

# 1 Analysis of Pile Capacity of In-Situ Piles In Homogeneous Sandy 2 Soil Using Empirical and Analytical Approaches

3 Dr. Medubi<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna Nigeria.

5 *Received: 10 June 2012 Accepted: 30 June 2012 Published: 15 July 2012*

---

## 7 Abstract

8 The use of static analysis and in situ methods were adopted to evaluate the capacity of piles  
9 in non cohesive soils of a typical sedimentary formation. The piles were for a proposed five  
10 span five span bridge along road dualisation project traversing Northwestern and Northeastern  
11 Nigeria. The results shows that the bearing capacity of piles were higher by the static method  
12 than those evaluated by in situ techniques. Specifically, the capacity of piles ranges from 2829  
13 kN and 2454 kN for static analysis and in situ method respectively. The  
14 latter method has proved to be more reliable and shows more inherent agreement than the  
15 static method.

---

17 **Index terms**— Bearing capacity of piles, bored and cast in hole(BCIH), static analysis, in situ analysis, non  
18 cohesive soils.

## 19 1 Introduction

20 The determination of the load bearing capacity of piles entails a variety of procedures which can be either analytical  
21 or empirical. The former entails an evaluation of soil-pile interaction with several underlying assumptions while  
22 the latter is based on the use of results of in-situ tests and procedures. Dewi and Tjie-Liong as well as Basack  
23 (2008), Shoda et al (2007) and Oyed and Rabe (2008) have all given various approaches to analysis of bearing  
24 capacity based on the evaluation of soil properties viz their interaction with the piles.

25 Evaluation of bearing capacity of piles using data obtained from in-situ tests and procedures have been  
26 highlighted by Shariatnadari et al (2008). Sahedja (2011) used in-situ field test results, specifically penetration  
27 tests to estimate pile capacity.

28 This work attempts to compare the differences between bearing capacity of piles in homogenous, noncohesive  
29 soils evaluated by in-situ and analytic technique.

## 30 2 II. General Description Of The Study Area

31 The data used for this work were from deep soils investigations (DSI) conducted at a proposed 4span bridge  
32 along a dualisation road project traversing North west to North east Nigeria. The area lies within the Author's :  
33 Department of Civil Engineering, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna Nigeria. region covered by extensive sedimentary  
34 formation. Specifically, it is made up of the Keri-Keri formation. This comprises of grits, sands and clays. The  
35 particular materials observed at the project location were primarily sands and silts up to the maximum depth  
36 explored ( 25 -35m).

37 The road lies along latitude 10°-11° 0' E and around longitude 11° 0' N III.

## 38 3 Materials And Methods

### 39 4 a) Field works

40 Field works was facilitated by drilling of five (5 Nos) boreholes between 25 -35m depths along the proposed  
41 bridge axis. This was done with the use of hydraulic rotary drilling rig with the provision for conducting





Figure 1:

1

Sampling of Soils. American Society of Testing and Materials, Philadelphia.

4. Basack, S.(2008) A boundary element analysis of soil-pile interaction under lateral cyclical loading in

*[Note: soft cohesive soil. Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing). Volume 9, No. 4.]*

Figure 2: Table 1 :



- 
- 93 [American Society of Testing and Materials] , *American Society of Testing and Materials*  
94 [Jsmfd] , Asce Jsmfd . SMI 82 p. .
- 95 [Shoda et al. ()] ‘Analysis of ultimate bearing capacity of Nodal base of pile with multi stepped two diameters’.  
96 D Shoda , U Kazumori , T Kawabata , Y Nadamoto . *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International*  
97 *Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*, (the Seventeenth International Offshore and Polar Engineering  
98 ConferenceLisbon, Portugal) 2007.
- 99 [Meyerhof ()] ‘Bearing capacity and Settlement Pile foundations’. G G Meyerhof . *JGED,ASCE* 1976. 102 p. .  
100 (Terzhagi Lecture)
- 101 [Sharatmadari and Karimpour-Fard ()] ‘Bearing capacity of driven piles in sands from SPTapplied to 60 case  
102 histories’. A S Sharatmadari , M Karimpour-Fard . *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transaction*  
103 *B, Engineering* 2008. 32 (B2) p. .
- 104 [Sahedja ()] ‘Calculation of pile capacity based on CPT results in Poland’. K Sahedja . *Studia Geotechnica et*  
105 *Mechanica* 2011. XXXIII (2) .
- 106 [Bowles ()] *Foundation Analysis and Design*, J E Bowles . 1997. (Fifth Edition)
- 107 [Tomlinsan ()] *Foundation Design and Construction. Seventh Edition*, M J Tomlinsan . 2001. Pearson Education  
108 Limited.
- 109 [Astm D 420 ()] *Guide to Site Characterisation for EngieeringnDesign and Construction Purposes*, Astm D 420  
110 . 2009. Philadelphia: American Society of Testing and Materials.
- 111 [Moyed et al. ()] ‘Lateral bearing capacity of piles in cohesive soils based 10. on soils failure strength control’. R  
112 Z Moyed , K B Rabe , A Judi . *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering* 2009. 13. (Bundle D)
- 113 [Method of Testing Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes BS ()] ‘Method of Testing Soils for Civil Engineering  
114 Purposes’. *BS* 1990. 1377. British Standard Institute (Mcgraw Hill International Edition 6)
- 115 [Meyerhof ()] *Penetration Test and Bearing capacity of Cohensionless Soils*, G G Meyerhof . 1956.
- 116 [Astm D 2488 ()] *Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual -Manual Procedure)*, Astm D 2488  
117 . 2009.
- 118 [Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split Barrel ()] *Standard Test Method for*  
119 *Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split Barrel*, ASTM D 1586 -08a. 2009.