Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

# A Boundary Element Model Applied to the Simulation of Journal Bearings Carlos Friedrich Loeffler<sup>1</sup>, Julio Tomás Aquije Chacaltana<sup>2</sup> and Antonio Manoel Ferreira Frasson<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup> Federal University of Espírito Santo â??" Technological Centre, *Received: 16 December 2018 Accepted: 3 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

### 8 Abstract

The Boundary Element Method presents difficulties for solving certain problems that include 9 sources, body and inertia forces or other cases whose mathematical model includes non 10 self-adjoint terms. This avoids the desired representation of the problem solely in terms of 11 boundary integrals. In this work, a new strategy is presented to overcome that problem 12 through the use of Radial basis functions. Two formulations of this kind are used for solving 13 the distribution of the pressure field generated in a hydrodynamic journal bearing. The partial 14 differential equation of this problem has variable coefficients and cannot be rewritten directly 15 as boundary integrals. Numerical solutions for the 1D and 2D problems are presented and 16 their results are compared with the available analytical solutions or then obtained with the 17 application of the finite element method. 18

19

20 Index terms— journal bearings, boundary element method, radial basis interpolation.

## 21 **1 I**.

Hydrodynamic Model for the Journal Bearing he journal bearings are sliding bearings which use the flow of a 22 23 lubricating fluid around a pair of non concentric circular surfaces given by the shaft and the bearing that generate 24 a pressure field. Under high rotations this pressure field supports the shaft, eliminating its contact with the surface of the bearing. The pressure field arises due to the variation of the clearance between these surfaces, as shown in 25 Fig. 1: The most common mathematical model to approach this type of problem, usually proposed in the design 26 27 of machine elements, is deduced from the Navier-Stokes Equation assuming several simplifying hypotheses. The following features are neglected: the curvature of bearing, the inertia of the lubricant, the external field forces, 28 the gradient of pressure and the velocity of the fluid in the radial direction and their variation in this direction as 29 well. Newtonian lubricant with constant viscosity is also assumed, as well is supposed laminar and incompressible 30 flow. Thus, under conditions of one-dimensionality, the following differential equilibrium equation is given by 31 (Shigley et. al, 2003):dx dh(x) V 6 dx ) x ( dp ? ) x ( h 3 dx ) x ( p d ? ) x ( ?h ] x d p(x) d ? ?h(x) [ x d d 2 2 32 2 3 3 ? = ? + = (1)33 34 In Eq. (1) p(x) is the overpressure with respect to the initial oil injection pressure, V is a mean flow velocity 35 and ? is the viscosity. The clearance h(x)

Author ? ? ?: Federal University of Espírito Santo -Technological Centre, Av. Fernando Ferrari, 540 -Bairro Goiabeiras, CEP 29075 -910, Vitória, ES -Brazil. e-mails: carlosloeffler@bol.com.br, depends on both the curvature and the eccentricity between the surfaces of the components, but it is known, see figure 1. Essential conditions are imposed in terms of the pressure p(x) and natural conditions in terms of the flow q(x), as follows:?h(x)v(x) q(x) = (2) T Global Journal of Researches in Engineering ()

In the previous equation, ? is the density, assumed to be constant. The lubricant velocity v(x) is given by:dx 42 dp(x) dx dh(x) ? h(x) V v(x) 2 ? = (3)

#### QUASI-DUAL PROCEDURE 5

Equation (??) is a particular case of the Reynolds Equation in fluid motion. Despite in many journal bearings, 43 the fluid flow in the z direction does not exist, the pressure can vary axially as a consequence oil output system. 44 In this case, a source term W(z) can be assumed, resulting in a more general governing equation (Panday et. al, 45 2012):) z (W dx d[h(x)] V ] z z) p(x, ?) x (h [ z ] x z) p(x, ?) x (h [ x 3 3 ? ? = ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? (4) 46

Thus, in this assumed model the lubricant can have a similar magnitude in both directions x and z. Concerning 47 the source terms in the right hand side of Eq. (??), both result due to the simplifications imposed in the 48 mathematical model, especially related to the integration along y-direction. Another kind of sources or sinks can 49 be idealized, as those that concentrate in a specific region. It must be highlighted that the height h(x) does not 50 change axially, what allows an easier numerical approach. 51

Equation (??) it is a partial scalar differential equation in which the physical property continuously varies only 52 the position x in the domain ?. It can be verified that this governing equation is similar to that which describe 53 the following cases: torsion in bars with uniformly variable sections; potential flow in tanks with variable depth; 54 and heat transfer in heterogeneous media. 55

#### $\mathbf{2}$ II. 56

#### 3 **Integral Governing Equation** 57

For convenience, henceforth the indicial notation is used for easiness in subsequent mathematical manipulations. 58 Therefore, the governing equation (Eq. (??)) can be adequately written in indicial notation, as shown below:s(X) 59 ], [K(X)p(X), i i = (5)60

In this last expression it was considered the following definitions:) z, x ( X X; W(z) dx d[h(x)] V ) X ( s; ? 61 ) x (h) x (K 3 = ?? = = (6)62

The starting integral form (Brebbia, 1978) is obtained by integrating Eq. (5) over the physical domain ?(X), 63 using as an auxiliary function the fundamental solution u\*(?; X). This function is the solution of a Poisson's 64 problem which is assumed a homogenous infinite medium, submitted to a unitary concentrate source applied to 65 an arbitrary point ?, that is (Brebbia et al., 1980):X); (X); \*(u, ii ??? =? (7) 66

Thus, the integral equation associated with the Eq. (5) is given by:? ? = ??? i i ? X)d?; (\* s(X)u X)d?; 67 \* u ], p(X), [K(X)(8) ( 68

The inverse integral form of the left hand side of Eq. (8) can be deduced by performing two integrations by 69 parts, as shown below, where the Divergence Theorem has been properly applied:d? ], X), ; (\*  $p(X)[K(X)u \mid d$ 70 ]n X), ; ( \* p(X)K(X)u [ d X)]n ; ( \* u p(X), [K(X) X)d? ; ( \* u ], (X)p(X), [K i i ? i i Î?" i i Î?" i i ? ? ? + Î?" 71 ???? $\hat{1}$ ?"???=??(9) 72

Developing the product of two functions in the last term to the right hand side of the previous equation can 73 be rewritten:]d? X), ; (\* p(X)K(X)u []d? X), ; (\* (X)u p(X)K, [d?], X), ; (\* p(X)[K(X)u [ii?ii?i??? 74 +??=??(10)75

Using the properties of the Dirac Delta function (Raisinghania, 2011), one has:) )p()K( c( ]d? X), ; ( \* (X)u 76  $p(X)K, [X]]d\hat{I}?"; (*[p(X)K(X)q|X)]; (*q(X)u {[K(X) X)d?; (*u], p(X), [K(X) i i ? \hat{I}?" i i ? ? ? ? + (*u), p(X), [K(X) i i ? \hat{I}?" i i ? ? ? ? + (*u), p(X), [X])$ 77 ? + ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? (11)78

In the previous expression,  $q^*$ (?; X) is the normal derivative of the fundamental solution and q(X) is the 79 normal derivative of the pressure p(X). The coefficient c(?) depends on the positioning of the source points ? 80 with respect to the physical domain ? (X) and, in the case of being located on the boundary  $\hat{I}$ ?"(X), also of the 81 smoothness of this one (Brebbia and Walker, 1980). It is verified the presence of a domain integral in Eq. (??1), 82 which is treated in the following item, like the approach of the term source s(X), referring to the right side of 83 Eq. (??). It should also be noted that in this case K(X) is treated as a nodal quantity, linearly interpolated on 84 each boundary element. 85

#### III. 4 86

100

#### **Quasi-Dual Procedure** 5 87

Focusing the elimination of the domain integrals that still persist in the right hand side of Eq. (??1) the direct 88 integration procedure (DIBEM) could be used. However, in this case, the Quasi-dual Reciprocity model (Loeffler 89 and Mansur, 2003) is the most suitable and accurate procedure. Quasi-dual (QDR) is a technique similar to 90 Dual Reciprocity (Partridge et al., 1992), but it was developed to approximate first order derivatives in diffusive-91 92 advective problems. The QDR approximation uses linear combinations of primitive radial basis functions ? j , 93 which are multiplied by coefficients ? j in the following form:X); (X ?, ? (X) p(X)K, j j i j i ? (12)

94 In Eq. (??2) X j is the coordinates of interpolation basis points. Considering two-dimensional cases the QDR approach is just suitable for potential fields. However, since the height h does not vary in the z direction of 95 journal bearings (see eq. (??)), the differential given by the left hand side of Eq. (??2) is always exact and can 96 be treated accurately using the QDR. Thus, replacing Eq. (??2) in the domain integral that persists in Eq. ( 97 **??**1), one has:) ; X ( ? ? ) ( c X)]dÎ?" ; ( \* X)q ; (X ? [ ? ]d? X), ; ( \* X)u ; (X ? [ ? d? ], X), ; ( \* X)u ; (X ? 98 ?]d? X),;(\*uX);(X?,[?]d? X),;(\*(X)up(X)K,[jjjjjÎ?"jiijj?jiijj?jiijj?jiij;????????? 99 = ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? (13)

As a last remark, it is important to highlight that unlike other models that use radial basis functions, the inclusion of poles did not improve the results in QDR approach; in fact, the inclusion of poles only increased the round of errors.

104 IV.

## 105 6 Dibem Procedure

When the body force term is given by the simple mathematical function, the Galerkin Tensor is the most effective way to deal with its domain integral, transforming it in a boundary integral. Considering more elaborate functions, a solution of Poisson's problems can be more effectively by global functions in the Goldberg's sense (Goldberg,1994) or then, using the Radial Integration Method (RIM) (Gao, 2002), despite the huge computational time of this later.

Here, the DIBEM procedure is applied in association with the QDR for convenience. DIBEM is also a similar technique to the Dual Reciprocity but the entire kernel of the domain integral is interpolated, aiming to transform it in a boundary integral. The technique already successfully applied to scalar problems governed by the Poisson equation and Helmholtz. DIBEM substitute advantageously standard procedures as the domain integration by cells and the DRBEM. Comparatively, it shows superior performance and mathematical suitableness, since it is more similar to a simple interpolation procedure.

First DIBEM tests for performance are done solving Poisson's Equation, where domain integral is just 117 comprised by known functions. Thus, different coordinates can be used to distinguish the interpolation points to 118 the field points on the boundary, avoiding the singularity in the fundamental solution. Concerning the internal 119 interpolation points, these points do not appear in the final matrix system. A different situation occurs in other 120 more elaborate problems as Helmholtz and diffusive-Advective, in which the regularization procedure is required 121 to avoid singularity since the Provided internal interpolation points are not necessary for the QDR, the application 122 of DIBEM is easier. Thus, the complete kernel of the domain integral is interpolated directly, according to the 123 following expression:) X ; X ( F ) X ; ( u ) X ( s j j j ? = ? ? \* (14) 124

Similarly to the DRBEM, the proposed method also uses a primitive function ?, such as: ) X ( z d ) X ( d )) X ( n ) X ( ( d )) X ( ( d )) X ( F ( d ) X ; ( z j j j j i j i , j j ii , j j j ? = Î?" ? ? = Î?" ? ? = ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? Î?" ? Î?" ? Î?" ? ? ? ? ? (

# 128 7 Discretization

Using radial basis functions, for each source point ?, the interpolation given by Eq. (??) corresponds to scanning all points X j in relation to domain points X. For the QDR, a similar procedure to the DRBEM is followed,

in which the matrix H already calculated by the discretization of the integrals related to the Laplacian is used

- (Partridge, 1992). Double points X j located in the corners should be departed to avoid singularity in the inversion of the interpolation matrix. Thus, one can write:p ] H [ ]p , [K ] , [ ] ][ H [ ] ][ H [ q ] G [ p ] H [ i -1 i = ? ? = ? ? + ? (17)
- In the last equation the ? vector was eliminated based on Eq. (??2), that is:]p, [K], [? i -1 i? = (18)

In Eq. (18), R=R(X;X j) is the Euclidian distance between the interpolation point X j and the field point X, ip is the Kronecker Delta operator, and: R p = [x p (X j) - x p (X)]

(20) It is easily demonstrated that:  $p_{j} = R R = ? (21)$ 

Considering now the source term analyzed in Eq. (??3) by the DIBEM approach, the complete governing matrix equation take the following form: b z ] A [ p ] H [ q ] G [ p ] H [ = + ? (22)

In Eq. (8), the lines of matrix A are comprised of vectors ? ?, which may be obtained from following the basic interpolation equation:?][s] [ [F] ?][F] [ [F] ] [ ? 1 - ? 1 - ? = ? = ? (23)

The radial basis function used in the DIBEM approach is the well know thin plate function, given by: ] R [ln  $_{\rm 147}$  R F

## <sup>148</sup> 8 a) First example: one-dimensional flow

Aiming to evaluate the robustness of the proposed model, in the following tests the function that characterizes the variation of the clearance h(x) between the bearing and shaft surfaces is changed. Actually, this distance is defined by the gap between two circular surfaces; here, it will be successively approximated by polynomials with crescent order, that is, by linear, quadratic and cubic functions. The clearance h(x), the geometry and the boundary conditions are shown in Figure 2.

In these simulations, the pressure values are prescribed zero at the input and output, since internally the fluid flow imposes the overpressure values, while the null values of the flow along the x direction impose the mathematical one-dimensionality of the model.

The average velocity V (see Eq. (??)) is assumed to be equal 1/12 and the density ? and viscosity ? are unitary.

The difference between analytical and numerical values, divided by the highest analytical value, was chosen as a measure of errors. For the one dimensional cases, the analytical solution is available for comparison. Three meshes with 40, 80, 160 and 320 linear boundary elements with double nodes at the corners were used to simulate the pressure field and velocities, while the thin-plate radial function is employed to the DIBEM interpolation. Improving the approximation of the source term according required by the DIBEM approach, a different number of internal interpolation points also is used. The quantity of these points is indicated in each simulation.

# 165 9 i. Linear variation of the h(x)

This simplest and hypothetical case appears as an example solved in most of the classic books dealing with the hydrodynamics of the bearings, serving to show how the height differential -in this case, a linear variation of clearance h(x) -implies a pressure value that throughout the domain examined.

Figure 3 shows the profile obtained by the MEC for the two meshes, with 44 and 84 nodes, and respectively 49 and 81 interpolating internal points, in comparison with the corresponding analytical value. The results presented

171 an excellent concordance, as can be observed.

# 172 **10** Numerical Simulations

For better detailing of the effect of internal interpolation points and boundary mesh refinement, a convergence 173 curve is presented in Fig. 3, in which the average percentage error is expressed as a function of the increasing 174 175 number of nodal points and interpolation points. It is verified that the values of the percentage errors in each 176 mesh are very small and are mainly reduced with the boundary refinement. It can also be seen that only the 177 introduction of many interpolating points without the proper refinement of the boundary is not very effective. It occurs because only the DIBEM procedure requires such points. ii. Quadratic variation of the h(x) Now the effect 178 of height variation amplifies exponentially comparatively to the previous example so that a significant reduction 179 in the precision of the numerical model employed is expected. Indeed, numerical errors have grown, but the 180 results of this simulation continued with very good accuracy, as shown in Fig. 5. As shown in the previous case, 181 Fig. 6 shows an error curve as a function of the boundary mesh refinement and insertion of internal interpolation 182 points. 183

# 184 11 iii. Cubic variation of the h(x)

Considering a cubic variation for h(x), the pressure gradients at the inlet are strongly accentuated and the less refined mesh already presents errors above 2%. It must be highlighted that the function that describes the height h(x) appears to the third power. However, the results are shown in Fig. 7 are still reasonable and reach a very satisfactory precision if more refined meshes are used, accompanied by a regular number of poles to represent the term proactive source or term of the governing equation, which is composed of the mean inlet velocity versus the derivative of the function h(x).

# <sup>191</sup> 12 b) Second example: two-dimensional flow

Many journal bearings have an oil feedback system, as well as many other functional upgrades that cannot be described here. The representation of a squeeze lubricant flow in the axial direction is done hypothetically through a source W(z) (see Eq. (??)). This source should be located in a restricted region but for simplicity, it will be assumed distributed throughout the domain. The purpose here is only to show that the model can solve suitably two-dimensional cases pertinent to the hydrodynamic theory of the journal bearings.

In order to compare the results, a model generated from the Finite Element Method (FEM) (Reddy, 2005) using triangular elements with 20000 nodal points was taken as reference solution, since no analytical solution is available. The sources adopted have the following form: It must be highlighted that the insertion of the source W(z) not only alters the profile of velocities such as also change the values of pressure. For clarity, in Fig. 9 one three-dimensional view of the pressures on the domain is shown. ) z z (10) z (W; 1 V 2 ? = = (25)

## 202 13 Conclusions

The boundary element model developed here was successfully implemented to study the hydrodynamic of the journal bearing problem, a case of great industrial interest. The rotating shaft and the slider bearing are given by two non-concentric circumferences whose clearance defines the lubricant fluid flow and the pressure field. Regarding the numerical model, this variable distance can be computed directly at the nodal level using the simplicity of the BEM discretization.

Mathematically, this problem is expressed in terms of a non-homogeneous scalar field equation, composed of three terms with different physical meaning: the variable diffusivity, the advective effect, and the body force. The diffusive term has been well represented, although the cube of the function h(x) is approximated by linear boundary elements. The advective term was suitably approached by the Quasidual model through radial functions, as well as the body force term, related here to the source.

The Quasi-dual solves accurately onedimensional cases in general or then two-dimensional cases that can be expressed by a potential function, which is the case of the hydrodynamic bearing. It does not require the internal inclusion of interpolating points, which are necessary only to the source representation. Regarding this term, in this case, the application of the DIBEM procedure did not require numerous poles, due to its relative

217 mathematical simplicity.

Unlike to the DRBEM, using the DIBEM approach the insertion of an excessive number of interpolating points does not produce disturbance effects in the numerical solution, commonly reported in the literature as due to ill conditioning matrix problems. Despite the necessary matrix inversion, the computational cost of this model is comparatively lower than that spent using alternative formulations such as DRBEM and RIM.

222 The successful association between the two techniques based on the approach with radial basis functions opens

new options for the BEM application, due to the similarity of the problem addressed and the modeling of other cases in which the properties of the constitutive medium vary gradually along the domain, common in geophysics and soil mechanics problems.



Figure 1: Figure 1 :

225

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>© 2019 Global Journals

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>j = (24) \odot 2019$  Global Journals



Figure 2: A



Figure 3:



Figure 4: Figure 2 :



Figure 5: Figure 3 :



Figure 6: A



Figure 7: Figure 4 :



Figure 8: Figure 5 :



Figure 9: Figure 6 :

- 226 [Mcgraw-Hill] , Mcgraw-Hill .
- [Loeffler and Mansur ()] 'A Regularization scheme applied to the direct interpolation boundary element technique with radial basis functions for solving the eigenvalue problem'. C F Loeffler , W J Mansur . *Engineering*
- Analysis with Boundary Elements 2017. 74 p. .
- 230 [Reddy ()] An Introduction to the Finite Element Method, J Reddy . 2005. McGraw-Hill. (3rd Ed)
- [Brebbia and Walker ()] Boundary Element Techniques in Engineering, C A Brebbia , S Walker . 1980. Newnes Butterworths; London.
- [Brebbia et al. ()] C A Brebbia , J C F Telles , L C Wrobel . Boundary Element Techniques, (Berlin) 1984.
  Springer-Verlag.
- 235 [Loeffler et al. ()] 'Direct Use of Radial Basis Interpolation Functions for Modelling Source Terms with the
- Boundary Element Method'. C F Loeffler , A L Cruz , A Bulcão . Engineering Analysis with Boundary
  Elements 2015. 50 p. .
- [Golberg and Chen ()] M A Golberg , C S Chen . The theory of radial basis functions applied to the BEM for
  inhomogeneous partial differential equations BE Communication, 1994. 5 p. .
- [Raisinghania (ed.) ()] Integral Equations and Boundary Value Problems, M D Raisinghania. . S. Chand Ed.,
  New Dehli (ed.) 2011.
- 242 [Shigley et al. ()] Mechanical Engineering Design, J E Shigley, C Mischke, R Budynas. 2003. (7rd Ed)
- [Panday et al. ()] 'Numerical Unsteady Analysis of Thin Film Lubricated Journal Bearing'. K M Panday , P L
  Choudhury , N P Kumar . International Journal of Engineering and Technology 2012. (v.4, 2)
- [Loeffler and Mansur ()] 'Quasi-Dual Reciprocity Boundary Element Method for Incompressible Flow: Applica tion to the Diffusive-Advective Equation'. C F Loeffler , W J Mansur . International Journal for Numerical
  Methods in Engineering 2003. 58 p. .
- [Loeffler et al. ()] 'Solving Helmholtz problems with the boundary element method using direct radial basis
  function interpolation'. C F Loeffler , H M Barcelos , W J Mansur , A Bulcão . Engineering Analysis with
  Boundary Elements 2015. 61 p. .
- 251 [Brebbia ()] The Boundary Element Method for Engineering, C A Brebbia . 1978. London: Pentech Press.
- [Partridge et al. ()] The Dual Reciprocity, Boundary Element Method, Computational Mechanics Publications
  and, P W Partridge, C A Brebbia, L C Wrobel. 1992. London: Elsevier.
- [Gao ()] 'The radial integration method for evaluation of domain integrals with boundary-only discretization'. X
- 255 W Gao . Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements 2002. 26 p. .