_0, B_0, A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2$ are finite real constants and $a_0(x), a_1(x), a_2(x), a_3(x), a_4(x), a_5(x), a_6(x)$ and $b(x)$ are all continuous functions defined on the interval $[c, d]$.

Generally, these types of differential equations have special significance in astrophysics. The dynamo action in some stars may be modeled by sixth order boundary value problems [1].

The narrow convecting layers bounded by stable layers, which are believed to surround A-type stars, may be modeled by sixth-order boundary value problems [2]. Moreover, when an infinite horizontal layer of fluid is heated from below and is subjected to the action of rotation, instability sets in. When this instability is an ordinary convection, the ordinary differential equation is a sixth-order ordinary differential equation. For further discussion of sixth-order boundary value problems, see [3,4,5].

The existence and uniqueness of solution of such type of boundary value problems can be found in the book written by Agarwal [6]. El-Gamel et al. [7] used Sinc-Galerkin method to solve sixth order boundary value problems. Akram and Siddiqi [8] solved the boundary value problem of type (1)-(2) with non-polynomial spline technique. Siddiqi et al. [9] solved the same boundary value problems using quintic splines. Also Siddiqi and Akram [10] used septic splines to solve the boundary value problems of type (1)-(2). Lamini et al. [11] used spline collocation method to solve the sixth-order boundary value problems.

Further, decomposition methods [12], Ritz's method based on the variational theory [13], and the homotopy perturbation method [14] have been applied for the solution of sixth-order boundary value problems. Variational iteration method for solving sixth-order boundary value problems have been developed by Noor et al. [15]. Siraj-ul-Islam et al. [16,17] developed non-polynomial splines approach to the solution of sixth-order and fourth-order boundary-value problems. Viswanadham et al. [18,19] used sixth order and septic B-splines to solve sixth order boundary value problems.

In this paper, we try to present a simple collocation method using quintic B-splines as basis functions to solve the sixth order boundary value problem of the type (1)-(2).

In section 2 of this paper, the justification for using the collocation method has been mentioned. In section 3, the definition of quintic B-splines has been described. In section 4, description of the collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions has been presented and in section 5, solution procedure to find the nodal parameters is presented. In section 6, numerical examples of both linear and non-linear boundary value problems are presented. The solution of a nonlinear boundary value problem has been obtained as the limit of a sequence of solutions of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization

Author α : Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Warangal – 506004, India. Telephone: +91 9866028867
E-mail : kasi_nitw@yahoo.co.in

Author σ : Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Warangal – 506004, India. Telephone: +91 7893555752
E-mail : showri_y@rediffmail.com

technique [20]. Finally, the last section is dealt with conclusions of the paper.

II. JUSTIFICATION FOR USING COLLOCATION METHOD

In finite element method (FEM) the approximate solution can be written as a linear combination of basis functions which constitute a basis for the approximation space under consideration. FEM involves variational methods such as Ritz's approach, Galerkin's approach, least squares method and collocation method etc. The collocation method seeks an approximate solution by requiring the residual of the differential equation to be identically zero at N selected points in the given space variable domain where N is the number of basis functions in the basis [21]. That means, to get an accurate solution by the collocation method, one needs a set of basis functions which in number match with the number of collocation points selected in the given space variable domain. Further, the collocation method is the easiest to implement among the variational methods of FEM. When a differential equation is approximated by m^{th} order B-splines, it yields $(m+1)^{th}$ order accurate results [22]. Hence this motivated us to use the collocation method to solve a sixth order boundary value problem of type (1)-(2) with quintic B-splines.

III. DEFINITION OF QUINTIC B-SPLINES

The cubic B-splines are defined in [23,24]. In a similar analogue, the existence of the quintic spline interpolate $s(x)$ to a function in a closed interval $[c, d]$ for spaced knots (need not be evenly spaced)

$$c = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = d$$

is established by constructing it. The construction of $s(x)$ is done with the help of the quintic B-Splines. Introduce ten additional knots $x_{-5}, x_{-4}, x_{-3}, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, x_{n+4}$ and x_{n+5} such that

$$x_{-5} < x_{-4} < x_{-3} < x_{-2} < x_{-1} < x_0$$

$$\text{and } x_n < x_{n+1} < x_{n+2} < x_{n+3} < x_{n+4} < x_{n+5}.$$

Now the quintic B-splines $B_i(x)$ are defined by

$$B_i(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{r=i-3}^{i+3} \frac{(x_r - x)_+^5}{\pi'(x_r)}, & x \in [x_{i-3}, x_{i+3}] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

$$(x_r - x)_+^5 = \begin{cases} (x_r - x)^5, & \text{if } x_r \geq x \\ 0, & \text{if } x_r \leq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\pi(x) = \prod_{r=i-3}^{i+3} (x - x_r).$$

It can be shown that the set $\{B_{-2}(x), B_{-1}(x), B_0(x), \dots, B_n(x), B_{n+1}(x), B_{n+2}(x)\}$ forms a basis for the space $S_5(\pi)$ of quintic polynomial splines. The quintic B-splines are the unique nonzero splines of smallest compact support with knots at

$$x_{-5} < x_{-4} < x_{-3} < x_{-2} < x_{-1} < x_0 < \dots < x_n < x_{n+1} < x_{n+2} < x_{n+3} < x_{n+4} < x_{n+5}$$

IV. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

To solve the boundary value problem (1)-(2) by the collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions, we define the approximation for $y(x)$ as

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=-2}^{n+2} \alpha_j B_j(x) \quad (3)$$

where α_j 's are the nodal parameters to be determined. In the present method, the internal mesh points are selected as the collocation points. In collocation method, the number of basis functions in the approximation should match with the number of selected collocation points [21]. Here the number of basis functions in the approximation (3) is $n+5$, where as the number of selected collocation points is $n-1$. So, there is a need to redefine the basis functions into a new set of basis functions which in number match with the number of selected collocation points. The procedure for redefining the basis functions is as follows:

Using the quintic B-splines described in section 3 and the Dirichlet boundary conditions of (2), we get the approximate solution at the boundary points as

$$y(c) = y(x_0) = \sum_{j=-2}^2 \alpha_j B_j(x_0) = A_0 \quad (4)$$

$$y(d) = y(x_n) = \sum_{j=n-2}^{n+2} \alpha_j B_j(x_n) = B_0. \quad (5)$$

Eliminating α_{-2} and α_{n+2} from the equations (3), (4), and (5) we get the approximation for $y(x)$ as

$$y(x) = w_1(x) + \sum_{j=-1}^{n+1} \alpha_j P_j(x) \quad (6)$$

where

$$w_1(x) = \frac{A_0}{B_{-2}(x_0)} B_{-2}(x) + \frac{B_0}{B_{n+2}(x_n)} B_{n+2}(x)$$

and $P_j(x) =$

$$\begin{cases} B_j(x) - \frac{B_j(x_0)}{B_{-2}(x_0)} B_{-2}(x), & \text{for } j = -1, 0, 1, 2 \\ B_j(x), & \text{for } j = 3, 4, \dots, n-3 \\ B_j(x) - \frac{B_j(x_n)}{B_{n+2}(x_n)} B_{n+2}(x), & \text{for } j = n-2, n-1, n, n+1 \end{cases}$$

Using the Neumann boundary conditions of (2) to the approximate solution $y(x)$ in (6), we get

$$y'(c) = y'(x_0) = w'_1(x_0) + \alpha_{-1}P'_{-1}(x_0) + \alpha_0P'_0(x_0) + \alpha_1P'_1(x_0) + \alpha_2P'_2(x_0) = A_1 \quad (7)$$

$$y'(d) = y'(x_n) = w'_1(x_n) + \alpha_{n-2}P'_{n-2}(x_n) + \alpha_{n-1}P'_{n-1}(x_n) + \alpha_nP'_n(x_n) + \alpha_{n+1}P'_{n+1}(x_n) = B_1. \quad (8)$$

Now, eliminating α_{-1} and α_{n+1} from the equations (6),(7) ,and (8), we get the approximation for $y(x)$ as

$$y(x) = w_2(x) + \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j Q_j(x) \quad (9)$$

where

$$w_2(x) = w_1(x) + \frac{A_1 - w'_1(x_0)}{P'_{-1}(x_0)} P_{-1}(x) + \frac{B_1 - w'_1(x_n)}{P'_{n+1}(x_n)} P_{n+1}(x)$$

and $Q_j(x) =$

$$\begin{cases} P_j(x) - \frac{P'_j(x_0)}{P'_{-1}(x_0)} P_{-1}(x), & \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2 \\ P_j(x), & \text{for } j = 3, 4, \dots, n-3 \\ P_j(x) - \frac{P'_j(x_n)}{P'_{n+1}(x_n)} P_{n+1}(x), & \text{for } j = n-2, n-1, n. \end{cases}$$

Using the boundary conditions $y''(c) = A_2$ and $y''(d) = B_2$ of (20) to the approximate solution $y(x)$ in (9), we get

$$y''(c) = y''(x_0) = w''_2(x_0) + \alpha_0Q''_0(x_0) + \alpha_1Q''_1(x_0) + \alpha_2Q''_2(x_0) = A_2 \quad (10)$$

$$y''(d) = y''(x_n) = w''_2(x_n) + \alpha_{n-2}Q''_{n-2}(x_n) + \alpha_{n-1}Q''_{n-1}(x_n) + \alpha_nQ''_n(x_n) = B_2. \quad (11)$$

Now, eliminating α_0 and α_n from the equations (9),(10), and (11) we get the approximation for $y(x)$ as

$$y(x) = w(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j(x) \quad (12)$$

where

$$w(x) = w_2(x) + \frac{A_2 - w''_2(x_0)}{Q''_0(x_0)} Q_0(x) + \frac{B_2 - w''_2(x_n)}{Q''_n(x_n)} Q_n(x)$$

and $\tilde{B}_j(x)$

$$\begin{cases} Q_j(x) - \frac{Q''_j(x_0)}{Q''_0(x_0)} Q_0(x), & \text{for } j = 1, 2 \\ Q_j(x), & \text{for } j = 3, 4, \dots, n-3 \\ Q_j(x) - \frac{Q''_j(x_n)}{Q''_n(x_n)} Q_n(x), & \text{for } j = n-2, n-1, \end{cases}$$

Now the new basis functions for the approximation $y(x)$ are $\{\tilde{B}_j(x), j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and they are in number matching with the number of selected collocation points. Since the approximation for $y(x)$ in (12) is a quintic approximation, let us approximate $y^{(5)}$ and $y^{(6)}$ at the selected collocation points with central differences as

$$y_i^{(5)} = \frac{y_{i+1}^{(4)} - y_{i-1}^{(4)}}{2h} \text{ and } y_i^{(6)} = \frac{y_{i+1}^{(4)} - 2y_i^{(4)} + y_{i-1}^{(4)}}{h^2} \quad (13)$$

where

$$y_i = y(x_i) = w(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j(x_i) \quad (14)$$

Now applying collocation method to (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x_i)y_i^{(6)} + a_1(x_i)y_i^{(5)} + a_2(x_i)y_i^{(4)} + a_3(x_i)y_i''' + a_4(x_i)y_i'' + a_5(x_i)y_i' + a_6(x_i)y_i &= b(x_i) \\ \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Using (13) and (14) in (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} \left[w^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \left\{ w^{(4)}(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_i) \right\} \right. \\ & \left. + w^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) \right] \\ & + \frac{a_1(x_i)}{2h} \left[w^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) \right. \\ & \left. - \left\{ w^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) \right\} \right] \\ & + a_2(x_i) \left[w^{(4)}(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_i) \right] \\ & + a_3(x_i) \left[w'''(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j'''(x_i) \right] \\ & + a_4(x_i) \left[w''(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j''(x_i) \right] \\ & + a_5(x_i) \left[w'(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j'(x_i) \right] \\ & + a_6(x_i) \left[w(x_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j \tilde{B}_j(x_i) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= b(x_i) \quad \text{for } i=1,2,\dots,n-1. \quad (16)$$

Rearranging the terms and writing the system of equations (16) in matrix form, we get

$$A\alpha = B \quad (17)$$

where

$$A = [a_{ij}];$$

$$a_{ij} = \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) \left(\frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} - \frac{a_1(x_i)}{2h} \right)$$

$$+ \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_i) \left(-2 \frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} \right)$$

$$+ \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) \left(\frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} + \frac{a_1(x_i)}{2h} \right)$$

$$+ \tilde{B}_j^{(4)}(x_i) a_2(x_i)$$

$$+ \tilde{B}_j'''(x_i) a_3(x_i) + \tilde{B}_j''(x_i) a_4(x_i)$$

$$+ \tilde{B}_j'(x_i) a_5(x_i) + \tilde{B}_j(x_i) a_6(x_i)$$

$$\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (18)$$

$$B = [b_i];$$

$$b_i = b(x_i) - \left[w^{(4)}(x_{i-1}) \left(\frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} - \frac{a_1(x_i)}{2h} \right) \right.$$

$$+ w^{(4)}(x_i) \left(-2 \frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} \right)$$

$$+ w^{(4)}(x_{i+1}) \left(\frac{a_0(x_i)}{h^2} + \frac{a_1(x_i)}{2h} \right)$$

$$+ w^{(4)}(x_i) a_2(x_i) + w'''(x_i) a_3(x_i)$$

$$+ w''(x_i) a_4(x_i) + w'(x_i) a_5(x_i)$$

$$+ w(x_i) a_6(x_i) \quad \left. \right]$$

$$\text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (19)$$

and

$$\alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}]^T.$$

V. SOLUTION PROCEDURE TO FIND THE NODAL PARAMETERS

The basis function $\tilde{B}_i(x)$ is defined only in the interval $[x_{i-3}, x_{i+3}]$ and outside of this interval it is zero. Also at the end points of the interval $[x_{i-3}, x_{i+3}]$ the basis function $\tilde{B}_i(x)$ vanishes. Therefore, $\tilde{B}_i(x)$ is having non-vanishing values at the mesh points $x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}$ and zero at the other mesh points. The first four derivatives of $\tilde{B}_i(x)$ also have the

same nature at the mesh points as in the case of $\tilde{B}_i(x)$. Using these facts, we can say that the matrix A defined in (18) is a seven diagonal band matrix. Therefore, the system of equations (17) is a seven diagonal band system in α_i 's. The nodal parameters α_i 's can be obtained by using band matrix solution package. We have used the FORTRAN-90 programming to solve the boundary value problem (1)-(2) by the proposed method.

VI. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

To demonstrate the applicability of the proposed method for solving the sixth order boundary value problems of type (1)-(2) we considered seven examples of which four are linear and three are non linear boundary value problems. Numerical results for each problem are presented in tabular forms and compared with the exact solutions or numerical solutions available in the literature.

Example 1 : Consider the linear boundary value problem

$$y^{(6)} + xy =$$

$$-(24 + 11x + x^3)e^x, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (20)$$

subject to

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 0,$$

$$y'(0) = 1, \quad y'(1) = -e, \quad (21)$$

$$y''(0) = 0, \quad y''(1) = -4e.$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = x(1-x)e^x$. The proposed method is tested on this problem where the domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals. Numerical results for this problem are shown in Table 1. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 7.361174×10^{-6} .

Table 1 : Numerical results for Example 1

| x | Exact Solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 9.946539E-02 | 4.619360E-07 |
| 0.2 | 1.954244E-01 | 1.847744E-06 |
| 0.3 | 2.834704E-01 | 3.874302E-06 |
| 0.4 | 3.580379E-01 | 6.288290E-06 |
| 0.5 | 4.121803E-01 | 7.361174E-06 |
| 0.6 | 4.373085E-01 | 7.241964E-06 |
| 0.7 | 4.228881E-01 | 6.496906E-06 |
| 0.8 | 3.560865E-01 | 4.649162E-06 |
| 0.9 | 2.213642E-01 | 2.324581E-06 |

Example 2: Consider the linear boundary value problem

$$y_{(6)} + e^{-x}y =$$

$$-720 + (x - x^2)^3 e^{-x}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (22)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= 0, y(1) = 0, \\ y'(0) &= 0, y'(1) = 0, \\ y''(0) &= y''(1) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = x^3(1-x)^3$. The proposed method is tested on this problem where the domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals. Numerical results for this problem are shown in Table 2. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 2.942979×10^{-6} .

Table 2 : Numerical results for Example 2

| x | Exact solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 7.290000E-04 | 3.894675E-07 |
| 0.2 | 4.096000E-03 | 1.216307E-06 |
| 0.3 | 9.261001E-03 | 2.081506E-06 |
| 0.4 | 1.382400E-02 | 2.698973E-06 |
| 0.5 | 1.562500E-02 | 2.942979E-06 |
| 0.6 | 1.382400E-02 | 2.727844E-06 |
| 0.7 | 9.261001E-03 | 2.096407E-06 |
| 0.8 | 4.096000E-03 | 1.219101E-06 |
| 0.9 | 7.289993E-04 | 3.807945E-07 |

Example 3 : Consider the linear boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(6)} + y''' + y'' - y &= \\ e^{-x}(-15x^2 + 78x - 114), \quad 0 < x < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= 0, y(1) = \frac{1}{e}, \\ y'(0) &= 0, y'(1) = \frac{2}{e}, \\ y(0) &= 0, y(1) = \frac{1}{e}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = x^3e^{-x}$. The proposed method is tested on this problem where the domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals. Numerical results for this problem are shown in Table 3. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 4.939735×10^{-6} .

Table 3 : Numerical results for Example 3

| x | Exact solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 9.048374E-04 | 1.808512E-07 |
| 0.2 | 6.549846E-03 | 9.508803E-07 |
| 0.3 | 2.000210E-02 | 2.233312E-06 |
| 0.4 | 4.290048E-02 | 3.498048E-06 |
| 0.5 | 7.581633E-02 | 4.529953E-06 |
| 0.6 | 1.185433E-01 | 4.939735E-06 |
| 0.7 | 1.703288E-01 | 4.217029E-06 |
| 0.8 | 2.300564E-01 | 2.712011E-06 |
| 0.9 | 2.963893E-01 | 1.430511E-06 |

Example 4 : Consider the linear boundary value problem

$$y^{(6)} + y = 6(2x \cos x + 5 \sin x), \quad -1 < x < 1 \quad (26)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(-1) &= 0, y(1) = 0, \\ y'(-1) &= 2\sin 1, y'(1) = 2\sin 1, \\ y''(-1) &= -4 \cos 1 - 2 \sin 1, \\ y''(1) &= 4 \cos 1 + 2 \sin 1. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = (x^2 - 1) \sin x$. The proposed method is tested on this problem where the domain $[-1,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals. Numerical results for this problem are shown in Table 4. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 8.076429×10^{-6} .

Table 4 : Numerical results for Example 4

| x | Exact solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| -0.8 | 2.582482E-01 | 4.172325E-07 |
| -0.6 | 3.613712E-01 | 1.251698E-06 |
| -0.4 | 3.271114E-01 | 1.788139E-07 |
| -0.2 | 1.907225E-01 | 2.488494E-06 |
| 0.0 | 0.000000 | 5.105962E-06 |
| 0.2 | -1.907226E-01 | 8.076429E-06 |
| 0.4 | -3.271114E-01 | 7.987022E-06 |
| 0.6 | -3.613712E-01 | 6.377697E-06 |
| 0.8 | -2.582482E-01 | 3.904104E-06 |

Example 5 : Consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(6)} - 20e^{-36y(x)} &= \\ -40(1+x)^{-6}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= 0, y(1) = \frac{1}{6} \ln 2, \\ y'(0) &= \frac{1}{6}, y'(1) = \frac{1}{12}, \\ y''(0) &= \frac{-1}{6}, y''(1) = \frac{-1}{24}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = \frac{1}{6} \ln(1+x)$. This nonlinear boundary value problem is converted into a sequence of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization technique [20] as

$$\begin{aligned} y_{(n+1)}^{(6)} + [720e^{-36y_{(n)}}]y_{(n+1)} &= \\ 720y_{(n)}e^{-36y_{(n)}} + 20e^{-36y_{(n)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$-40(1+x)^{-6}, \text{ for } n = 0,1,2, \dots \quad (30)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y_{(n+1)}(0) &= 0, \quad y_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{1}{6} \ln 2, \\ y'_{(n+1)}(0) &= \frac{1}{6}, \quad y'_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{1}{12}, \\ y''_{(n+1)}(0) &= \frac{-1}{6}, \quad y''_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{-1}{24}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Here $y_{(n+1)}$ is the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ approximation for y . The domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals and the proposed method is applied to the sequence of problems (30). Numerical results for this problem are presented in Table 5. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 1.329929×10^{-6} .

Table 5 : Numerical results for Example 5

| x | Exact solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 1.588503E-02 | 1.303852E-07 |
| 0.2 | 3.038693E-02 | 5.215406E-07 |
| 0.3 | 4.372738E-02 | 9.723008E-07 |
| 0.4 | 5.607871E-02 | 1.329929E-06 |
| 0.5 | 6.757752E-02 | 1.259148E-06 |
| 0.6 | 7.833394E-02 | 8.419156E-07 |
| 0.7 | 8.843804E-02 | 4.023314E-07 |
| 0.8 | 9.796445E-02 | 8.195639E-08 |
| 0.9 | 1.069757E-01 | 1.713634E-07 |

Example 6 : Consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$y^{(6)} + e^{-x}y^2 = e^{-x} + e^{-3x}, \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (32)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= 1, \quad y(1) = \frac{1}{e}, \\ y'(0) &= -1, \quad y'(1) = \frac{-1}{e}, \\ y''(0) &= 1, \quad y''(1) = \frac{1}{e}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The exact solution for the above problem is given by $y(x) = e^{-x}$. This nonlinear boundary value problem is converted into a sequence of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization technique [20] as

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(6)}_{(n+1)} + [2e^{-x}y_{(n)}]y_{(n+1)} &= \\ e^{-x}y^2_{(n)} + e^{-x} + e^{-3x}, \text{ for } n = 0,1,2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

subject to

$$y_{(n+1)}(0) = 1, \quad y_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{1}{e},$$

$$y'_{(n+1)}(0) = -1, \quad y'_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{-1}{e}, \quad (35)$$

$$y''_{(n+1)}(0) = 1, \quad y''_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{1}{e}.$$

Here $y_{(n+1)}$ is the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ approximation for y . The domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals and the proposed method is applied to the sequence of problems (34). Numerical results for this problem are presented in Table 6. The maximum absolute error obtained by the proposed method is 1.913309×10^{-5} .

Table 6 : Numerical results for Example 6

| x | Exact solution | Absolute error by proposed method |
|-----|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.1 | 9.048374E-01 | 1.907349E-06 |
| 0.2 | 8.187308E-01 | 7.033348E-06 |
| 0.3 | 7.408182E-01 | 1.347065E-05 |
| 0.4 | 6.703200E-01 | 1.877546E-05 |
| 0.5 | 6.065307E-01 | 1.913309E-05 |
| 0.6 | 5.488116E-01 | 1.478195E-05 |
| 0.7 | 4.965853E-01 | 8.702278E-06 |
| 0.8 | 4.493290E-01 | 3.188848E-06 |
| 0.9 | 4.065697E-01 | 2.980232E-08 |

Example 7 : Consider the nonlinear boundary value problem

$$y^{(6)} = e^x y^3(x), \quad 0 < x < 1 \quad (36)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) &= 1, \quad y(1) = e^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \\ y'(0) &= \frac{-1}{2}, \quad y'(1) = \frac{-1}{2}e^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \\ y''(0) &= \frac{1}{4}, \quad y''(1) = \frac{1}{4}e^{\frac{-1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

This nonlinear boundary value problem is converted into a sequence of linear boundary value problems generated by quasilinearization technique [20] as

$$\begin{aligned} y^{(6)}_{(n+1)} + [-3e^x y^2_{(n)}]y_{(n+1)} &= \\ -2e^x y^3_{(n)} \quad \text{for } n = 0,1,2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

subject to

$$y_{(n+1)}(0) = 1, \quad y_{(n+1)}(1) = e^{\frac{-1}{2}},$$

$$y'_{(n+1)}(0) = \frac{-1}{2}, \quad y'_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{-1}{2} e^{\frac{-1}{2}}, \quad (39)$$

$$y''_{(n+1)}(0) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad y''_{(n+1)}(1) = \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{-1}{2}}.$$

Here $y_{(n+1)}$ is the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ approximation for y . The domain $[0,1]$ is divided into 10 equal subintervals and the proposed method is applied to the sequence of problems [38]. The exact solution for the problem (36) and (37) is not available in the literature. The numerical solutions can be obtained for this problem by refining the mesh size. Hussin and Kilicman [25] obtained the numerical solutions for this problem by refining the mesh size. Numerical results obtained by the proposed method are compared with the numerical results obtained by Hussin and Kilicman [25], and the results are presented in Table 7.

Table 7 : Numerical results for Example 7

| x | Numerical solutions obtained by Hussin and Kilicman [25] | Absolute error by the proposed method when compared with Hussin and Kilicman [25] |
|-----|--|---|
| 0.1 | 9.512295E-01 | 2.563000E-06 |
| 0.2 | 9.048374E-01 | 1.049042E-05 |
| 0.3 | 8.607080E-01 | 2.151728E-05 |
| 0.4 | 8.187308E-01 | 3.141165E-05 |
| 0.5 | 7.788008E-01 | 3.391504E-05 |
| 0.6 | 7.408182E-01 | 2.819300E-05 |
| 0.7 | 7.046881E-01 | 1.811981E-05 |
| 0.8 | 6.703200E-01 | 7.688999E-06 |
| 0.9 | 6.376281E-01 | 5.960464E-07 |

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have developed a collocation method with quintic B-splines as basis functions to solve sixth order boundary value problems. Here we have taken internal mesh points as the selected collocation points. The quintic B-spline basis set has been redefined into a new set of basis functions which in number match with the number of selected collocation points. The proposed method is applied to solve several number of linear and non-linear problems to test the efficiency of the method. The numerical results obtained by the proposed method are in good agreement with the exact solutions or numerical solutions available in the literature. The objective of this paper is to present a simple method to solve a sixth order boundary value problem and its easiness for implementation.

REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

- Glatzmaier, G. A. (1985). Numerical simulations of stellar convection dynamics at the base of the convection zone. *Geophys. Fluid Dynamics*, 31, 137-150.
- Toomre, J., Zahn, J.R., Latour, J., & Spiegel, E.A. (1976). Stellar convection theory II: single-mode study of the second convection zone in A-type stars. *Astrophys. J.*, 207, 545-563.
- Baldwin, P. (1987). Asymptotic estimates of the eigenvalues of a sixth-order boundary-value problem obtained by using global phase-integral methods. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, 322, 281-305.
- Chandrasekhar, S. (1981). *Hydrodynamics and Hydromagnetic Stability*. New York: Dover.
- Siddiqi, S.S., & Twizell, E.H. (1996). Spline solutions of linear sixth-order boundary value problems. *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, 60, 295-304.
- Agarwal, R.P. (1986). *Boundary value problems for higher-order differential equations*. Singapore: World Scientific.
- Mohamed El-Gamel, John R. Cannon, & Ahmed I zayed. (2004). Sinc-Galerkin method for solving linear sixth order boundary value problems. *Mathematics of computation*, 73(247), 1325-1343.
- Akram, G., & Siddiqi, S.S., (2006). Solution of sixth order boundary value problem using non-polynomial spline technique. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 181, 708-720.
- Siddiqi, S.S., Akram, G., & Nazeer, S. (2007). Quintic spline solution of linear sixth order boundary value problems. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 189, 887-892.
- Siddiqi, S.S., & Akram, G. (2008). Septic spline solutions of sixth order boundary value problems. *Journal of computational and applied Mathematics*, 215, 288-301.
- Abdellah Lamini, Hamid Mraoui, Driss Sbibi, Ahmed Tijini & Ahmed Zidna. (2008). Spline collocation method for solving linear sixth order boundary-value problems. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 85(11), 1673-1684.
- Wazwaz, A. (2001). The numerical solution of sixth order boundary value problems by the modified decomposition method. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 118, 311-325.
- He, J. (2003). Variational approach to the sixth order boundary value problems. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 143, 235-236.
- Noor, M.A., & Mohyud-Din S.T. (2008). Homotopy perturbation method for solving sixth-order boundary value problems. *Comput Math Appl*, 55, 2953-2972.
- Noor, M.A., Noor, K.I., & Mohyud-Din S.T. (2009). Variational iteration method for solving sixth-order boundary value problems. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat*, 14, 2571-2580.

16. ul Islam, S., Tirmizi, I.A., Haq, F., &Taseer S.K. (2008). Family of numerical methods based on nonpolynomial splines for solution of contact problems. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat* , 13, 1448-1460.
17. ul Islam, S., Tirmizi, I.A., Haq, F., & Khan M.A. (2008). Non-polynomial splines approach to the solution of sixth-order boundary-value problems. *Appl Math Comput* , 195 (1) , 270-284.
18. Kasi Viswanadham, K.N.S., & Murali Krishna, P. (2010). Septic B-spline collocation method for sixth order boundary value problems. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 5(7) , 36-40 .
19. Kasi Viswanadham, K.N.S., & P. Murali Krishna. (2010). Sextic B-spline Galerkin method for sixth order boundary value problems. *International J. of Math. Sci. and Engg. Appls.* , 4(4) , 377-387.
20. Bellman, R.E., & Kalaba. R.E. (1965). *Quasilinearization and Nonlinear Boundary value problems*. New York: American Elsevier.
21. Reddy, J.N. (2005). *An introduction to the Finite Element Method*. New Delhi: Tata Mc-GrawHill Publishing Company Limited, 3rd Edition.
22. Prenter, P.M. (1989). *Splines and Variational Methods*. New York: John-Wiley and Sons.
23. Carl de Boor, (1978) . *A Practical Guide to Splines*. Springer-Verlag.
24. Schoenberg, I.J. (1966). *On Spline Functions*. University of Wisconsin: MRC Report 625.
25. Hussin & Kilicman, (2011). The use of Adomian decomposition method for solving Nonlinear Higher-Order Boundary Value Problems. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, Article ID 724927, 19 pages.