

Mitigation of Soil Erosion with Jute Geotextile Aided by Vegetation Cover: Optimization of an Integrated Tactic for Sustainable Soil Conservation System (SSCS)

Minhaz Mahmud¹, Nazmul Huda Chowdhury² and Md Manjur Elahi³

1

Received: 8 June 2012 Accepted: 5 July 2012 Published: 15 July 2012

Abstract

Degradation of soil considered as one of the foremost vulnerability and global threats nowadays not only for agricultural production and food security, but also for the environmental challenges related to watershed protection, disaster management, bio-diversity conservation, sustainable management of natural resources and climate change, furthermore, complication in Civil engineering. In Bangladesh where arable lands are less than necessary, certainly susceptible to severe erosion due to rainfall and flood, particularly when poor agricultural methods are used or preventive measures are not taken. Implementation of Jute Geotextiles (JGT) aided by native vegetation cover was investigated intended to design a sustainable and low cost tactic at Beel Dakatia through the entire year of 2009. Prime consequences were that erosion, moisture content and runoff are likely to be considerably impacted by rainfall intensity, soil surface slope; additionally, combined presence of JGT and vegetation cover reduced rate of erosion about 95

Index terms— Soil Protection, Jute Geotextiles (JGT), Watershed Management, Renewable Natural Resource, Disaster Management, Soil Strength.

1 INTRODUCTION

oil, one of the most fundamental and essential resources of our earth, providing the medium for plant growth and water retention; hence make certain conservation of life in the earth. Prime soil resources of the worlds are finite over the human time frame, and prone to degradation. Natural balances amid pivotal natural resources like soil, water, and plant deteriorated due to disproportionate and Unplanned use of these resources for centuries in Bangladesh. Consequently, a substantial amount of soil loss becomes a very common phenomena and one of the Engineering & Technology (KUET), Bangladesh. Email : nazmul.shuvo32@gmail.com, manjurkuet@gmail.com, cehasan06@yahoo.com, kamrul.hasan2k7@gmail.co most vital tribulations as it has enormous effects on the soil feature, aquatic being, soil productivity in natural and managed ecosystems, and on the entire environment of the country. ??Chowdhury. E. H et. al., 1988) Scientists and professionals are sentient about apposite utilization of soil, and considered soil erosion as one of the most severe environmental problems in the earth and ecosystem (Govers, G. et. al 1990). Around one-third of the world's coastal regions are at soaring jeopardy of soil erosion (Caffyn et al., 2002) for the most part, from land-based sources of contamination and infrastructure development. Erosion is one of the most significant forms of land degradation (soil truncation, loss of fertility, slope instability), greatly influenced by land use and management (Rey, 2003;Bini et al., 2006). Soil erosion would remain an imperative global issue for the 21st century because of its adverse impact on agronomic productivity, the environment, and its effect on food security and the quality of life ??Esrawan et al., 2001).

Detrimental impact of accelerated soil erosion on entire surrounding had been recognized since agricultural societies of ancient date back to Plato and Aristotle ??Marsh, 1864;Lowdermilk, 1953;Dale, 1955). Formerly,

43 a handful investigation of the changes and comprehensive inventories of components of natural resources took
44 place to illustrate the cause, effect, and remedy; which leads to modern research. At present, the focal causes of
45 land use alteration around the world is pervasive use of land for agricultural purposes plus substantial expansion
46 of urban areas and changes in the land cover. This directly affects ecological landscape functions and processes
47 with all-embracing consequences for biodiversity as well as natural resources (Hansen et al., 2004;State et al.,
48 2001). The potential for surface runoff and soil erosion has consistently affected by land use, crop growing and
49 vegetation cover reduction in an enormous quantity (Van Ropye et al., 2001).

50 Evaluation of soil loss along with runoff associated sediment yield is obligatory for the resolution of several
51 applied environmental troubles. For instance, this is important to assess contaminant mobility (Johansen et al.,
52 2003), efficacy of land management treatments (Hastings et al., 2003), post-fire hydrology (Johansen et al., 2003)
53 indices of ecosystem health (Davenport et al., 1998) and archeological site stability (Sydoriak et al., 2000). In
54 favor of the rationale that soil quality has influence over to whole ecosystem, this is essential to take urgent
55 actions for appropriate conservation of this pivotal resource. Although extensive attempt has gone into studying
56 and controlling soil erosion (Pimentel et al., 1987;Renard et al., 1997;Fullen and Booth, 2006) optimization
57 of integrated strategy for sustainable system for watershed management is yet to commence. Sustainable
58 Soil Conservation System (SSCS) implies the prudent use land, water and vegetation to obtain optimum
59 production along with enhancing the productivity of resources in ways that are ecologically protective, socially
60 acceptable, efficiently productive, economically viable and institutionally sustainable with least disturbance to the
61 environment (Hurni, 1997).To achieve sustainable development, sustainable technologies needed to be developed,
62 transferred and adopted (Guerin, 2001).

63 The intention of the study was to amplify our understanding of the effect of different initial soil surface
64 roughness and rainfall intensity on runoff and sediment yield in a variety of stages of runoff generation for the
65 period of comparing the data found from field experiment and from the analysis, and optimize JGT as stabilizer
66 for land as well technical feasibility study of JGT designed for soil erosion reduction, slope stabilization and
67 bio-mass augment; hence providing a sustainable conservation method for land and watershed management in
68 Bangladesh. Moreover, in this study, we investigated the rainfall intensity, runoff, soil moisture contents and rate
69 of erosion on several model beds with the variation of slope.

70 The use of JGT for soil surface management has not received significant consideration despite their potential
71 (Ogbohe et al., 1998). Jute produced in Bangladesh was once known as the 'Golden fiber' accounting for 80%
72 of total world export. In course of time with the advent of synthetic material jute lost that primary position
73 and had to go for diversification (such as JGT) ; nonetheless, strength properties of JGT are often superior to
74 synthetic fibers (Mandal, 1987).

75 Initially it gets the high strength and non-hazardous properties; likewise it is a renewable source of energy as
76 Influence of vegetation cover on water infiltration, runoff and erosion has been reported by numerous investigators
77 including Rauzi (1963), Orr (1970), Busby and Gifford (1981).Vegetation cover provides incredibly imperative
78 function in reduction of erosion rate in quite a lot of mode such as interception, restraint, retardation, infiltration,
79 transpiration etc (Gray and Leiser, 1982). Greenway (1987) notes that roots reinforce the soil, increase soil shear
80 strength, reduce susceptibility to erosion and extract moisture from soil.

81 2 II. Study Area

82 The study area was Beel Dakatia, situated at the district of Khulna, southwestern part in Bangladesh and falls
83 within the Ganges tidal deltaic plain. Lies between administrative boundaries of Dumuria and Phultala Upazilas
84 of Khulna district (longitudes 89°20'E and 89°35'E and latitudes 22°45'N and 23°00'N). The climate of the area
85 is characterized by sultry summers, moderate winters, tropical cyclones, tidal inundation, heavy rainfall and
86 salinity. The average annual rainfall during is about 1,750 mm. (Rahman 1995;Chowdhury 2006)III.

87 3 Treatment of JGT

88 Generally jute fiber is swelled and degraded within six months in water and less durable in acidic, alkaline
89 and other solutions. Therefore some chemical treatment is necessary to convert jute into design biodegradable
90 (5-20 years) and hydrophobic in nature without changing its environmental friendly properties. Designed for
91 the treatment purpose firstly, we collected JGT from local jute mill, after that we prepared a mixer of Copper
92 Sulphate (0.01 kg/m²), Sodium Carbonate (0.1 kg/m²) and sprayed manually over JGT mat and then dried
93 in sun light. As soon as treated JGT were fully dried, we laminated the JGT mat by an emulsion made from
94 Bitumen (0.5 kg/m²) and Kerosine (0.4 L/m²). Finally, we added Sodium Silicate (0.005 kg/m²) solution
95 on the bitumen treated surface and a layer of Rice mill by product (0.075 kg/m²) and kept it under sun light
96 until fully dried. Treated natural bio-mass and it protects soil and can endow with instant rain splash and
97 runoff control, creating a stable non-eroding environment (Mitchell et al., 2003). It also protects seeds in the
98 preliminary stages of vegetative growth and helps vegetation establishment (Langford and Coleman, 1996).

99 Table ?? :

100 IV.

101 4 Research Methodology

102 We established study plots within the Beel Dakatia in such a way that reflects a variety in the slope and
103 vegetation cover. The studies were conducted during the year of 2009 on eight 5.0 X 8.0 m runoff plots. Runoff
104 plots numbered (P1-P8) consisted of a set of eight sheet metal sediment traps with aperture parallel to the slope
105 contour.

106 We used a profile probe to measure moisture contents of soil and implemented a self reading rain gauge to
107 measure the rainfall intensity; in addition at each site, we also evaluated bulk density and surface shear strength.
108 Every plot was different from each other in such a manner that at least one of the three parameters (Geotextile,
109 vegetation cover, and slope) is dissimilar as follows: We prepared bare plots by removing the grass turfs and
110 rotavating the surface and did maintain in a bare condition by regular herbicide treatments. To maintain perfect
111 slope we used level. After implementing JGT mat on four plots, a layer of soil of average thickness 100mm was
112 laid over the mat, later the surface was finished uniformly.

113 We planted native grass in four plots and nourished them. We used traps and water stage recorder for
114 measurement of soil sediment yield, soil splash height, and runoff volume. Total runoff during a rain was
115 channeled through traps fabricated from a 2000 L reservoir and 3 mm mesh hardware cloth in each plot. Each
116 trap consisted of a 30 cm diameter circular tube inserted into the soil, containing a similar-sized funnel on top of
117 the reservoir; however, analogous splash traps have been used by Poesen and Torri (1988). After collecting the
118 jar we dried the sedimentation by oven and weighted them.

119 Our study was distinctive in several respects, as we physically captured soil and sediment in collector traps,
120 more to the point we were able to measure slope erosion directly, rather than relying on ocular estimates or indirect
121 techniques such as erosion pins (Haigh 1977) or erosion bridges (Ranger and Frank 1978). Four technicians were
122 employed during the study to monitor and evaluate overall criteria.

123 V.

124 5 Results and Discussion

125 Variation in erosion rate, runoff and moisture content illustrate the competence of each plot to sustain against
126 the susceptibility of soil degradation. Results showed that during the experimental period total runoff from plots
127 aided with both JGT and vegetation cover was ~70% and ~35% less than those of bare plots and plots with either
128 JGT or vegetation cover respectively (Table -3). Sediment yield from the plots with both JGT and vegetation
129 cover were about 95% and 65% less than those of bare plots and singly treated plots respectively. Although, mere
130 implementation of JGT or vegetation cover can shrink considerable amount of erosion, consequence of combined
131 outcome was tremendous. Mean total soil loss equates to 18, 7.5, 5.5 and 1 t/ha from the bare plots, vegetated
132 plots, JGT plots and combined JGT and Vegetated plots respectively (Table -3). However, amount of slope is a
133 crucial factor for soil degradation, its affect can be alleviated by JGT and vegetation cover.

134 A broad observation confirms that the JGT plays the essential role of catalyst to burgeon native grasses.
135 Whereas in the plots with JGT and grasses contain at least 40% more grasses than those of the plots without
136 JGT implemented. JGT slows down and catches runoff so that sediment settles and moisture retains in the root
137 zone and encourages vegetation growth by creating a congenial climate conducive to augmentation on the soil
138 surface. Moreover, the density of the grass roots within the soil mass and the root tensile strength contribute to
139 the ability of the soils to resist shear stress; hence increase the shear strength of soil.

140 When JGT turn out to be drenched they swell to the soil surface, enhancing the tendency to support surface
141 micro-topography and hence runoff and erosion control. Results put forward JGT aided by vegetation cover
142 are very functional in dipping soil erosion and runoff. This is for the reason that JGT serve as a defensive
143 barrier that dissipates raindrop kinetic energy impact. Following severe rainfall (Graph-1), fine sediment was
144 visible, trapped by the JGT resulting in decreased surface erosion. Besides offering defense, JGT might have
145 improved soil organic matter that bind soil particles and aid the retention of topsoil structure and aggregate
146 stability, thereby reducing surface erosion by encouraging infiltration. Both of the remedial processes increase
147 the quantity of moisture content (Graph-2). This is due to the intermingle opening of JGT, which provides a
148 porous soil condition and water passes into the underlying soil, in contrast grasses absorb moisture in the root
149 zone. Outcome of the treatment in the plots corroborate the significance of retaining protective vegetative
150 covers on sloping land. In view of the fact that vegetation cover serve as a shielding hurdle that squanders the
151 impact of raindrop kinetic energy. Every part of these aspects may perhaps have contributed to the increased
152 effectiveness of JGT in attenuation of soil erosion and total runoff.

153 6 VI.

154 7 Conclusion

155 The results subsequent to one year of research signify the combined implementation of JGT aided by native
156 vegetation cover drastically trimmed down soil erosion rate and runoff. Intended for sustainable soil conservation
157 by means of eco friendly, low cost technology combined application of JGT and vegetation cover can be the
158 factual competent as JGT has distinct advantages in respect of each variable determinant. JGT is excellent design
159 biodegradable, anionic, pricecompetitive and environment friendly material; besides its flexibility and distinctive

7 CONCLUSION

160 physical characteristics coupled with its high spin ability make it an ideal material for new technical applications.
 161 Even though we have been able to formulate several preliminary comparisons and note general trends, further
 162 adaptive relentless research with technology development and participatory dissemination addressed along with
 163 existing functioning relations between the government, multilateral development partners and the local people
 will be necessary prior to obtain optimum outcome. ^{1 2}



Figure 1:

2012

February

F

10

Volume XII Issue v v v v II

Version I

(E)

Journal of Researches in Engineering Global

Weight (g/m²)

Properties of Treated JGT 800

Thickness (mm) Spiral angle (degree)

5 9

Water holding capacity %

275

Tensile strength (kN/m) (MDXCD)

18 X

18

Porometry (micron)

200

Elongation on break %

6

[Note: © 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 2:

164

¹© 2012 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²Mitigation of Soil Erosion with Jute Geotextile Aided By Vegetation Cover: Optimization of an Integrated Tactic for Sustainable Soil Conservation System (SSCS)

Figure 3: Table 2 :

Graph-2 : Plot	Erosion Value Measured in the site (g/m ²)	Erosion Rate Equivalent t/ha/yr	Moisture content (%) at 20 cm depth in August (2009)
			Runoff (L/m ²)
P 1	21050	21	79.5
P 2	17308	17.3	71.9
P 3	8255	8.2	49.5
P 4	7005	7.1	43.3
P 5	6140	6.1	43.6
P 6	4920	4.9	35.5
P 7	1150	1.1	20.8
P 8	809	0.8	17

Figure 4:

-

Figure 5: Table - 3

165 .1 Acknowledgements

166 The author gratefully acknowledges the support provided by his co-authors in preparing the paper. The author
167 is also grateful to the Green Belt Trust for funding the research and Department of Civil Engineering, Khulna
168 University of Engineering & Technology (KUET). Moreover, to the dedicated professionals and technicians has
169 been participated in this project over the years at field and laboratory.

170 [Istituto Agronomico per Oltremare] , *Istituto Agronomico per Oltremare* 37 (50) p. .

171 [Rechareds] , Anderson , K S Rechareds . New York: Willy and Sons.

172 [Agric. Ecosyst. Environ] , 10.1016/S0167-8809(00)00173-0. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ* 83 p. .

173 [Chowdhury ()] , S Q Chowdhury . 2006. National Encyclopedia of Banldesh

174 [Beel Dakatia in ()] , *Beel Dakatia in* 2009.

175 [Marsh] ‘1864) Man and Nature; or’. G P Marsh . *Physical Geography as Modified by Human Action*

176 [Ogboobe et al. ()] ‘A study of biodegradable geotextiles used for erosion control, technical note’. O Ogboobe , K
177 S Essien , A Adebayo . *Geosynthetics International* 1998. 5 (5) p. .

178 [Agriculture Handbook 703, USDA-ARS] *Agriculture Handbook 703, USDA-ARS*, Tucson, AZ. South West
179 Watershed Research Center

180 [Rahman ()] *Beel Dakatia: The Environmental Consequences of A Development Disaster*, A Rahman . 1995.
181 Michigan University Press.

182 [Langford and Coleman ()] ‘Biodegradable erosion control blankets prove effective on Iowa wildlife refuge’. R L
183 Langford , M J Coleman . *XXVII th Int. Erosion Control Assoc. Proceedings of Conf* 1996. p. .

184 [Gray and Leiser ()] *Biotechnical Slope Protection and Erosion Control*, D H Gray , A T Leiser . 1982. New York:
185 Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.

186 [Hurni ()] ‘Concept of sustainable land management’. H Hurni . *ITC Journal* 1997. 3 (4) p. .

187 [Dale and Carter ()] T Dale , V G Carter . *Topsoil and Civilization*, (Norman, OK) 1955. Univ of Oklahoma
188 Press.

189 [State et al. ()] ‘Ecological impacts of arable intensification in Europe’. C State , N D Boatman , R J Borolo , C
190 R Carvel , G R De Snoop , P Eden . 10.1006/jema.2001.0473. *J. Environ. Manage* 2001. 63 p. .

191 [Bini et al. ()] ‘Effect of different land use on soil erosion in the pre-alpine fringe’. C Bini , S Gemignani , L
192 Zilocchi . 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006.06.001. *Ion budget and sediment yield*, (North-East Italy) 2006. 369 p. .

193 [Busby and Gifford ()] ‘Effects of livestock grazing on infiltration and erosion rates measured on chained and
194 unchained Pinyon-Juniper sites in southern Utah’. R E Busby , G E Gifford . *J. Range Manage* 1981. 34 p. .

195 [Govers ()] ‘Empirical relationships on the transporting capacity of overland flow’. G Govers . *Publication* 1990.
196 International Association of Hydrological Sciences. 189 p. .

197 [Mitchell et al. ()] ‘Field studies of the effects of jute geotextiles on runoff and erosion in Shropshire’. D J Mitchell
198 , A P Barton , M A Fullen , T J Hocking , Wu Zhi , Bo , Yi Zheng . *UK. Soil Use Manage* 2003. 19 p. .

199 [Graph-1 : Monthly Rainfall Measured at Site] *Graph-1 : Monthly Rainfall Measured at Site*,

200 [Rey ()] ‘Influence of vegetation distribution on sediment yield in forested gullies’. F Rey . 10.1016/S0341-
201 8162(02)00121-2. *Catena* 2003. 50 p. .

202 [Eswaran et al. ()] ‘Land degradation: an overview’. H Eswaran , R Lal , P F Reich . *Proc. 2nd. International*
203 *Conference on Land Degradation and Desertification*, E M Bridges, I D Hannam, L R Oldeman, F W T
204 Pening De Vries, S J Scherr, S Sompatpanit (ed.) (2nd. International Conference on Land Degradation and
205 DesertificationKhon Kaen, Thailand; New Delhi, India) 2001. Oxford Press. (Responses to Land Degradation)

206 [Hansen et al. ()] ‘Land use Change and Biodiversity: A Synthesis of Rates and Consequences during the Period
207 of Satellite Imagery’. A J Hansen , R De Fries , W Turner . *Land Change Science: Observing, Monitoring*
208 *and Understanding Trajectories of Change on the Earth’s Surface*, G Guttman, C Justice (ed.) (New York)
209 2004. Springer-Vela. p. .

210 [Fullen and Booth ()] ‘Long-term grass lay set aside on sandy soils: A case study’. M A Fullen , C A Booth . *J.*
211 *Soil and Water Cons* 2006. 61 p. .

212 [Lowdermilk ()] W C Lowdermilk . *Conquest of the Land Through 7,000 Years*, (Washington, DC) 1953. US
213 Department of Agriculture

214 [Mandal ()] J N Mandal . *Geotextiles in India, Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 1987. 6 p. .

215 [Renard et al. ()] *Predicting Soil Erosion by Water: A guide to conservation planning with the Revised Universal*
216 *Soil Loss Equation*, K G Renard , G R Foster , G A Weesies , D K Mccool , D C Yoder . 1997. (RUSLE)

217 [Johansen et al. ()] ‘Pulsed redistribution of a contaminant following forest fire:Cesium-137 in runoff’. M P
218 Johansen , T E Hakonson , F W Whicker , D D Breshears . *J. Environ. Qual* 2003. 32 p. .

7 CONCLUSION

- 219 [Chowdhury et al. ()] ‘Riverbank Erosion in Bangladesh’. E Chowdhury , Haque , Md Ziarat Hossain .
220 *Geographical Review* 1988.
- 221 [Orr ()] *Runoff and erosion control by seeded and native vegetation on a forest burn: Black Hills, South Dakota,*
222 H K Orr . 1970. Fort Collins, Colo. (USDA Forest Service Research Paper RM-60. Rocky Mountain Forest
223 and Range Experiment Station)
- 224 [Hastings et al. ()] ‘Slash treatment greatly reduces sediment yield from rapidly eroding pin on-juniper
225 woodland’. B K Hastings , F M Smith , B F Jacobs . *J. Environ. Qual* 2003. 32 p. .
- 226 [Caffyn and Prosser ()] *Socioeconomic framework: A framework for analysis of socio-economic impacts on beach*
227 *environments*, A Caffyn , B Prosser . 2002.
- 228 [Ranger and Frank ()] *The 3-F erosion bridge—a new tool for measuring soil erosion*, G E Ranger , F F Frank .
229 1978. Dept. of Forestry Pub.
- 230 [Poesen and Torri ()] ‘The effect of cup size on splash detachment and transport measurements Part 1: Field
231 measurements’. J Poesen , & D Torri . *Geographic process in Environments with Strong Seasonal Contrasts*
232 *Volume-1 Hillslope Process*, A Imeson, M Sala (ed.) 1988. 12 p. .
- 233 [Van Ropey et al. ()] *The impacts of land use policy on the soil erosion risk: A case study in central Belgium*, A
234 J J Van Ropey , G Givers , E Van Heckle , K Jacobs . 2001.
- 235 [Haigh ()] ‘The use of erosion pins in the study of slope evolution’. M J Haigh . *Technical Bulletin* 1977. British
236 Geomorphological Research Group. 18 p. .
- 237 [Greenway ()] ‘Vegetation and Slope Stability’. D R Greenway . *Slope Stability*, M (ed.) 1987.
- 238 [Davenport et al. ()] ‘Viewpoint: Sustainability of pin on juniper ecosystems—A unifying perspective of soil erosion
239 thresholds’. D W Davenport , D D Breshears , B P Wilcox , C D Allen . *J. Range Manage* 1998. 51 p. .
- 240 [Rauzi ()] ‘Water intake and plant composition as affected by differential grazing on rangeland’. F Rauzi . *J. Soil*
241 *Water Conserv* 1963. 18 p. .
- 242 [Guerin ()] *Why sustainable innovations are not always adopted, Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, T F
243 Guerin . 2001. 34 p. .
- 244 [Pimentel et al. ()] ‘World agriculture and soil erosion: Erosion threatens world food production’. D Pimentel ,
245 J Allen , A Beers , L Guinaud , R Linder , P McLaughlin . *Bio-Sci* 1987. 37 p. .
- 246 [Sydoriak et al. ()] ‘Would ecological landscape restoration make the Bandelier Wilderness more or less of a
247 wilderness?’. C A Sydoriak , C D Allen , B F Jacobs . *Proceedings: Wilderness Science in a Time of Change*
248 *Conference: Wilderness Ecosystems, Threats, and Man-agement*, (Wilderness Science in a Time of Change
249 Conference: Wilderness Ecosystems, Threats, and Man-agement Missoula, MT; UT) 2000. May 1999. USDA
250 Forest Service. 5. (RMRS-P-15) (Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden)