Evaluation of Transformer Grade Oil from Coconut Seed Waste

Otaraku, Ipeghan J¹ and Okwonna, Obumneme O.²

¹ Chemical Engineering Department, University of Port Harcourt, Choba

Received: 16 December 2020 Accepted: 3 January 2021 Published: 15 January 2021

Abstract

This study evaluated the extraction process of transformer grade oil from coconut seed waste using a soxhlet extractor in a batch process. The thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the process were evaluated while a comparison was drawn for the experimental and predicted yields at temperatures of 80, 75, and 70 oC. The mass transfer model gave good fit with the experimental data with R² values above 0.99. The extraction process performed at 80 oC had the highest R² value and the least error and values while the model best describes the process at this temperature. Effective diffusivities (Deff) were obtained as

\[ ??? \times \] at temperatures of 80, 75, and 70 oC respectively. Enthalpy (ΔH) of 34.82 Jmol⁻¹ indicates the endothermic nature of the extraction process and the energy requirement whereas entropy (ΔS) of 104.09 Jmol⁻¹K describes the process as irreversible.

Index terms— coconut oil, extraction, physico-chemical, thermodynamic and kinetic properties, modeling, extraction.

1 Introduction

Coconut oil is vegetable oil derived from the kernel of cocos nucifera Linn. It can be extracted either by mechanical pressing or with solid-liquid extraction using solvent (Sriti et al., 2011; Amin et al., 2010). According to Amin et al. (2010) and Liauw et al. (2008), extraction using solvent gives a higher yield and less turbid oil. Oil obtained from this process can be used as edible oil, production of cosmetics and biodiesel among many other industrial uses.

Whereas coconut oil has been used mainly for edible and cosmetic purposes, transformers have traditionally used mineral oils and synthetic esters for insulation purposes. Such oils serve the dual purpose of temperature regulation (cooling) and insulation in these transformers (Rouabeh et al., 2019; Muhamad and Razali, 2016). As an insulating medium, the oil fills the pores in fibrous insulation as well as the gaps between the coil conductors, windings and tanks, thereby increasing the dielectric strength of insulation. Also, these transformers generate an enormous amount of heat in the winding process which is transmitted by the oil to the radiators by convection; oil from the radiators in-turn cools the winding. Other important properties of transformer oils include: dielectric strength, flash point, pour point, viscosity, specific gravity etc. (Mahanta and Laskar, 2017; Tanteh and Al-Liddawi, 2014). The oil demand of these transformers, in terms of quality, is relative to their rating.

Works on the kinetics of the oil extraction process include: ?ulaiman et Kumoro and Hassan (2006), among others. Kinetic study of solid-liquid extraction depends on the nature of the oil and solvent, temperature of the process, particle size, reaction time and the ratio of the solid to the solvent (Sayyar et al. 2009).
There is a need to seek an alternative to these commercial-grade transformer oils based on considerations for cost, environmental factors and other physicochemical properties. However, to qualify as good grade transformer oil, the aforementioned properties have to be considered. Understanding the extraction process of this grade of oil obtained from coconut also implies having an adequate knowledge of the kinetics of the process, evaluation of the intraparticle mass transfer and the thermodynamic data parameter estimation. This study, therefore, seeks to evaluate these parameters for the extraction of transformer-grade oil from coconut seed.

2 II.

3 Materials and Methods

4 a) Materials

The thirteen (13) coconut seeds used in this study were purchased from a local market at Choba, Rivers state (4° 47'21" N, 6° 59'55"E) Nigeria. Equipment used include: manual blender, mantle heater, water bath, round bottom flasks, marked tape, filter paper, soxhlet extractor, thermostat and beaker. Reagents used were obtained as pure grade namely: n-hexane (95 %w/w) and phosphoric acid (85 %w/w) supplied by Analar and distilled water.

5 b) Method

This work involved the following processes: sample pre-treatment, oil extraction and purification, physico-chemical property analysis, kinetic and thermodynamic data parameter estimation and analysis. These processes were carried out using the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) procedures under the laboratory conditions.

6 c) Sample Preparation & Pre-treatment

The pretreatment process for the coconut oil extraction involved the removal of the coconut shell, shredding, washing and drying of the coconut seed. The drying was done using an oven drier operated at a temperature of 70 o C for 3 days. The dried seed were further crushed using a blender so as to improve its surface area to ensure good contact of the particles with the solvent. The coconut particles were then stored in clean dry containers.

7 d) Extraction Process

The extraction process was conducted using a 1000 ml capacity laboratory soxhlet extractor. Heating of the solvent was done using a heating mantle while the cold water circulating was controlled by water bath. The crushed coconut seed (copra) was weighed, wrapped in a filter paper and placed inside the thimble of the soxhlet extractor while the extraction liquid (n-hexane) was placed inside a reservoir (round bottom flask) for about half its volume. On application of heat from the heating mantle, the liquid vapourized and further condensed in the condenser before coming in contact with the wrapped sample inside the thimble of soxhlet extractor to extract components of solid sample.

The oil was extracted into the extraction compartment through the thimble into the siphon tube and emptied into the reservoir tank. The content of the thimble was exposed to fresh liquid extractant (n-hexane) from the condenser and the cycle repeated during which the solvent boiled off from the miscella. The extraction process was conducted at varying time (1 to 8 hrs) and temperature (70 -80 o C).

The solvent was recovered through a distillation process after equilibrium had been reached, so as to separate the solvent from the extract (miscella) using a Liebig condenser. Based on boiling point difference, the solvent was distilled off and recovered in a flask while the oil was left behind in the reservoir (round bottom flask) as the residue. The oil yield from the coconut was determined by the correlation of Equation 1.

\[
\text{yield} = \frac{\text{Residue mass}}{\text{Sample mass}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

8 e) Oil Refining and Purification

The extracted oil was refined through degumming, bleaching, and de-odourization processes.

i. Degumming: This was done by adding 0.03% phosphoric acid (H \text{PO}_4 \text{ } \text{2} ) inside the oil and the mixture heated at a temperature of 90 o C for 10 mins using a Jenway hotplate with a magnetic stirrer (model 1000) after which the phospholipids were removed after settling. ii. Bleaching: The degummed oil was bleached using bleaching earth (Tonsil 267FF) at temperature of 120 o C over 1 hr period. Filtration of the degummed oil was done using Whatts mann filter paper after which the filtered sample was further heated to a temperature of 130 o C for 90 mins. iii. Deodourization: The bleached oil was deodourized by heating it at a temperature of 180 o C for 10 minutes in a water bath.

f) Physico-Chemical Property Analyses

i. Moisture Content Analysis

A clean 250cm3 beaker was dried and weighed after which 5ml of coconut oil was added into it, and the setup re-weighed. The sample was heated for 5 mins in a microwave oven at 60 o C to evaporate as much water as possible and re-weighed after evaporation. It was thereafter placed in a desiccator for cooling and reweighed. This moisture content was obtained as a ratio

\[
\text{Moisture content} = \frac{\text{Initial mass} - \text{Final mass}}{\text{Initial mass}} \times 100 \quad (1)
\]
of the weight of the oil samples before and after heating using Equation 2."

ii. Flash Point Using the Pensky-Martens' closed test cup method and a 0 to 400 °C thermometer, the flash point of the oil was evaluated. Following standard laboratory procedures, the oil samples were placed in the well or barrel of the tester and a thermometer inserted into the cup to monitor the temperature of the setup which was heated at temperature intervals of 10 °C. The fumes released from the oil were tested with a lighted match stick and the temperature at which the fumes support the flame for about 2 seconds was determined as the flash point.

iii. Pour Point The pour point test was carried out in the laboratory using ice bath, test tubes and a negative thermometer. The apparatus was set up in such a way that the test tubes containing the oil samples were stuck in the ice bath with the flow characteristics monitored periodically at temperature intervals of 3 °C. The temperature at which the oil sample starts to coagulate was determined as pour point.

iv. Density This test was carried out on the oil samples using a known weight of a 50 ml volume density bottle from where the oil density was derived through calculation.

9 v. Viscosity

This was carried out using the NDJ-5S digital Rotary viscometer and the DBK minimag stirrer/heater. The oil samples were placed in the beaker on a heater Year 2021(D D D D)

C and the piston of the viscometer placed inside the beaker. Readings were taken from the viscometer over the range of 45-60 °C. Temperature was monitored using a thermometer placed at the side of the beaker and the viscometer.

10 vi. Dielectric Strength

To evaluate this, 50 g of the extracted oil samples were placed in two 500 ml constant fixed volume beakers which were then placed in an SW19 model of Foster transformer (serial no 91ZA925) manufactured in London. Current was passed through the oil samples until the circuit breaker unit went off indicating the stoppage of current. The voltage at which this occurred indicates the voltage dielectric strength. This process was repeated for three more times while noting the results at each stage.

Where ??? ?? ??? = mass transfer rate of the coconut oil (g/s) ?? = surface area of the mass transfer process (m 2 ) ?? = mass transfer coefficient (ms) ?? ??? = initial (equilibrium) concentration of coconut particles in the solvent (g/m 3 ) ?? ?? = concentration of coconut particles in the solvent at time, t (g/m 3 )

Also, since the extraction was conducted in a batch process, the volume was constant throughout the experiment hence Equation (??) becomes Equation 20.

Where:?? = volume (m 3 ) ?? = ?? ?? (5) ???? = ???? = ????? = ??????? (?? ?? ?? ) (6)

???? ?=(?? ??? ?? ?? ) = ??????? ?? 0 ?? ?(??) ?? ? ? ? ??????? ?? 0 ?? ??????? ?? 0 (??) ?? ???

???? = ?? ?? (9) ???? = ?=?(10)???? = ?? = ??????? (11) ? ln (? ?? ?? ?? ?? ) ?? ?? ?? = ??????? ?? 0 (12) ln(?? ?? ? ?? ?? ) ? ln(?? ?? ? 0) = ??????? (13) ln ? ??? ?? ??? ?? ??? ? = ??????? (14)

Taking the natural log of both sides ?? ??? ??? ?? ?? ??? ?? = ? = ??????? (15) 1 ?? ?? ?? ??? ?? = ??

The model for the determination of the mass of coconut oil at any given time is Equation 18?? ??=? ?? ???

Where ?? ?? = yield of coconut oil at time t (g) ?? ?? = yield of coconut oil at equilibrium (g) ?? = volumetric mass transfer coefficient ?? = time (s)

The non-linear regression analyses of the kinetic model were done using Microsoft Office Excel Solver (2007).

The regression analysis was performed to estimate the model parameters. The coefficient of determination (Y 2 ), root mean square error (????????), the reduced chi-square ( ?? 2 ) and standard error of the estimate (?????) were used as the primary criteria to assess the goodness of the fit of the models to the experimental data. Moreover, the coefficient of determination (Y 2 ) serves as a measure of the closeness of the relation to linearity; whereas the ???????, ?? 2 , and ?????? shows the deviation between the predicted and experimental values. Hence, the best model exhibits the highest?? 2 . whereas the RMSE, ?? 2 and ?????? approaches to zero. The statistical parameters were calculated using the following equations, ?? 2 = 1 ? (? ?? ?????? ??? ?????? ?? ?? ) 2 ?? ?? = (?? ?? ??????? , ??) ? (?? ?? ??????? , ??) ?? ? ?? 1 ?? ???=1 (20) Year 2021 (D D D D ) C ??????? = ?? ? (?? ?? ??????? , ?? ??? ?? ??????, ?? ?? ??????? ) 2 ?? ??=1 (21) 2 = ? (?? ?? ?????? ?? ?? ?? ??????? ?? ? ? ?? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ? ?? ?? ?? ?? ) 2 ?? ??=1

Where Y A exp,j = the jth experimental yield ratio Y A pre,j = the jth predicted yield ratio Y A exp mean = the mean value of the experimental yield ratio = the number of experimental data points z = the number of constants in the model. The scatter plot was equally used to assess the appropriateness of the extraction model at different temperatures. This was obtained by plotting the errors (?? ??? ) against the jth experimental yield ratio ?? ??????? , ?? ? from the correlation:?? ?? = ?? ?? ??????? , ?? ?? ?? ?????? ? (24)
ii. Intra-particle mass transfer

The intra-particle mass transfer for the oil extraction process was evaluated with the Thiele modulus with the assumption that this process was neither controlled nor limited by internal diffusion. To determine the Thiele modulus, the effective diffusivity (D eff ) was evaluated using Fick's 2nd law from the slope of the plot of the Yield vs Time using the correlation presented by Pinelo et al. (2006) as shown in Equation 25

\[ D_{eff} = \frac{\ln Y}{t} \]

Where: \( Y \) = yield of oil (g), \( r \) = radius of coconut particle (mm) \( \Delta t = \) effective diffusivity (m/s), \( t = \) time (s)

The effective diffusivity value obtained from the slope was used to evaluate the intra-particle mass transfer during the oil extraction process using the Thiele modulus as expressed by Equation 28

\[ T = \frac{D_{eff}}{r^2} \]

iii. Thermodynamic parameter estimation

At each experiment, the change in equilibrium constant was evaluated using the linear form of the Van’t Hoff equation shown in Equation 29f from where thermodynamic parameters of enthalpy change (??), entropy change (??), and Free energy (??) were also evaluated.

\[ \ln K = \frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S}{R} \]

Plot of \( \ln K \) vs. 1/T is effective in estimating the change in enthalpy, total energy, and entropy or amount of disorder of a chemical reaction (Scott, 2016).

Where: \( K = \) intra-particle mass transfer, \( r = \) diameter of the catalyst particle (mm), \( D_{eff} = \) extraction rate (s-1), \( r = \) density of the coconut oil.

From the plot of \( \ln Y \) vs. \( t \), the slope and intercept were given by Equations 26 and 27 respectively.

\[ \theta = \frac{\ln Y}{t} \]

\[ \theta = \frac{\ln Y}{t} - \frac{\ln Y}{t_0} \]

The effective diffusivity value obtained from the slope was used to evaluate the intra-particle mass transfer.
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12 b) Physicochemical Properties of Coconut

Tanteh and Al-Liddawi (2014) reported that properties such as moisture content, flash point, pour point, density, viscosity and dielectric strength affect the efficiency of transformer oil. Muhamad and Razali (2016) reported an adverse effect of moisture content on the properties of transformer oil which could also affect its performance. Solid insulation and a risk of paper insulation breakdown are some of the likely consequences of moisture content in transformer oil (Swanson et al., 2018; Hamrick, 2009). Temperature has been reported to also affect the moisture content of transformer oil. Transformer oil (mineral oil) is obtained from fractions of crude (petroleum) and so is more volatile with little water content compared to coconut oil which contains unsaturated acids that can easily absorb moisture. The moisture content for the mineral oil was obtained as 1.5 mg/kg, whereas the moisture content for crude and refined coconut oil was 3.47 and 0.89 mg/kg respectively. Low moisture content can easily absorb moisture. The moisture content for the mineral oil was obtained as 1.5 mg/kg, whereas the moisture content for crude and refined coconut oil was 3.47 and 0.89 mg/kg respectively. Low moisture content observed of the refined coconut oil indicates its enhanced insulating property compared to that of the mineral oil. Previous report (Muhamad and Razali, 2016) have highlighted the adverse effect of moisture content on the dielectric properties of transformer oil, in addition to other similar effect on paper insulation of the core and the winding of transformer. However, low moisture content alone does not disqualify the coconut oil sample as good alternatives to mineral oil since the moisture content can be reduced by heating to enhance insulating property of oil sample. Crude coconut oil had a flash point of 224 oC which was higher than the 203 oC and 154 oC reported for refined oil and mineral oil respectively. This value for the refined oil was moderate because low values for the mineral oil grade shows its ease to ignite and hence a limitation to its usability. Flash point values obtained in this work were less than what was reported by Muhamad and Razali (2016). However these values corroborate the work of Abeysundara et al. (2001) for coconut oil and these values are above the value of 154 oC for standard oil (IEC 296). The low flash point of the mineral oil has been attributed to its exposure to more volatile substances which burn faster at lower temperatures. High flash point values are desirable to aid cooling property thereby reducing the risk of explosion during operation of transformer. Furthermore, El-refaie et al. (2009) reported that flash point changes with the duration of use of the transformer because of age and temperature of operation. High temperatures could lead to the production of hydrocarbons with lower molecular weight causing a reduction in the flash point values of the transformer oil. Based on flash point consideration, all samples considered in this study are good candidates for transformer oil.

The nature and type of bonds between carbon chains of the fatty acids affect the pour point of the transformer oil obtained from the edible seeds such as coconut oil (Biermann and 2007). Pour point of unsaturated acids is usually very low compared to pour point of saturated acids (Bremmer and Plansker, 2008; Franco et al., 2007 and Bassim et al., 2003). The pour point values obtained from this study using the ASTM D97 corroborated the findings of Biermann and Metzger (2007). The refined coconut oil gave a better pour point value than that of the crude coconut oil. Pour point affects the insulating property of the oil when the transformer is used in cold conditions.
weather conditions. It is important to keep this value as low as possible so as not to disrupt the cooling operation
of the transformer by convection. The transformer, while in operation, generates heat which result in a rise in
the temperature of the oil and this is fundamental in pour point consideration (Biermann and Metzger, 2007).

The standard pour point for transformer oil is -40 °C, although there is a tendency that coconut oil can solidify
if the power supply is disconnected for a long time due to its higher pour point which could lead to a failure
of the transformer (Abeysundara et al., 2001). However, unsaturated fatty acids in the transformer oil contain
double bonds and this could pose a challenge when it is subjected to heavy electromagnetic fields (Meshane et
al. 2003). There is a possibility that double bonds may break due to polarization. This work therefore posits
that refined coconut oil is far better than crude coconut oil based on its pour point value.

The refined coconut oil and crude coconut oil had a density of 0.94 kgdm⁻³ and 0.95 kgdm⁻³ respectively
which are both high when compared to mineral oil whose value is 0.895 kgdm⁻³. Moreover, Abeysundara et
al. (2001) reported the effect of density on the flow and convection of transformer oil. Hence the lower the
density, the more efficient the oil and although 0.94 kgdm⁻³ reported in this work is higher than the 0.91 kgdm⁻³
reported by Abeysundara et al. (2001) for coconut oil, it corroborates the standard reported by Biermann
and Metzger (2007) for environmentally friendly fluids. El-refaie et al. (2009) reported the effect of time of
operation on the specific gravity of transformer oil.

A similar effect has also been reported for the oil viscosity by Muhammad and Razali (2016). Viscosity of
the oil affects its cooling property, because the cooling of a transformer is mainly governed by convection, so
it is important to have a low viscosity to facilitate convection. Hence, the lower the viscosity of the oil, the
more effective it is at cooling. Increase in temperature reduces viscosity while the viscosity of the crude and
refined coconut oil decreased with increasing temperature. It is possible that the desired range of viscosity could
be reached at some elevated temperature. At the highest temperature tested in the laboratory (45 °C - 60 °C),
viscosities of crude coconut oil and refined coconut oil were 26 and 24 respectively. Abeysundara et al. (2001)
did not ascertain any effect of oil viscosity on the dielectric strength of the transformer oils obtained from similar
sources because of the nature of the bonds between their molecules.

In this study, the dielectric strength was obtained as 25.6 KV for crude coconut oil while upon refinement and
purification, it improved significantly to 39KV. The dielectric strength of the crude coconut oil shows that it can
be used as insulating liquids in low medium voltage transformers having a capacity range of 69 to 288KV which
require minimum dielectric breakdown voltage of between 20 to 30KV. This phenomenon can be explained by
the degree of unsaturation of the coconut oil sample whereas the refined coconut oil can be used in high medium
voltage transformers having a capacity of 35KV and above in agreement with the work of Bhumiwat et al. (2010).

13  c) Kinetics of the Oil Extraction Process

The results of the model and statistical parameters which was used to access the goodness of fit of the kinetic
model to the experimental data at various temperatures are shown in

14  d) Intra Particle Mass Transfer

Thiele modulus was used to investigate the mass transfer within the particle. To determine the value of Thiele
modulus, effective diffusivity (D eff ), m²s⁻¹ was calculated. The Ficks second law was used to determine
effective diffusivity by assuming the D eff constant with the yield (Y) at time (t) for initial yield of the oil.
Evaluation of effective diffusivity was done using the correlation of Pinelo et al. (2006) and shown in Figures ??
to 9. The density of the oil and the average particle size were found to be 0.94g/cm³ and 0.5cm respectively.
Substituting values for the slope and particle radius, the effective diffusivity (D eff ) were obtained as 2.6058
x 10⁻³ cm² s⁻¹ and 2.6257 x 10⁻³ cm² s⁻¹ at temperatures of 80, 75, and
70 °C respectively. Furthermore, substituting values of the extraction rate (K) and density (?) of the coconut
oil, particle diameter of the crushed coconut (chopra) as well as the effective diffusivity into the correlation of
Giri and Sharma (2000) the Thiele modulus ? was obtained as 2.1751, 2.0909 and 1.8039 at temperatures of 80,
75, and 70 °C respectively, therefore, showing a corresponding decrease with temperature. Low value of the
Thiele modulus indicates that surface reaction controls the process and the good diffusive property of the solvent
??Scott, 2006). According to Giri and Sharma (2000), limited surface reaction decreases the rate of internal
mass transfer diffusion. However, results obtained from this study shows that the system was not affected by the
mass transfer within the particle, because of the small value of the Thiele modulus which was less than 3. Giri
and Sharma (2000) reported that the Thiele modulus values of Coal were 0.1057 and 0.016 at particle sizes of
0.1275 mm and 0.016 mm respectively.

15  e) Thermodynamic Parameter Estimation

The values of the thermodynamic parameters were obtained as shown in Table ?? of the plot of ln ?? vs 1/T (Figure 10) was used to determine the value of the Thermodynamic parameters for the extraction process.
Enthalpy value for this process was obtained as 34.82 Jmol⁻¹ which is comparable to the value obtained for
other agricultural products such as rubber seed and olive cake oil (Meziane and Kadi, 2008; Attah and Ibenesi,
1990). Also, Topallar and Geggel (2010) reported an enthalpy value of 112 KJmol⁻¹; these values are within
the acceptable range of 30 -135 Jmol⁻¹ for these oils. Positive enthalpy change indicates the endothermic nature
of the extraction process and the energy required to achieve this process in agreement with the works of Amin et al. (2010) and ?oppallar and Geçgel (2010).

Furthermore, the mixture contained grounded coconut (copra) in hexane which implies an increase in entropy of the mixture due to the oil molecules extraction. The entropy value which was obtained as 104.09 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ describes the process as irreversible and hence corroborates the findings of Saxena et al. (2011), ?oppallar and Geçgel (2010), as well as Meziane and Kadi (2008).

The Gibbs free energy values of -36709, -36188.5, -35668.1 J mol⁻¹ were obtained at temperatures of 353, 348, 343 K respectively. Negative value of the Gibbs free energy indicates that the process is spontaneous which shows that the energy required to break the solute-solvent and solvent-solvent interaction are more than the energy released in solute-solvent interaction; hence, the reaction proceeds in the forward direction. The Gibbs free energy values obtained in this work were also less than the range of 0.23 -1.25 kJ mol⁻¹ and 33.31-39.57 J mol⁻¹ reported by Sulaiman et al. (2013) for 1.2, 0.7 and 0.5 mm of particle size respectively.

**IV.**

**16 Conclusion**

The extraction process using the soxhlet extractor gave good yield of the oil from coconut seed. For all the temperature conditions, a similar pattern was observed for the experimental and predicted yields of the oil. The density and viscosity of the oil were comparable to that of the commercial grade mineral oil whereas the flash point and dielectric strength were indicative of the cooling and insulating capacity of the oil. The kinetic model gave good fit with the experimental data with R² above 0.99 and the process was best described at 80 °C.

Effective diffusivity (D_eff) were obtained as 2.6058 x 10⁻³ cm² s⁻¹, 2.6257 x 10⁻³ cm² s⁻¹, and 2.6257 x 10⁻³ cm² s⁻¹ at temperatures of 80, 75, and 70 °C respectively. ??? ?? of 34.82 J mol⁻¹ indicates the endothermic nature of the extraction process and the energy required to achieve this process whereas ??? ?? which was obtained as 104.09 J mol⁻¹ K describes the process as irreversible. These findings show the suitability of the extracted oil as good transformer grade oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Crude Coconut Oil</th>
<th>Refined Coconut Oil</th>
<th>Mineral Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture content (mg/kg)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash point (°C)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pour point (°C)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg dm⁻³)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viscosity @ 60°C</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric strength (KV)</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1: Table 1:**

**Figure 2: Table 2:**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Model parameters</th>
<th>Statistical Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yi</td>
<td>R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.08801</td>
<td>0.99952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.08624</td>
<td>0.95469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.07877</td>
<td>0.79496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where n = 8 and z = 2

Figure 3: Table 2:

3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>K eq</th>
<th>Thermodynamic Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>??? ?? (J/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>-36709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>-36188.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>343</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>-35668.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Table 3:
[Karlskrona], Karlskrona. p. (unpublished)
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16 CONCLUSION


