

Fuzzy Approach for Enhanced Edge Detection Algorithm by Entropy Optimization

Dr. Gurpreet Kaur¹ and Varun Raj²

¹ Guru Gobind Singh Indraprasth University, New Delhi, India.

Received: 10 December 2011 Accepted: 31 December 2011 Published: 15 January 2012

Abstract

In this paper fuzzy based canny edge detection is explained. Global contrast intensification and local fuzzy edge detection are the two phases explained and is then merged with Canny operator for better results specially for noisy images and low contrast images. The resultant images are obtained using MATLAB which is the most convenient software and is efficient in terms of Image Processing as it is one of its toolbox. Although first-order linear filters constitute the algorithms most widely applied to edge detection in digital images but they don't allow good results to be obtained where the contrast varies a lot, due to non-uniform lighting, as it happens during acquisition of most part of natural images.

Index terms— Edge detector, fuzzy image processing, image enhancement, entropy, contrast intensification operator, fuzzifier, crossover point, Gaussian membership

1 Introduction

Edge detection is one of the fundamental issues of digital image which is a method of segmentation. Edge detection significantly reduces the amount of data and filters out useless information, while preserving the important structural properties in an image. since edge detection is in the forefront of image processing for object detection, it is crucial to have good understanding of edge detection algorithms. Therefore, precise edge detection is required for numerous image analysis, evaluation and recognition techniques. In the past, a lot of research has been done in the area of image segmentation in various applications using edge detection.

The underlying idea of most edge detection techniques is by the computation of a local first or second derivative operator, followed by some regularization technique to reduce the effects of noise. Earlier edge detection methods, such as Sobel, Prewitt and Roberts' operator used local gradient method to detect edges along a specified direction.

The lack of noise control resulted in their poor performance on blurred or noisy images.

Canny [1] proposed a method to counter noise problems, wherein the image is convolved with the first order derivatives of Gaussian filter for smoothing in the local gradient direction followed by edge detection by thresholding. Marr and Hildreth [2] proposed an algorithm that finds edges at the zero-crossings of the Author ? : Department of ECE, GGSIPU(New Delhi), India. E-mails : gurpreet.preeti.82virk@gmail.com , varunraj.iitd@gmail.com image Laplacian. Non-linear filtering techniques for edge detection also saw much advancement through the SUSAN method [3], which works by associating a small area of neighboring pixels with similar brightness to each center pixel.

More recently, techniques have been proposed that characterize edge detection as a fuzzy reasoning problem.

Fuzzy logic by the local approach has been used in [4] for morphological edge extraction method.

Ho et al. [5] used both global and local image information for fuzzy categorization and classification based on edges.

In this paper, we have proposed a fuzzy-Canny based approach to edge detection that uses both global and local image information. Firstly, we used a modified Gaussian membership function to represent each pixel in the fuzzy domain. After which, a global contrast intensification operator is used to enhance the image by adjusting

its parameters. In this process, pixels having more edginess will be enhanced while that with the lesser will be decreased. The optimization of the entropy function by gradient descent function produces new optimized parameters of contrast enhancement. The second phase involves the edge detection process with local image information by a local fuzzy mask, similar to the one suggested in [4,5]. Then simple thresholding method based on experimental observations and in the last step Canny edge detection is performed to link the edges obtained and results for very low contrast and noisy images are discussed in the paper.

2 II.

3 Global Contrast Intensification a) Fuzzy image representation

To represent an image in fuzzy domain from spatial domain, a gray tone image R of dimension $M \times N$ and L levels can be considered as an array of fuzzy singleton sets: $R = \{(\mu_{mn}, x_{mn}) \text{ where } m=1, \dots, M; n=1, \dots, N\}$

Here each pixel has some intensity value x_{mn} and its grade its membership grade μ_{mn} ($0 \leq \mu_{mn} \leq 1$) relative to some brightness level in the range $\mu_{mn} = G(x_{mn}) = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \frac{x_{mn} - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}]$ (2)

Here $G(x_{mn})$ is a Gaussian function, and x_{\min} , x_{\max} are the minimum and (m, n) th gray values respectively. A fuzzy histogram is used to obtain the frequency of occurrence of membership functions of gray levels in the fuzzy image. Thus, $R = \cup \{\mu(x), p(x)\} = \{\mu_{mn} / x_{mn}\}; m=1, \dots, M; n=1, 2, \dots, N$

Where $\mu(x)$ is the membership of pixel with intensity value of x , and $p(x)$ is the number of occurrences of the intensity value x , in the image R . The distribution of $p(x)$ is normalized such that $\sum p(x) = 1$ (4)

Membership function which is based on histogram by which pixels of spatial domain can be represented in fuzzy domain by histogram fuzzification function $\mu_p(k) = \frac{p(k)}{2} [1 + \frac{x_{mn} - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}]$ (5)

k is in the range $[0, L-1]$ and the fuzzifier parameter, f_h can be determined as $f_h = \frac{1}{4} [1 + \frac{x_{mn} - x_{\min}}{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}]$ (6)

$p(k)$ stands for the frequency of occurrence of k in histogram R . In the fuzzy plane, a contrast-enhanced image is low perception (dark), $\mu \in [0, 0.5]$ or high perception (bright) $\mu \in [0.5, 1]$ values. The pixels near $\mu=0.5$ which do not belong to any of the two classes describes the fuzzy boundary and hence they may contain edges.

4 c) Contrast intensification function

We first enhance the image using non linear new contrast intensification function as image degradation is non linear in nature. NINT $[\mu(k)]$ having 3 tunable parameters, that are intensification operator t , fuzzifier f_h and the crossover point x_c defined as $\mu'(k) = \text{NINT}[\mu(k)] = 1 / (1 + \exp[-t(\mu(k) - x_c)])$ (7)

Here t controls the shape of the sigmoid function and the initial value of x_c is taken as 0.5. And other two parameters are adjusted through $\mu(k)$ while t will be fixed instead to control the level of contrast enhancement in the image.

5 d) Parameter x_c and f_h entropy optimization

To access the image quality different type of measures are reported which are difficult to be quantified. In the fuzzy based approach, entropy of the fuzzy set is a functional to measure the degree of fuzziness of a fuzzy set, giving the value of indefiniteness of an image. Entropy E can be defined in terms of Shannon's function S_e

Where $S_e(\mu'(k)) = -\mu'(k) \ln \mu'(k) - (1 - \mu'(k)) \ln(1 - \mu'(k))$ and $\{0 \leq \mu' \leq 1\}$ (9)

Entropy optimization method with pre-set initial values of x_c and f_h . The derivatives of E w.r.t to x_c and f_h are $\frac{\partial E}{\partial x_c} = \sum (\mu'(k) \ln \mu'(k) - (1 - \mu'(k)) \ln(1 - \mu'(k))) \frac{\partial \mu'(k)}{\partial x_c}$ (10)

Where $g(\mu')$ is defined by $g(\mu') = \mu'(k)(1 - \mu'(k))$ (11)

Gradient descent technique is used for the recursive learning of the parameters x_c and f_h $x_{c, \text{new}} = x_{c, \text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial x_c}$ (13) $f_{h, \text{new}} = f_{h, \text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial f_h}$ (14)

Here \tilde{N}^x and \tilde{N}^f are learning factors or learning rates for parameters x_c and f_h . If these two diverge and converge too quickly, the value of \tilde{N}^x and \tilde{N}^f have to be altered respectively in order that the convergence of these values is ensured. We note that the optimization of x_c moves in both decreasing positive and negative search directions. The nearest optimization point of the both is taken as $x_{c, \text{new}}$.

6 III.

Local Edge Detection b) Entropy optimization of parameters α and β At the local window, optimization is also required to fine-tune parameters α and β , as the final edge output depends very much on the values of these two parameters. Taking into consideration that the edge mask is applied locally and does not involve the entire image, the entropy function is taken as $E(\alpha, \beta) = -\sum (\mu(m, n) \ln \mu(m, n) + (1 - \mu(m, n)) \ln(1 - \mu(m, n)))$ (17)

Where the global membership value, $\mu(k)$ is now replaced by the local edge pixel $\mu(m, n)$. The derivatives of E with respect to α and β are obtained as: $\alpha_{\text{new}} = \alpha_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial \alpha}$ (20) $\beta_{\text{new}} = \beta_{\text{old}} - \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta}$ (21)

101 Where α and β are learning factors for both parameters α and β respectively. Similarly, if α and β diverge
102 or converge too quickly, the value of α and β have to be altered respectively to ensure stability.

103 Since the optimization formulae might be burdensome, we may not use all points (m, n) on the image. We
104 proposed using only the maximum and minimum intensity points or a selection of points to represent different
105 intensity ranges. Some Thus, the AND operation is taken to avoid such situations, so that the membership is
106 within $[0, 1]$, that is? $(m, n) = \min[\alpha(m, n)]? 1; \alpha(m, n) > 1; \alpha(m, n) = \max[\alpha(m, n)]? 0;$
107 $\alpha(m, n) < 0; \alpha(m, n) < 0$; d) Edge image thresholding

108 After the edge image is produced through the edge detector, simple thresholding is required to binaries it
109 according to a certain threshold level. An optimum threshold level α is determined through experiments to be
110 in the range of 0.7 to 0.9, where $\alpha(m, n) = 1$ if $0.7 < \alpha < 0.9$ 0 if $0.7 < 0.9$

111 IV.

112 7 Fuzzy-Canny Edge Detection

113 Now after fuzzifying the image we can simply apply canny operator to the resultant image. the simple algorithm
114 for Canny edge detection is given below: V. The Fuzzy-Canny detector algorithm is implemented on low contrast
115 noisy image and prior to the application of this algorithm, no pre-processing was done on the image. As the
116 algorithm has two phasesfuzzy based detection and then implementing Canny edge detector, we present the
117 results of implementation on these images separately.

118 8 Results and Discussions

119 For noisy low contrast images Fussy is a good approach because it involves the enhancement of an image before
120 filtering the edges in which Canny failed to provide acceptable results. This can be explained by the fig. ??2
121 and 5.3 given above. The resultant image can be further improved by using Canny operator which will help in
122 linking the edges and enhancing the edge pixels as given by fig. ??4.

123 9 VI.

124 10 Conclusion

125 The fuzzy -Canny edge detector presented in this paper uses both global (histogram of gray levels) and
126 local(membership function in a window) information and finally edge linking which is one of the step of Canny
127 immensely suitable for applications such as face recognition and fingerprint identification, as it does not distort
128 the shape and is able to retain the important edges and continuous edges unlike the Canny and fuzzy-Canny
edge detector. Choice of some of the parameters t , α and β is crucial for the success of this algorithm. ¹



Figure 1:



Figure 2: a)Fuzzy



Figure 3:



2

Figure 4: 2 ?



Figure 5: 1 .Fuzzy



Figure 6: Fig 5 . 1 :Fig 5 . 3 :



Figure 7:

-
- 130 [Canny ()] ‘A computational approach to edge detection’. J F Canny . *IEEE Trans. on Pattern Analysis and*
131 *Machine Intelligence* 1986. 8 (6) p. .
- 132 [Hanmandlu et al. ()] ‘Color image enhancement using fuzzification’. M Hanmandlu , D Jha , R Sharma . *Pattern*
133 *Recognition Letters* 2003. 24 p. .
- 134 [Rosenfeld et al. (1972)] ‘Edge and curve detection:Further Experiments’. Azriel Rosenfeld , Mark Thurston ,
135 Yung-Han Lee . *IEEE Transactions on Computers* July 1972. (7) .
- 136 [Pal and Pal] ‘Entropy: A new definition and its applications’. N R Pal , S K Pal . SMC-21. *IEEE Trans. Sys*
137 (5) .
- 138 [Ho and Ohnishi] ‘FEDGE -Fuzzy edge detection by fuzzy categorization and classification of edges’. K H L Ho
139 , N Ohnishi . *Fuzzy Logic in Artificial Intelligence, Springer, IJCAI'95 Workshop*, (Montreal, Canada) 1188
140 p. .
- 141 [Ter Haar Romeny ()] *Front-End Vision and Multi-Scale Image Analysis*, B M Ter Haar Romeny . 2002. Kluwer
142 Academic Publishers.
- 143 [Chi et al. ()] *Fuzzy Algorithms: with applications to image processing and pattern recognition*, Z R Chi , H Yan
144 , T Pham . 1996. Singapore, River Edge, N.J.: World Scientific.
- 145 [Bloch ()] ‘Fuzzy sets in image processing’. I Bloch . *ACM Symposium on Allied Computing* 1994.
- 146 [Smith and Brady ()] ‘SUSAN -A new approach to low level image processing’. S M Smith , J M Brady .
147 *International Journal of Computer Vision* 1997. 23 (1) .
- 148 [Marr and Hildreth ()] ‘Theory of edge detection’. D Marr , E C Hildreth . *Proc. of the Royal Society of London,*
149 *b207*, (of the Royal Society of London, b207) 1980. p. .