

Deflection Response of Structural Circular Sandwich Plates Subject to Uniform, Concentrated and Linearly Varying Load

Ayotomiwa Salau¹ and Obanishola. M. Sadiq²

¹ University of Lagos, Nigeria

Received: 14 September 2021 Accepted: 30 September 2021 Published: 15 October 2021

Abstract

This research is based on the study of the deflection response of a structural circular sandwich plate, simply supported or clamped at its boundary, under different loading configurations (uniformly distributed, concentrated, and linearly varying load). The non-linear governing differential equation of the plate was solved using an Analytical method, Variational Iteration Method (VIM), after which the Finite Element Method (FEM) was used to validate its accuracy. Results showed a significantly higher deflection value for the simply supported plate compared to the clamped plate for all the various loading configurations, where a sandwich plate of radius 100mm under clamped conditions generated deflection of 0.05mm when exposed to a uniform load while a deflection of 0.25mm for simply supported condition. The concentrated load was also discovered to have a major effect on the body of the sandwich plate as it was seen to generate the highest deflection among all loading configurations regardless of its boundary conditions where a simply supported condition with a point load had a high deflection amounting to 2.1mm.

Index terms— sandwich plate, circular plate, deflection, variational iteration method, finite element method, analytical method, numerical method.

1 Introduction

sandwich construction has been used in many earlier circumstances, one of the earliest notable application of sandwich panels was in Second World War, incorporated into the construction of the "Mosquito" aircraft, also, its use, particularly the clamped sandwich plates is seen in the design of commercial and military vehicle for both land and sea, for example, the outermost structure on a ship comprises of plates welded to an array of stiffener, sandwich plates are more preferred to monolithic solid plate in shipbuilding because of its high quasi strength, over time its use has been seen in other industries ranging from the space industry, civil engineering, radio electronics, and other sectors of the nation economy (Allen, 1969). Further recent applications can also be seen ranging from Blast resistant door, External cladding, Machine covering, Walls of temporary structures, Signage, Building partitions.

Structurally, it basically consists of two facesheets made of high-strength material which may be isotropic or anisotropic and a core made of relatively flexible, less dense material. The core ensures the operation and stability of the plate. The face-sheet can be made of metal or composite materials and the core can be made of reinforced and unreinforced foamed plastics, rubber, balsa, metal in the form of corrugated sheet, cellular elements, etc. (Zadeh & Masoud, 2017) investigated the bending analysis of a circular sandwich plate under distributed loads with both simply supported and clamped boundary conditions, the governing equations of the circular sandwich plate are obtained and solved using the Bessel functions, validated using the finite element method. The results indicated that under distributed load, maximum deflection happens at 0.3 of outside radius, away from the centre, and minimum deflection occurs at the outer edge of the circular sandwich plate. The results from analytical and numerical methods are compared and it shows that the analytical method provides acceptable accuracy. (Fleck

& Deshpande, 2004) proposed an analytical model for the dynamic response of clamped sandwich beams to shock loadings including the effects of fluid-structure interaction, this loading represents shock loading in air. The analytical formulas are used to determine the optimal designs of sandwich plates that maximize shock resistance in the air for a given mass and the performance gain of these optimal sandwich plates.

This paper focuses on the deflection behaviour exhibited by circular sandwich plates under three basic loading conditions (Uniform, Concentrated and Linearly Varying load) whose boundary conditions are simply supported and clamped. This was done using an analytical solution, Variational Iteration method, to solve the governing nonlinear differential equation of a circular sandwich plate derived by Ren-huai, (1980) while using the Finite element method to validate the solution. Uniformly Distributed = q_0 Point Load = $q_0 \cdot r$

2 II. Analysis

Linearly Varying load =

3 b) Analytical solution (Variational Iteration Method)

The deflection function for both the simply supported and clamped sandwich circular plates were derived from the nonlinear governing differential equation modelled by Ren-huai (1980) for a circular sandwich plate, stated in expression (1). It was done using the analytical solution. Variational Iteration Method, which is an iterative method for solving the nonlinear equations of an engineering system by rewriting the given nonlinear equation as a system of coupled equations, it is capable of reducing the size of many calculations and it also gives rapid convergent successive approximations of the exact solution (He, 2005). It was executed using MAPLE (a computational software). The Lagrangian multiplier for optimization, Consider a general nonlinear system such as,

4 Its correction function takes the form

Applying the above function to the nonlinear expression in (1) to obtain its correctional function, Substituting the value of w_0 and ϕ_0 into the correctional function (9) & (10) and iterating each time to improve the accuracy with due consideration of the Lagrangian optimization function, the value of λ is looped into w to get the first iteration indicated as W_1 below .

$$E \frac{dr^3}{dr^3} \frac{d^2 w}{dr^2} + r \frac{d^2 w}{dr^2} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dr^2} + 2r \left(\frac{dw}{dr} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (1b)$$

$$w(0) = w_0 = w(0) + 2! r^2 \phi(0) \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(0) = \phi_0 = \phi(0) + 2! r^2 \phi(0) \quad (3)$$

$$B = A = w(0), \quad (4)$$

$$w(0) = w_0, \quad (5)$$

$$\hat{a} = \phi(0) \quad (6)$$

$$L[u(x)] + N[u(x)] = L[u(s)] [u(s)] ds \quad (7)$$

$$u^{(k+1)}(x) = u^{(k)}(x) + \lambda \left[u^{(k)}(x) + \phi^{(k)}(x) \right] \quad (8)$$

$$w^{(n+1)} = W_n(r) + \lambda \left[w^{(n)}(r) + \phi^{(n)}(r) \right] \quad (9)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2t \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = W_n(r) + \lambda \left[w^{(n)}(r) + \phi^{(n)}(r) \right] \quad (9)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2t \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = W_n(r) + \lambda \left[w^{(n)}(r) + \phi^{(n)}(r) \right] \quad (9)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2t \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (10)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (12)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$w^{(n+1)}(r) = w^{(n)}(r) + 2s \left[\frac{d^3 w^{(n)}}{dr^3} + w^{(n)} \left(\frac{d^2 w^{(n)}}{dr^2} \right) \right] \quad (14)$$

At $r = 0$, and at $r = a$: $w = 0$; $\phi = 0$ Volume Xx XI Issue II Version I Global Journal of Researches in Engineering () E (2)

The iteration is repeated five times to get an accurate value of the w , a cumulative sum is applied to the iterations steps the expression for w is gotten as, Where the value of Df is substituted in eq. (10) Substituting the values of q for the uniform loading conditions as stated in loading parameters,

5

Applying simply supported boundary conditions with uniform distributed loading, where $r = B + 31r$ (212 (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) B) r $3 + 413 + 1120 Dfqr$ $5 \hat{a}$ $A r B W$ $3 + 212 + 51 (Df tB$ $2 + 41qDf)$ r $5 + 41r$ (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) $+ 21rB$) r $r B + 31r$ (212 (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) B) r $3 + 413 + 160 Dfqr$ $5 \hat{a}$ $A r B W$ $4 + 212 + 51 (Df tB$ $2 + 41qDf)$ r $5 + 41r$ (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) $+ 21rB$) r $r B + 31r$ (212 (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) B) r $3 + 413 + 140 Dfqr$ $5 \hat{a}$ $A r B W$ $5 + 212 + 51 (Df tB$ $2 + 41qDf)$ r $5 + 41r$ (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) $+ 21rB$) r $r B + 31r$ (212 (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) B) r $3 + 413 + 130 Dfqr$ 5 (11) \hat{a} $[j] w^{n+1} j=0 W$ (12) \hat{a} $3r B A w$ $2 + 6 + 45r$ (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) $+ 21rB$) r $4 + 35r$ (212 (Df $2tB$ 2 $21qDf$) B) r $B r$ $3 + 453 + (Df tB$ $2 + 41qDf)$ r $5 + 112 (Df tB$ $2 + 41qDf)$ r $5 + 112 Dfqr$ 5 (13) = Df : E. t. h $2.2. (1v) ? ? (14) \hat{a}$ $3r B A w$ $2 + 6 + 2.96494555 \times 10 B$.00007412363638 ($9 ? 2 + 0$) r $5 +$

6 c) Finite Element Method

Here the finite element solution has been implemented using ABAQUS to verify the accuracy of the analytical method. The sandwich plate was modelled in parts based on the three different layers using 3D deformable solid extrusion, for both the core and the face-sheets, C3D8R, an 8 node linear brick element with reduced integration and hourglass control was applied, and parts were assembled under a tie constraint where the corresponding

100 nodes of connecting layers are joined while considering the surface to surface interaction between the individual
101 parts.

102 **7** {A

103 .

104 **8 Result Discussion**

105 The resulting plots below showed the deflection behavior across the symmetric half-length of the plate, it showed
106 the deflection curve of half the sandwich plate starting from the edge of the plate to the center at origin, under
107 which a Clamped and simply supported boundary is considered for the plate as seen in the figures below. The
108 curve for the Clamped boundary showed a slight tangent relationship with the x-axis indicating a dip before
109 rising to its peak at the center of the plate, this was due to the moment generated due to its Clamped boundary
110 conditions whereas simply supported showed a straight rise from the x-axis to its peak at the center of the plate,
111 unlike the Clamped, there was no dip in the curve indicating the absence of moment at the boundary conditions,
112 the curve for the simple support was quite steeper than of the Clamped support.

113 The shapes of the plot were quite similar to each other, point load is a form of uniform load only that it's
114 concentrated at the center making a more visible impact on the plate, visual representation of this was seen in
115 the finite element model. The clamped support generally showed a lower maximum deflection compared to the
116 simple support, this was due to the moment resistance encountered by the load at the support as a result of its
117 Clamped nature ensuring rigidity. The equivalent Point load shows a higher value of the maximum deflection of
118 0.28mm and 2.1mm across the length of the plate for both Clamped and simply supported conditions respectively
119 but it gives a higher value for the simply supported compared to the Clamped of which reason is due to the
120 focus of the load at the center of the plate whilst all other factors remain constant, figure ?? shows the finite
121 element illustration of the deflection behavior in colour variation across the elemental planar face of the plate
122 indicating the deflection, there was a correlation in values from both method indicating accuracy of results.
123 The Linearly varying load-deflection curve shows a rising deflection plot but a slight shift from the center of
124 the plate, the analytical method could not visually represent this phenomena but the 3D plot show a shift in
125 maximum deflection away from the center of the plate, this is a common behaviour of a member loaded with
126 linearly varying load, the loading values. It showed a higher deflection for the simply supported compared to
127 the Clamped, at 0.3mm to 0.06mm for both supports respectively. Upon combining the deflection plot of the
128 three required loading conditions, it was observed that overall the point load had the highest value of deflection
129 at a max of 0.28mm for Clamped support and 2.1mm for simply supported, the curve for the point load shows
130 a steeper gradient compared to the remaining load types, but both possess similar curve structure as regards
131 its boundary conditions. Figure 11 represents the deflection behaviour of the circular sandwich plate when the
132 thickness of the facesheet to the core is assembled in the ratio 1:2 and 1:4 i.e. the thickness of the core is 2x and
133 4x the thickness of the a facesheet for each respective loading conditions, The respective loading conditions being
134 applied to the surface of the plate include uniform, point and linearly varying load. This was done assuming the
135 boundary conditions are Clamped.

136 The uniform load deflection curve for both thickness ratios show a difference in the magnitude of each respective
137 deflections, the plate with the thickness ratio of 1:2 for facesheet to core shows a higher deflection compared to
138 that of ratio 1:4. In subsequent variations of the loading, with point load in focus, similar symmetrical behaviour
139 is seen in the deflection disparity of both thickness ratios, a steeper curve is seen here as corroborated by analytical
140 method figure ?? with the plate of ratio 1:4 for facesheet to core having a lower deflection compared to that of
141 ratio 1:2. One common trend seen in the plot for the point load and uniform load is that they both have their
142 max deflection at the center of the plate, but the case is slightly different for the linearly varying load.

143 The linearly varying load experiences maximum deflection slightly away from the center of the plate as can be
144 seen in 3D plot in finite element plots, the deflection curve shows a steeper gradient on the side of the plate with
145 the larger load magnitude while a less steeper gradient on that of the lower load magnitude, this is seen in both
146 variations of the thickness ratios, with thickness ratio of 1:4 having a lower deflection compared to that of 1:2.

147 Overall, an inverse relationship is seen between the thickness ratio and deflection of the sandwich circular
148 plate, when the core was twice the size of the facesheet, a larger deflection was obtained while when the core was
149 four times the size of the facesheet, a lesser deflection was obtained. This means a substantial increase in the
150 thickness of the core in relation to the facesheet leads to a lower deflection.

151 IV.

152 **9 Conclusion**

153 From the study, it can be concluded that simply supported sandwich circular plate generally experienced a higher
154 deflection compared to the Clamped supported when placed under the various loading types considered in this
155 research, this is due to the rigid end of a Clamped support which generates a moment to resist the bending as a
156 result of the load. On the other hand, a Point load on a sandwich plate generates a larger deflection compared
157 to that of uniform load and a linearly varying load, this is as a result of the load magnitude concentrated at the
158 centre of the plate causing a focused effect as seen in both the analytical method and the Finite Element Method.

9 CONCLUSION

159 A sandwich plate is quite susceptible to a point load, making it not entirely suited from that kind of loading
160 configuration, a linearly varying load causes a slight deviation of the deflection of the sandwich plate from its
161 centre, this would likely result in a strain on one support end compared to the other. Applications of Sandwich
162 plates can be seen in shipbuilding, staircase landing constructions, walls, and platforms as well, offering space
163 savings and high accuracy resulting in reduced straightening work and rapidly constructed housing using steel
sandwich modules. ¹



Figure 1: Figure 1 :

164



Figure 2: Figure 2 :




Figure 3:




Figure 4: 1






Figure 5: Figure 3 :



4567

Figure 6: Figure 4 :Figure 5 :Figure 6 :Figure 7 :



89

Figure 7: Figure 8 :Figure 9 :




Figure 8: Figure 10 :




Figure 9: Figure 11 :

1

Plate section	poisson ratio (?)	Young's modulus E (Mpa)	Material
Face-sheet	0.3	5500	(Polyester resin/glass fibres) M450 Shell
Core	0.41	896	(Polypropylene) Core

a) Loading Parameters

Figure 10: Table 1 :

- 165 [Anand and Kameshwar ()] , P Anand , G Kameshwar , P , S . 1982.
- 166 [International Journal of Technical Research ()] , *International Journal of Technical Research* 2320-8163. 1991.
- 167 Elzein, A.
- 168 [He ()] ‘A coupling method of a homotopy technique and a perturbation technique for nonlinear problems’. J He
- 169 . *Int. J. Non-Linear Mech* 2005. p. .
- 170 [Zenkert ()] *An Introduction Sandwich Construction*, D Zenkert . 1995.
- 171 [Zadeh and Masoud ()] ‘ANALYTICAL BENDING ANALYSIS OF A CIRCULAR SANDWICH 18. PLATE
- 172 UNDER DISTRIBUTED LOAD’. H V Zadeh , T Masoud . *International Journal of Recent Advances in*
- 173 *Mechanical Engineering (IJMECH)* 2017. 6 (1) .
- 174 [Asymmetric Bending of Circular Sandwich Plate Including Transverse shear in facings Indian J. pure appl Math]
- 175 ‘Asymmetric Bending of Circular Sandwich Plate Including Transverse shear in facings’. *Indian J. pure appl*
- 176 *Math* 13 (12) p. .
- 177 [Kudin et al. ()] ‘Axisymmetric Bending of Circular and Annular Sandwich Plates with Nonlinear Elastic Core
- 178 Material’. A V Kudin , S V Choporov , S I Gomenyuk . *Mathematical Models and Computer Simulations*
- 179 2015. 9 (5) p. .
- 180 [Ansari and Firke ()] *Bending Analysis of Simply Supported and Clamped Circular plate*, S A Ansari , V Firke .
- 181 2017.
- 182 [Co-ordination Action on Advanced sandwiched Structures in the Transport industries Under European Commission Contract]
- 183 ‘Co-ordination Action on Advanced sandwiched Structures in the Transport industries’. FP6-506330. *Under*
- 184 *European Commission Contract* (Best Practice Guide for Sandwich Structures in Marine Application)
- 185 [Mehta and Joshi ()] ‘Finite Element Method: An Overview’. F Mehta , H Joshi . ISSN: 2279-0861. *IOSR Journal*
- 186 *of Dental and Medical Sciences IOSR-JDMS* e-: 2279-0853. 2016. 15 p. . (III)
- 187 [Logah and Daw ()] P Logah , T T Daw . *Finite Elements for Engineering Analysis: A Brief Review. 2011*
- 188 *International Conference on Modeling*, (Singapore) 2011. IACSIT Press. 10.
- 189 [Plate Stability by Boundary Element Method] *Plate Stability by Boundary Element Method*, Berlin, 1: Springer-
- 190 Verlag.
- 191 [Ramakrishnan and Kumar ()] K Ramakrishnan , G Kumar . *Dr. Applications of Sandwich Plate System for*
- 192 *Ship Structures*, 2016. p. .
- 193 [Ren-Huai ()] L Ren-Huai . *Nonlinear Bending Analysis of Circular Sandwich plates. Applied Mathematics and*
- 194 *Mechanics*, 1980. 2. (English edition)
- 195 [Adomain ()] ‘Solving Frontier Problems of Physics: The Decomposition Method’. G Adomain . *Mr. In Analysis*
- 196 *and Design of Structural sandwich Panels*, Boston Kluwer, H G Allen (ed.) 1994. 1969.
- 197 [Rubin et al. ()] ‘Stress analysis of the human tooth using a three-dimensional finite element model’. C Rubin ,
- 198 N Krishnamurthy , E Capilouto , H Yi . *J Den Res* 1983. p. .
- 199 [Kirstein and Woolley ()] *Symmetrical Bending of Thin Circular Elastic Plates on Equally Spaced Point Supports*,
- 200 A F Kirstein , R M Woolley . 1966. JOURNAL OF RESEARCH of the National Bureau of Standards -C.
- 201 Engineering and Instrumentation
- 202 [Fleck and Deshpande ()] ‘The Resistance of Clamped Sandwich Beams to Shockloading’. N Fleck , V Deshpande
- 203 . *ASME J. Appl. Mech* 2004. p. .
- 204 [Abdul-Majid ()] ‘The variational iteration method: A reliable analytic tool for solving linear and nonlinear wave
- 205 equations’. W Abdul-Majid . *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 2007. Elsevier. p. .
- 206 [Ventsel and Krauthammer ()] E Ventsel , T Krauthammer . *Thin Plates and Shells -Theory, Analysis and*
- 207 *Applications*, 2001. p. .