

Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of BuA Backbiting, Diffusion of Monomers and Polymers in the Particle, and BuA Induced Branching

Javier Alejandro Diaz Ponce¹ and Carlos Martinez Vera²

¹ Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana Iztapalapa

Received: 15 December 2016 Accepted: 3 January 2017 Published: 15 January 2017

Abstract

In this work, the BuA backbiting and its kp-gel effect is included in a previous published model for the simulation of the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA. It is then possible to evaluate the branching of this terpolymer and the average diffusion of the monomers, polymers and polymer radicals. The diffusion is evaluated through the propagation and termination coefficients with a modification of the Schmoluchowski equation. On the other hand, it is also found that the BuA propagation coefficient depends on the fraction of BuA free volume $X_{Vf,BuA}$ in the terpolymer and that the kind of model suitable for the simulation of the kp-gel effect is determined by the rate of polymerization R_p , the number of radicals in the particle per mol of monomers R/n and the total diffusion of the polymer radicals in the particle nT DPR.

Index terms—

1 Introduction

he copolymers of butyl acrylate BuA synthesized by emulsion polymerization are important as resins for the paint industry [1,2]. In particular, the increment of the branching of their chains promotes a lower swelling of the BuA copolymer by the solvent [3]. The branching also avoids the easier dispersion of carbon black [4]. Both effects modify the characteristics of the paint with technological and economical consequences. The branching is estimated through the branching density BD, to say, the fraction of branched BuA monomer units to the total number of monomer units polymerized [3].

The branching in a polymer with BuA is mostly caused by the backbiting reaction of BuA (intramolecular polymer chain transfer). The backbiting reaction is substantially carried out through a ring of six carbon atoms (abstraction 1:5), when the BuA ended secondary radical finds a BuA unit in the pen-penultimate position and abstracts the hydrogen of the tertiary carbon near to the carbonyl group (See Supporting Information Section S-1) [5,6]. This abstraction of hydrogen forms a lower reactive tertiary electrophilic radical [7]. We emphasize the word electrophilic because the tertiary radical is joined to the carbonyl group of the butyl acrylate, which attracts the charge of the tertiary radical and increases its electrophilicity. This tertiary radical propagates with a branch of two inactive monomers. The penultimate effect is present in the backbiting reaction of the terpolymer of styrene/methyl methacrylate/butyl acrylate St/MMA/BuA because the presence of penultimate monomers of St or MMA decreases the backbiting reaction as a consequence of steric effects [5]. At low temperatures $T < 80^\circ\text{C}$, as it happens in the emulsion polymerization of St/MMA/BuA, the scission [3,8] and depropagation side reactions are negligible and they are not taken into account in the modeling of its backbiting.

A lower concentration of monomer leads to a higher degree of branching due to a higher proportion of intramolecular (backbiting) and intermolecular polymer chain transfer with respect to the propagation reaction [3]. For this reason, it is expected a higher proportion of branching in the semicontinuous processes. Besides,

it has been found that a higher amount of chain transfer agent reduces the backbiting reaction in acrylics, and correspondingly their branching. There are three explanations to this phenomenon: 1. Transfer of the proton (in the case of thiols) or of the halogen (patching in the case of halogenides) to turn off the reactivity of the tertiary electrophilic radicals; 2. The chain transfer agent decreases the chain length of the polymer chain and there are lesser active sites for the radical intramolecular chain transfer to the polymer; 3. The decrement of the degree of polymerization induced by the transfer agent provokes a diminution of the value of the kinetic coefficients of BuA. This is the hypothesis of kinetic coefficients depending of the degree of polymerization. On this way, a lower value of the kinetic coefficients of BuA induces a higher lessening of the BuA backbiting kinetic coefficients and, in consequence, a decrement of the branch density BD. In the case of bulk polymerization, Agirre et al. [3] discarded the patching of the tertiary electrophilic radicals because the signals in MALDI-TOF and NMR spectra for the Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III.

Modeling of Bua Backbiting, Diffusion of Monomers and Polymers in the Particle, and Bua Induced Branching. patched carbon were not found. Nevertheless, Ballard reported that a more careful analysis of the spectra indicates a signal related to the patching of these radicals. Ballard also found that the incertitude of the temperature in bulk polymerization does not allow determining the precise magnitude of the patching by the transfer agent [9]. In the case of solution polymerization, Ballard concluded through the fitting of computer simulations to the experimental data that the 1 st hypothesis is discarded. This is due to a low value of the kinetic rate of the chain transfer agent which is not enough to avoid the backbiting of BuA. By the contraire, chains with a lesser degree of polymerization decrease the branching of the polymer. These calculations validate then the 2 nd hypothesis. On the other hand, Ballard also concluded that the 3 th hypothesis is not valid because the kinetic rate in degrees of polymerization greater than 3 does not appreciably depend on the degree of polymerization or the chain transfer agent CBr 4 [9]. On the other hand, there are additional kinetic rates in the emulsion polymerization in comparison to the bulk and the solution polymerization: kinetic rate of radical desorption from the particle to the aqueous phase R f and kinetic rate of capture of radicals from the water phase into the particle R a . So, the concentration of BuA monomeric radicals in the particles is influenced by their capture/desorption into/from the particles and then the backbiting and consequently the branching are influenced by these phenomena. Besides, the influence of the surface on the kinetic rates and the concomitant hypothesis of homogeneous reaction throughout the particle must be evaluated because the emulsion polymerization is compartmentalized in particles emulsified in water. The correct assessment of surface dependence of the radicals desorption from the particle, for example, helps to determine more accurately the branching of the St/MMA/BuA terpolymer. The homogeneous reaction throughout the particle was first postulated by Harkins [10,11], but the presence of domains such as a core shell structure in the particle can influence the balance of polymer radicals. On this way, it has been found that the reaction on the surface influences the desorption rate of monomeric radicals R f . This is the case when the backbiting reaction of BuA is taken into account to simulate the molecular weight of the terpolymer [12]. The influence of the surface on the balance of radicals has been found out in particles of 250 nm for PMMA at high conversions, but not in particles of 25 nm [13]. For this reason, it is necessary to determine if the other kinetic rates are surface dependent in the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA. The verification must be done in processes in which the consideration of polymerization in all the volume or on the surface modifies appreciably the outputs of conversion and/or molecular weight. In our experimental setup the verification was done in batch B-3 in which the higher amount of water soluble monomers led to a high desorption of the monomeric radicals from the surface:

This verification was also done in the seeded semicontinuous BS-1 in which the particle volume was high, see below. On the other hand, it has been found in the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA that the transfer to monomer R m is not so important for the evaluation of the conversion and the molecular weight [12].

We consider that the evaluation of the k p -gel effect in terpolymers in which the backbiting of BuA occurs is more sensitive for testing the hypothesis of homogeneous particle-phase polymerization. This is due to the high reactivity of BuA-ended secondary radicals (k_p , BuA0 = 32390 dm³/mol/s at 55 °C) and the low reactivity of the tertiary electrophilic radicals (k_p , BuAbb = 34.45 dm³/mol/s at 55 °C) [5]. The gel effect in BuA causes a high variation of these propagation coefficients [12] and then the simulation model must be more exact in order to fit the outputs of conversion and molecular weight. On this way, the inclusion of the hypothesis of particle-phase polymerization must give outputs in accordance to the experimental results. In this context, the values of the diffusion of the monomers D M and polymers D P in the particle determined by the simulation model must also correspond to the values found in the literature. The behavior of these diffusion coefficients in the reaction can also help to understand the causes of the gel effect. It has been found that the diffusion of St in polystyrene PSt [14] is of the order of 10⁻⁶ cm²/s at W p = 0.5 and T = 50 °C. On the other hand, the diffusion of MMA in polymethylmethacrylate PMMA is of the order of 10⁻⁵ cm²/s [13] at W p = 0.5 and T = 50 °C. Furthermore, the diffusion of the monomers of BuA or MMA in a copolymer of MMA/BuA (20-80 wt%) is of the order of 10⁻⁷ cm²/s [15] at 50 °C and W p = 0.6. For this reason, it is expected a similar trend in the terpolymer of St/MMA/BuA. In principle, the Rouse model can be applied to evaluate the diffusion of the polymers D P in a concentration lower than the critical concentration for the overlap of the polymer chains c* (concentration in which the polymers interpenetrate in the dilution regime). On this way, the Rouse model predicts a dependence of the polymer diffusion coefficient as $D_P \propto (N_P)^{-1}$ [16]. N P is the degree of polymerization of the polymer and is obtained from the one and second moment of the molecular weight distribution of the dead polymer 1 , 2

, respectively. On the other side, in accordance to the reptation model the diffusion of the polymer D_P scales with N_P as $D_P \propto (N_P)^{-n}$ [17,18] with $n = 2$ after the critical concentration for the entanglements c^{**} . Griffiths et al. have pointed out that the exponent should increase progressively from $n = 0.5$ -0.6 at infinite dilution to $n = 2$ at a concentrated solution [19]. By their part, Tulig and Tirrell have emphasized, the dependence of the diffusion of the polymer D_P on the concentration of the polymer solution " c " with a $c^{-0.75}$ dependence of c 0.75 at $c < c^{**}$, and $c^{-1.75}$ at $c > c^{**}$ in accordance to the reptation model [20][21].

In our previous work [12], we only reported the results of the simulation of the backbiting of the BuA-ended polymer radicals. The purpose of this work is to explain in more detail the previous results and correlate the backbiting to the diffusion and the branching phenomena in the emulsion terpolymerization. First, we describe the new equations added to the previous terpolymerization model as consequence of the BuA-ended secondary polymer radicals backbiting. As a general overview, we make a comparison of the outputs of conversion and glass transition temperature between the average $k_p - k_t$ model for the terpolymerization developed in the previous work and the model which includes the backbiting of BuA. After that, we correlate the gel effect to the diffusion of monomers and polymer radicals in order to explain the causes of the gel effect. As a last part of this work, we make an analysis of the dependence of the branching of the terpolymer to the kinetic conditions of the emulsion terpolymerization. We consider that the key contribution of our work is the evaluation by first time of the diffusion of monomers in the particle. Also, our calculations differentiate the diffusion of the polymer radicals from the polymer molecules.

2 II.

3 Review of the Experimental Data

The composition and conditions of batches B-1 to B-4, semicontinuous SC-1 to SC-4 and seeded semicontinuous BS-1 are given in our previous work. The batches B-1, B-2 and B-4 in addition to the semicontinuous SC-1, SC-2 and SC-3 have the same feed composition of St/MMA/BuA (25/50/25 wt%) near to the azeotropic unitary composition. The batch B-3 and the semicontinuous SC-4 have the feed same composition (17/33/50 wt%). The seeded semicontinuous BS-1 was near to composition of B-1 (29/45/26 wt%). The addition rate of monomers was: SC-1 (0.27 g/min), SC-2 (0.48 g/min), SC-3 (0.84 g/min), SC-4 (0.34 g/min), BS-1 (0.50 g/min). The reaction temperature was 55°C, at exception in B-4 and BS-1 in which the reaction temperature was 70°C [12]. The strategy of monomers addition and initial composition of the seed in seeded semicontinuous BS-1 tried to keep the proportion of the monomers in the terpolymer almost constant throughout the polymerization reaction. For that reason, the reaction of the batch used as seed was near the unitary azeotropic composition and was stopped at 77.5 % wt% of conversion in order to avoid a higher drift in the composition of the monomers in the terpolymer. This batch was then distilled at low pressure in order to discard the residual monomers. The conversion only increased to 79.2 wt% after the distillation. Most of the monomers in the emulsion were in the particles and the higher boiling point of the acrylic monomers with respect to water produced their lower rate of distillation. So, in accordance to the mass balance, the water was the most distilled liquid and the proportion of monomers in the emulsion slightly increased from 3.2 to 4.1 wt%. On this way, from the 150 g of the distilled emulsion that was used as seed, the mass of monomers was 6.2 g as reported in the previous work [12]. In addition, the values of the final molecular weight for the processes are: B-1: $M_n = 45000$, $M_w = 102000$; B-2: $M_n = 31000$, $M_w = 57000$; B-3: $M_n = 34000$, $M_w = 52000$; B-4: $M_n = 34000$, $M_w = 52000$; SC-1: $M_n = 28000$, $M_w = 47000$; SC-2: $M_n = 34000$, $M_w = 65000$; SC-3: $M_n = 43000$, $M_w = 72000$; BS-1: $M_n = 98000$, $M_w = 299000$ g/mol [22][23].

We have made the simulation of the transition glass temperature T_g and DSC thermograms. We have verified its results with the experimental DSC thermograms obtained in a Dupont 4210 DSC. The experimental DSC thermograms were run from -70 °C to 130 °C with a temperature rate of 10°C/min at open panel with a flux of N_2 . The sample approximately weighted 10 mg. The simulation of the DSC was better for the batch processes and the variable that adjusted better the experimental range was T_{gi} , as seen below (The equations for the simulation of T_{gi} and the DSC thermograms are given in Supporting Information Section S-6).

4 III. Backbiting Reaction Added to the Previous Terpolymerization Model

The simulation model for the emulsion terpolymerization given in the previous article [12] is extended to include the backbiting side reaction of BuA.

a) BuA Backbiting model considerations scission [8] and depropagation reactions are not considered. The total number of j -ended radicals is obtained by the Nomura's semiempirical equation for the radicals in the particle [24]. The BuA-ended tertiary electrophilic radicals have the same kinetic processes as the secondary BuA-ended radicals: propagation, termination, transfer to monomer and transfer to chain transfer agent, with exception of the rate of capture of radicals into the particle and rate of desorption. The last rate is not considered because the tertiary radicals have a degree of polymerization greater than 2 and then they are not desorbed. The k_p -gel effect of the BuA-ended radicals starts since the beginning of the reaction [12]. The constants of

the Hamielec model of k_p -gel effect are evaluated independently to the Ray and W_p models. The constants of the two last models are interrelated through our previous procedure [12].

5 ?

The model considers the initiation, termination and desorption of radicals in the aqueous phase in Equation (S-5)-(S-11) of Supplementary Information of the previous work [12]. The model does not consider the propagation of radicals in the aqueous phase. Through the evaluation of the experimental value of particle diameter vs conversion, the model includes the increment of the diameter by the homogeneous nucleation and the subsequent adsorption in the particle. In the previous work, the simulation of the diameter of the particle through the Langmuir adsorption curve includes the increment of the diameter by homogeneous nucleation through the adjustment of the value of the exponent x of the micellar nucleation. The exponent x is given in Table ?? of the previous work [12].

6 b) Materials Balance

The mass balance was the same as the previous model [12] splitting the term of BuA radicals in terms of secondary and tertiary radicals.

7 c) Average total number of j -ended radicals in the particle n_T

The total average number of j -ended radicals in the particle " n_T " was calculated through the semiempirical equation of Nomura [24]. The equations for finding n_T only added the term of backbiting. In order to not be redundant with the previous work, these equations are given in Supporting Information Section S-1. The kinetic rates are also given in that section. The number of BuA-ended tertiary radicals n_{cbb} were calculated through a mass balance of the kinetic rates (#rad/part/s) of backbiting R_{ncbb} , propagation $R_{p,ncbb}$, termination $R_{t,ncbb}$, transfer to monomer $R_{m,ncbb}$ and transfer to chain transfer agent $R_{T,ncbb}$ in accordance to Table ???. The probability P_{ijk} (i,j,k) of existence of the j -ended radical triad ijk at time t was calculated by the product of the probabilities of the occurrence of the n_i , n_j , n_k -ended radicals (without considering the tertiary radicals of BuA) at times $t-3t$, $t-2t$ and t , respectively. t is the increment of time for the evaluation of the reaction kinetics, in this case 0.001 s. This procedure is different to the one of Wang and Hutchinson [5].

The total average number of j -ended radicals in the particle n_T and the average number of other radicals that the BuA-tertiary radicals " n " are given by: $n_{cbb} + n_a + n_{n-1} + n_{n-2} + \dots = (1a)$ $n_T = n_{cbb} + n = (1b)$

With:

If $n < 0$ then $n_T = n_{cbb}$ and $n = 10^{-9}$ (1c)

The simulation was also performed considering a proportional amount of the radicals n and n_{cbb} when $n_{cbb} > n_T$, but it did not give congruent results. The explanation of this behavior is that the formation of the tertiary BuA-ended radicals needs of the backbiting of polymer radical with a degree of polymerization at least of $j = 3$. For this reason, these radicals are not desorbed ($R_{fncbb} = 0$) because the model considers desorption of monomeric radicals with $j = 1$.

As a chemical kinetic ground, the high reactivity of the BuA secondary radicals and the corresponding lower reactivity of the tertiary BuA-ended radicals produce a lower amount of the secondary radicals in the particle, as will be seen below.

8 d) Reaction Kinetics

(The reason of defining the k_p -gel effect in this article is given in Supporting Information Section S.1.3.) The evaluation of the kinetic rates R_f , R_p , R_m and R_T depends on the propagation coefficient $k_{p,ij}$ (See Supporting Information, Tables S-1 and S-2), which has a k_p -gel effect. For this reason, we estimated first this variable and then we used it in the corresponding kinetic rates.

i. Propagation rate coefficient of radical " i " to monomer " j " $k_{p,ij}$.

We have analyzed the k_p -gel effect for this system in the previous article [12]. In this work the backbiting of the BuA secondary-ended radicals was taken into account, in which the k_p -gel effect starts since the beginning of the reaction. The apparition of the k_p -gel effect in BuA is caused by the lower free volume V_f of St and MMA than of BuA in the terpolymer ($V_{fPSt} = 0.0025$, $V_{fPMMA} = 0.0032$, $V_{fPBuA} = 0.0605$ at 55°C for the homopolymers) [12]. In principle, we have kept the values and restrictions of the k_p -gel constants for St and MMA [12]. On the other hand, we have found the values of the constants for BuA for the V_f Ray's k_p -gel model in the batch process B-1 iterating at the same time with the values of concentration of monomers in the particle $[M]_p$ and critical value of free volume for BuA V_{fcc} as explained in the section Methodology below. Then, we have matched the equations of the V_f Ray's and W_p k_p -gel models for BuA-ended radicals in order to obtain the constants A_j and V_{fcj} for the W_p k_p -gel model [12]. The equating gave very different results in the case of BuA in the equating of V_f Ray's and the V_f Hamielec's k_p -gel models. Probably, the sensitivity of the BuA backbiting reaction for the abrupt change of the value of $k_{p,BuA}$ set up this discordance of the Hamielec's

k p -gel model because this model predicts a lower k p -gel effect (Higher R p) in the batch processes than the W p and V f Ray's models [12]. On this way, the constants of the Hamielec's k p -gel model were calculated of independently manner.

It has been realized by Sundberg et al. [25] that the constant A 1 of the exponential term for the $\frac{V_f}{V_{f0}}$ Hamielec's equation in the homopolymers for the propagation coefficient k p is the critical free volume V f M,* for the beginning of a monomer to jump:]] 1 1 (exp[0 1 0 f f p p V V A k k ? ? =(2)

V f0 is the critical free volume for the beginning of the k p -gel effect.

The values of the constants A 1j = V f j , * in the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA were near to the free volume of the homopolymers times 6 (we consider the value of A 1,BuA for backbiting, see Table ??): A 1,St = V f St,* = 0.015 ? 6 V f,PSt = 6 (0.0025), A 1,MMA = V f MMA,* = 0.015 ? 6 V f,PMMA = 6 (0.0032) , A 1,BuA =V f

BuA,* = 0.35 ? 6 V f,BuA =6 (0.0605). These constants were found by iteration to fit the conversion curve [12] and the similarity is worthy, because it shows that free volume of the homopolymers is related to the k p -gel effect. Consequently, a free volume V f near to the j-ended radical of the terpolymer higher than 6 of the free volume of the average homopolymer "j" is necessary to do not have k p -gel effect. We consider that the constants A 3 for the W p model also have an equivalent meaning as in Equation (2).

It was observed a point of change of curvature of the rate of polymerization at X O = 0.78 (V fc2 = 0.05 corresponding to W pc2 = 0.7945 in B-1), which was associated to an increment of the k p value for the radicals of BuA [12]. We have associated this critical value of V fc2 to a critical value of the proportion of BuA free volume in the terpolymer X Vf (X Vfc2 = 0.84 in B-1) for the beginning of the increment of the BuA propagation coefficient. Then, we have used the critical condition X Vf > X Vfc2 = 0.84 for all the emulsion polymerization processes in the V f k p -gel models. In the W p k p -gel model, we used the condition W pc2 > 0.7945. We consider that the increment of k p,BuA was due to a higher free volume of the last portion of the terpolymer with a BuA-ended radical with a high proportion of BuA monomers in the terpolymer nearer to this radical given by the condition X Vf > X Vfc2 = 0.84. We have associated the increment of the value of k p,BuA with a change of the value of the constants in the k p -gel models, that is, from A 1k to A 2k (See Tables ?? and 3). The change of the value of the constants produces a jump in the value of k p,BuA with its subsequent decrement associated to the fall down of free volume V f . On this way, this jump is associated to a catastrophic behavior in the value of k p,BuA . This catastrophic behavior is found when one monomer has an extremely higher reactivity than the other monomers [26]. In this case, the segmental diffusion of the monomer ended radical of BuA is fast at a higher proportion of BuA in the terpolymer and then it produces an abrupt increment of the reactivity. This increment of the value of k p,BuA is produced by the higher free volume of BuA than that of St or MMA in the terpolymer. With this argument we support the idea that the contribution of the segmental diffusion is important to the evaluation of the k p -gel effect [27], which is included in the diffusion of the polymer radical through its reptation. On this way, the diffusion of the monomers in the bulk of the solution is not as important in the selection of the k p -gel model as their diffusion near to the polymer radical, because near to the polymer the friction exponentially grows up [28], and their diffusion falls down in the same way.

In Table ?? are given the corresponding equations for the Hamielec (k =1), Ray, (k = 2) and W p (k = 3) models before and after the critical point for BuA, X Vf > X Vfc2 = 0.84, and in Table ?? are given the values of the corresponding constants. It is observed in the processes batch, semicontinuous and seeded processes a critical value of V fc1 (W pc1) associated to the beginning of the reaction (V fc1 = 0.14 corresponding to W pc1 = 0.1865 in B-1). This value of V fc1 is near to the value of V f for the monomer St. At exception of batch B-3, the point of change of curvature at X Vfc2 > X Vfc2 = 0.84, only changed the value of the constant of the k p -gel effect A 1k to A 2k , (k = 1,2,3 are the k p -gel models). However, the critical value of V fc1 of 0.14 (corresponding to W pc1 = 0.1865 in B-1) remained the same as observed in Table ?. We consider that the original critical value of V f (Vf c1 = 0.14, W pc1 = 0.1865) had influence over the gel effect throughout the reaction in almost all the processes.

In the case of the fitting of batch B-3 with a higher composition of BuA, it was necessary to put the value of V fc2 = 0.052 (W p = 0.7945) instead of the value used before of V fc1 = 0.14 (W pc1 = 0.1865;) in the expression (1/V f -1/V fc1) at X Vf > 0.84. Then, we applied Benyahia's procedure for the termination k t -gel effect of BuA in order to write the expression of the k p gel effect as seen in Tables ?? and 3 [29]. On this way, an increment of the proportion of BuA in the batch B-3 caused that the value of V fc2 in the point of change of curvature (V fc2 = 0.052 see Table ??, near to the free volume of the homopolymer PBuA, V f,BuA = 0.05) had influence on the k p -gel effect in batch B-3.

9 ii. Diffusion coefficients in the particle

The diffusion of the polymer without chemical reaction is given by Equation (3) [30].SH seg pers B P N b b L T k D ? ? ? ? 1)) cos(1 () cos(1 () 2 (6 2 2 ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? = (3a))) cos(1 (? + = b L per (3b)

We consider the model of wormlike chain (reptation of the polymeric radical chain with N monomers). The bond length is b and the bond angle is is the Boltzman constant (erg/K), T is the temperature (K), L pers is the persistence length (cm) which is higher for stiff polymers and the sequence is L pers,St (?9.0 X 10 -8 cm) > L pers,MMA (6.9 X 10 -8 cm) ? L pers,BuA (6.9 X 10 -8 cm) [30], SH is the hindrance factor which indicates

the steric hindrance to rotate with the following sequence: SH,St (2.2)> SH,BuA . ? SH,MMA (1.9). There is not certitude in the value of the steric hindrance of BuA because this parameter increases with the size of the short branches (probably near to 1.9, which is the value of the polybutymethacrylate PBuMA). seg is the friction coefficient of the segment of the polymer, in this case the monomer in the terpolymer (g/s).

As also explained below, a higher proportion of BuA in the terpolymer allows a higher diffusion of the polymer radicals and of the monomers. The lower value of the friction coefficient for the segment of BuA, seg, BuA , is caused by the branches of butyl and the two monomer branches induced by the backbiting of the secondary polymer radicals of BuA. On this way, Ferry pointed out that the branching decreases the friction coefficient [31]. In order to calculate the diffusion coefficients of the terpolymer, it was defined that the effective propagation coefficient $k_{p,Tot}$ with gel effect, has an independent contribution [32] (similar to a parallel electric circuit) of chemical reactivity and diffusion as expressed in Equation (??) [33][34]. Equation (??) is another way to express the dependence of the propagation coefficient on the diffusion phenomena (parallel expression) to the W_p and V_f Hamielec's and Ray's models given in Table ?? . In the later models, the dependence is through the division of the chemical propagation rate coefficient between the corresponding coefficient which considers the diffusion (series expression). $k_{p,Tot} = k_{p,diff} + k_{p,chem}$ (4)

Where $k_{p,Tot0}$, is the chemical propagation coefficient without gel effect ($dm^3/mol\ mon/s$) and $k_{p,diff}$ ($dm^3/mol\ mon/s$) is the propagation coefficient which depends on the diffusion of the monomer D_M near the polymer radical and the reactivity the polymer radical D_{PR} . If we consider that the diffusion of a polymer radical D_{PR} (cm^2/s) is given by the diffusion of its center of mass (com) $D_{com,PR}$ [18] with a translation by reptation [17] and by the reaction diffusion induced by the propagation of the j-ended radicals $D_{rd} = D_{rd,PR}$ (also named roving head diffusion or residual termination [35]). We could calculate the diffusion coefficients through the sequence of the calculations given in Table ?? . This sequence was established through a dimensional analysis of the Schmoluchowski equation for reaction of two species in accordance to Mills et al. [13], Russell et al. [35] and Stubbs et al. [18], which gives the equations given below (the corresponding deduction is given in Supporting Information Section S-2). It is important to remark that from the Schmoluchowski equation we obtained an expression specific for emulsion polymerization and, on this way, we could calculate the diffusion of the monomers D_M by using the propagation coefficients $k_{p,diff}$, $k_{p,Tot}$, $k_{p,Tot0}$, where $k_{p,diff}$ is given by: $k_{p,diff} = k_{p,Tot} - k_{p,Tot0}$ (5a) $k_{p,diff} = k_{p,Tot} - k_{p,Tot0}$ (5b) $k_{p,diff} = k_{p,Tot} - k_{p,Tot0}$ (5c) Where $k_{p,rd} = k_{p,rd,PR}$

is the propagation coefficient dependent on the reaction diffusion of the polymer radical and $k_{p,M}$ is the propagation coefficient dependent on the diffusion of the monomer.

We have considered that the number of radicals R which reacts per mol of monomers $\#R/n$ (radicals/mol) is: $p_p T T w p p p T w p T v M n V N v M V N n n M n R$ [1000] [1000 # # = = (5d) , k_B ? ? ? ? ? particle is $n T$, which reacts with the same number of monomers in reaction of first order per mol of monomers. Commonly $n T < 0.5$, and for example in the simulation of B-1, there were 6.9×10^6 molecules of monomer in the particle for one j-ended radical at $X_O = 99$ wt%. In this context, Chern and Poehlein have shown that the monomers are distributed homogeneously throughout the particle and in consequence there is not a gradient of concentration of monomers in the particle [36].

The frequency of jumping of the reaction diffusion coefficient D_{rd} is given by $k_{p,Tot} [M T] p . (1/s)$ [37]. The square of the average radius of gyration of the polymer radical divided by the number of monomers $a^2_{PR}/6$ (see Table ??) indicates how much the polymer has diffused from its center of gravity by each reaction of the polymer radical with the monomers.

Ferry [31] and Sundberg et al. [25] have analyzed the influence of the free volume in the diffusion of the polymers and monomers with the corresponding critical point in order to change the diffusion values of k_p . These authors indicate that a higher free volume V_f of the polymer solution allows a higher diffusion of the polymer radical and monomers. This is concluded in the following equation for the wormlike chain in the case of the diffusion of a polymer radical depending on the free volume V_f [25,[30] [31].) $/ * \exp()^2 (, 2 , 0 f Seg f$ pers seg $PR V V b L b N D ? ? = ?$ (6)

From the equations in Table ??, it can be observed that the diffusion of the monomer D_M near the polymer radical depends directly on the volume of the particle v_p , the concentration of monomers in the particle $[M T] p$ and inversely on the number of radicals in the particle $n T$. The dependence of D_M on the free volume is through the gel effect implicit in $k_{p,Tot}$. On this way, using the Equation (??), (5a)-(5c)) with the Hamielec and W_p formulas for the gel effect: $rd R LJ M LJ T p p i diff p M D n v M k D ? + =)^2 (4 [? ? ? (7a)$

Vg.: Hamielec's model: $? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = 1) [1 1 (\exp[0 1 0 , j f f j ji p diff p V V A k k (7b) W p$ model: $() 1) [(\exp[0 3 0 , ? ? = j p p j ji p diff p W W A k k (7c)$

As it will be shown below, D_{rd} was negligible. In Equation (7c) is shown the dependence of D_M to W_p .

In an analogous way, the termination coefficient $k_{t,Tot}$ has an independent (parallel expression) contribution of chemical reactivity and diffusion [33][34]. $k_{t,Tot} = k_{t,diff} + k_{t,chem}$ (8a)

With the expression of the Schmoluchowski equation for $k_{t,diff}$ [38]: $k_{t,diff} = k_{t,diff} + k_{t,chem}$ (8b)

We have used the following conditions for the evaluation the diffusion of the polymer radical in the termination process $D_{PR,t}$: Condition 0: $rd n t PR M t PR D N D D + = 0) (, ,$

by Faldi et al. for the diffusion coefficients of the polymer radicals D_{PR} [45]. We consider that the exponents of the polymer radicals associated to the termination must be lower than 2 in order to have the same k_t diff. The lower values are indicative that the polymeric solution is not so concentrated. On the other hand, we have found that in accordance to the sequence of Table ??, the value of the diffusion of the polymer radicals associated to termination $D_{PR,t}$ is independent of the selection of the exponents n_0 , n_1 and n_2 . This is not the case for the values of the diffusion of the polymer radicals (obtained by the method of moments of the MWD) and dead polymers, D_{PR} and D_P , respectively. For the report of the values of $D_{PR,t}$ and D_P , we have used the values of the exponents n_0 , n_1 and n_2 of $N_{PR,t}$ max. It is possible to find a relation between the total propagation coefficient $k_{p,Tot}$ and the total termination coefficient $k_{t,Tot}$. As seen later in Fig. 11 for the batch process B-1, k_M k_p diff, k_t , Tot k_t diff; and considering that $D_{rd} = 0$, $N_{PR} = N_{PR,t}$ (Fig. ??a) with $D_{PR} = D_{PR,t}$, $LJ_R = LJ_M$ and not effect of concentration "c" in the diffusion coefficient D_{PR} (Equation (9i)): $2 \cdot 2 \cdot (8 \cdot 1000 R_{LJ} R_{LJ} A_{diff} t_{PR} N_{kD} ? ? ? + = (11) Tot \ t \ Tot \ p \ Tot \ p \ Tot \ t \ diff \ p \ diff \ t \ M \ p \ k \ k \ k \ k \ k \ k \ , \ 0$
 $, , , 1 \ 1 \ ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = () A \ n \ PR \ A \ PR \ M \ p \ p \ T \ A \ T \ PR \ M \ N \ n \ R \ N \ N \ n \ R \ D \ v \ M \ N \ n \ D \ ? ? ? ?$
 $? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = \# \#] [1000 \ 2 \ (11b) () \ 0 , , , 1 \ \# \ 1 \ 2 \ Tot \ p \ A \ n \ PR$
 $Tot \ t \ Tot \ p \ k \ N \ n \ R \ N \ k \ k + ? ? ? ? ? ? = (11c)$

Based on this last equation, we can explain the k_p -gel ($k_{p,Tot}$) effect through variables associated to propagation and termination phenomena.

10 IV. Branching

The evaluation of the instantaneous branch density BD_i and the instantaneous branching fraction BF_i [9], and the corresponding averages of branching density BD [3] and branching fraction BF were done through the following equations. $p \ A \ p \ cbb \ p \ i \ R \ N \ N \ R \ BD , = (12a) ? = niter \ i \ BD \ SBD \ 1 \ (12b) \ niter \ SBD \ BD = (12c)) ($
 $, , A \ p \ cbb \ p \ pc \ A \ p \ cbb \ p \ i \ N \ N \ R \ R \ N \ N \ R \ BF + = (12d) ? = niter \ i \ BF \ SBD \ 1 \ (13a) \ niter \ SBF \ BF = (13b)$
 Where n_{iter} is the iteration number of the program. SBD and SBF are the accumulated branching density at n_{iter} iterations and the accumulated branching fraction at n_{iter} iterations, respectively.

11 V. Methodology

Most of the values of the variables found for the k_p - k_t average set of models [12] were used as a reference for the set of models which includes the backbiting of BuA. On this way, the values of the diameter of droplet D_d and correction factor F_c were the Year 2017 C Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of the BuA backbiting, diffusion of monomers and polymers in the particle, and BuA induced branching.

12 ? ?

same in order to compare the molecular weight results. Three Methods for evaluating the importance of the viscosity in the increment of k_p , BuA at the critical condition 2 (X_{Vfc2} , W_{pc2}) were tested: Method 1 (Lower viscosity in the particles). Critical point of $X_{Vfc2} = 0.84$ ($W_{pc2} = 0.7945$) in interval III of polymerization (zero monomer droplets); Method 2 (Middle viscosity in the particle). Critical point at $X_{Vfc2} = 0.84$ ($W_{pc2} = 0.7945$) and $V_{fc2} = 0.05$. This critical point is present at a higher conversion than that at the beginning of interval III, and Method 3 (Higher viscosity), without these critical points, therefore there is not increment of k_p , BuA. Thus, Method 2 is a bridge between Method 1 (earlier increment of BuA k_p) and Method 3 (not increment of BuA k_p value). On this way, we have found that a lower viscosity in the particles produced an earlier increment of BuA k_p value (for whichever batch, Method 1) and that a higher viscosity in the particles did not produce an increment of BuA k_p value (SC-1, SC-3 and BS-1, Method 3). Methods 1 and 2 were almost equivalent in the batch processes because the critical condition of Method 2 was obtained in interval III of polymerization as Method 1 (near $X_O = 80$ wt%).

The values for almost all the parameters for this model of the terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA were found in the literature. We consider that the selection of these parameters was adequate because the selection was based on similar conditions to the experimental ones. When there were several different values for a parameter one of them close to the average of them was taken for our simulations. On this way, they were selected not with the intention of obtaining the best fitting of the kinetic outputs, but by using a chemical and physical criterion of similar conditions to those of the experimental runs [12].

In order to fit the conversion, we have realized that there are four remaining unknown variables: The saturation concentration of monomers in the particle $[M_i]_{p,sat}$, the ratio of the water mass transfer side resistance to overall mass transfer for desorbed radical "j" j , the three k_p -gel models and the three methods for evaluating the effect of viscosity on the k_p -gel effect. With this in mind, we have used the values of $[M_i]_{p,sat}$ found in the previous work: $[M_{St}]_{p,sat} = 5.6$, $[M_{MMA}]_{p,sat} = 4.4$, $[M_{BuA}]_{p,sat} = 4.5$ (mol/dm³) for intervals I and II with the hypothesis that a higher concentration of St in the particle decreases the solubility of MMA in the particle. We have used the Maxwell rule to calculate the instantaneous monomer concentration in the particle $[M_i]_p$ in intervals I and II [12]: $? = sat \ p \ i \ p \ i \ M \ f \ M ,] [] [(14)$

Where f_{ip} is the fraction mol of monomer i in the particle in relation to all monomers. We have found that for B-1, the monomer MMA was more solubilized in the particle than the other monomers, that is, $[MMA]_p$ was higher [12]. On this way, the saturation lower concentration of MMA, $[M_{MMA}]_{p,sat} = 4.5$ mol/dm³, did

not avoid of having a higher solubilization of MMA in the particle than the other monomers, but the maximal solubilization decreased but the presence of the other monomers. In the case of interval III, we have used the saturation values reported in the literature: $[M_{St}]_{p,sat} = 5.6$, $[M_{MMA}]_{p,sat} = 6.9$, $[M_{BuA}]_{p,sat} = 5.2$ (mol/dm³) and the prediction was accurate, as for example observed in the semicontinuous processes [12]. On the other hand, we have varied the other three parameters: j in the range [0.02, 0.16], the k_p -gel models (Ray, Wp, Hamielec) and the tree methods for taking into account the viscosity on the k_p -gel effect. The range of j between [0.02, 0.16] was used by Ginsburger [46], and also by Nomura and Fujita [24] for St, MMA or BuA [12]. For simplicity, we have given the same value of j for all the monomer radicals, so $j = j$ as Nomura and Fujita have found for St and MMA [24]. The values of the constants of the k_{pgel} effect for all the processes were found by adjusting the conversion of batch B-1, taken as a reference, as explained above and in the previous work [12]. From these k_p -gel models and the three methods which take into account the effect of the viscosity, the most adequate model was selected by considering the lowest error in the fitting to the experimental data. After that, we have verified that the $[M_i]_{p,sat}$ the constants of the k_{pgel} effect for the Hamielec's model and the critical free volume V_{fcc} were optimal through the optimization of the values by the algorithm evolutive reported by Kukkonen [47,48] with the aid of a previously found optimum by trial and error. In fact, the optimal k_{pgel} effect constants for the Hamielec's model have a physical meaning as explained above. It is important to mention that the sensitivity of the models to the above mentioned parameters was very low and then a change of the mean square error MSE of 0.1 wt% for X_O [12] can be considered important. In the selection of the best models for the semicontinuous processes, we also considered an MSE of the accumulated conversion $X_{ac} < 0.5$ wt% and the best fitting to the conversion at the end of the addition of the monomers, as occurred with semicontinuous SC-3. Two examples of the manner for selecting the best k_p -gel models are given in Supporting Information Section S-3. On the other hand, the condition of k_p -gel effect in the radical desorption rate R_f was critical in batch B-3 in order to adjust the molecular weights. This condition was used for the other processes in which the k_p -gel effect in R_f was not critical for the evaluation of the molecular weights, as explained in Supporting Information Section S-1.1. All the processes were simulated with k_p -gel effect in the kinetic rate of polymer radical transfer to monomer, condition which was critical for the seeded BS-1. Besides, as a chemical congruence the k_p -gel effect was present in ? ? ? ? ? the propagation rate of the tertiary radicals and transfer to chain transfer agent for all the radicals.

In the literature there are reported works in which the outputs conversion, molecular weight, diameter of particle [46] are considered simultaneously in the parameter estimation algorithm in order to find their optimum values that give the best fitting of the experimental data with the simulation results. In the present work we have chosen a different approach, a sequential one, in which the conversion is fitted first [12] because a valid value of molecular weight needs a correct value of conversion and there is more accuracy in the determination of conversion output than in the other two outputs.

13 VI.

14 Results

All emulsion terpolymerization processes were simulated taking into account: 1. The decrement of the value of k_p by the k_p -gel effect, 2. The possibility of increment of the k_p value of BuA by the higher proportion of BuA in the terpolymer, as above has been explained. All the better k_p -gel models gave acceptable approximations to the experimental conversion curves, however, always was one that gave a slightly better fitting and then it was chosen as the best k_p -gel model as shown in Fig. ???. The best fitting to the experimental data by the simulation curves obtained with the Hamielec's, Ray's and Wp models were the following (here we are reporting all the cases which satisfied the MSE uncertainty): B-1 (Method 1, best: Wp, second best: Ray, = 0.02), B-2 (Method 1, Hamielec, = 0.02), B-3 (Method 1, best: Ray, second best: Wp, = 0.02), B-4 (Method 1, Hamielec, = 0.02), SC-1 (Method 3, best: Ray, second best: Wp, = best: 0.04, second best: 0.05), SC-2 (best: Method 2, second best: Method 1, Wp, = 0.02), SC-3 (Method 1, best: Hamielec, best: = 0.04; second best: Ray, second best: = 0.02), SC-4 (Method 3, Hamielec, = 0.02), BS-1 (Method 3, Wp, = 0.16). We must emphasize that the selection of the method (1, 2 or 3) was dependent on the value of the polymeric solution viscosity inside the particles at the critical point when the proportion of BuA is higher than $X_{Vfc,BuA}$: for processes with a low viscosity then Method 1 should be employed, for high viscosity then Method 3, for a middle viscosity then Method 2. All the batch processes were simulated by Method 1 because their low viscosity at the critical point. Besides, the most similar semicontinuous process to the batch B-1, to say, SC-3, was also simulated by Method 1. The semicontinuous SC-3 had the higher addition rate of monomers and then a lower viscosity in the particles than the other semicontinuous. On this way, it was expected that the polymer particles formed in SC-3 were more similar to the batch process B-1. On the opposite the semicontinuous processes with lower addition rate of monomers, SC-1 and SC-4, had more constraints to the diffusion. This was consequence of the higher proportion of the polymer. The higher proportion of polymer produced a higher viscosity in the particles and then Method 3 (the higher viscosity in the particle does not allow the increment of $k_{p,BuA}$) was more suitable for fitting the conversion. Then, these processes did not have a critical point for an increment of the BuA propagation coefficient. In the same trend, the seeded semicontinuous process BS-1 was simulated by Method 3 due to have the highest proportion of the polymer and then a higher viscosity.

As observed in the previous work [12]; A). The k_p -gel effect in the batch processes is stronger in the W p and Ray's models and lesser in the Hamielec's model (See Fig. ??2, Supporting Information). On this way, the simulation of the semicontinuous process BS-1 by the W p k_p -gel model indicates the k_p -gel effect is stronger in this process, B). The increment of the addition of monomers decreases the effect of the k_p -gel effect (SC-1 with the lowest addition rate simulated by Ray's and W p models and SC-3 with the highest addition rate simulated by Hamielec's model), C). A higher rate of polymerization R_p (due to a higher temperature in B-4) produces that the k_p -gel effect is lower in this batch process and then the process is simulated by the Hamielec's k_p -gel model. The same increment of R_p was found by the higher amount of emulsificant in batch B-2 and then the Hamielec's k_p -gel model best fitted this process. On this way, we can say that an increment in the rate of polymerization R_p with respect to B-1 make the batch more prone to be simulated by Hamielec's k_p -gel model.

On the other hand, at exception of BS-1 with $\alpha = 0.16$, all the batch and semicontinuous processes have a near to 0.02, indicating that the radical desorption was not appreciable. All the batches have the minimum desorption with a value of $\alpha = 0.02$ and the highest desorption of the semicontinuous was in SC-1 and SC-3 with $\alpha = 0.04$. The value of α for the semicontinuous process SC-2 and the batch process B-1 was 0.02, SC-3 as intermediate process between SC-2 and the batch process B-1 should also have a value of $\alpha = 0.02$, but it has a value of 0.04. This higher value of α in SC-3 probably indicates that the higher addition rate of monomers produced a lower proportionality between the polymerization and desorption rates R_p / R_f because the desorption of the monomeric radicals was higher.

On the other hand, it was observed that in all the processes the coefficient of desorption K_f , BuA was the highest one, Vg., K_f , St = 0.0384 1/s, K_f , MMA = 0.544 1/s, K_f , BuA = 0.792 1/s in B-3; K_f , St = 0.164 1/s, K_f , MMA = 2.24 1/s, K_f , BuA = 3.37 1/s in SC-4 (see equations in Table S1, Supporting Information as a reference). Then, the value of $\alpha = 0.02$ in SC-4, where the amount of BuA was higher in the feed, indicates that the resistance to similar to the batch processes. It was also found that the radical desorption was the highest ($\alpha = 0.16$) in the seeded semicontinuous process with higher diameter of particle, BS-1, indicating that the resistance to water diffusion outside the particles fell down dramatically in relation to the batch processes. In Fig. ?? are given the fittings of the set of models which includes the backbiting for the BuA-ended radicals and for comparison are shown the fittings by the average k_p - k_t set of models of the previous work [12]. As observed, the batches B-1 and B-3 were best simulated by models which include the backbiting of BuA than the models which used the average values of k_p - k_t .

The batch process B-4 had a higher polymerization rate caused by a higher temperature of reaction than B-1. The best fitting was achieved by the average Hamielec k_p - k_t model than by the Hamielec's model which includes the backbiting of BuA. Indeed, the last model predicts a visible point of change of curvature of the rate of polymerization. We consider that, as a consequence of the high experimental polymerization rate R_p , the point of change of curvature is not appreciable in the data of B-4. Another possible explanation to this fact is that the dependence of the critical values XV_{fc} and/or V_{fc} on the molecular weight of the terpolymers could soften this change of curvature.

The fitting of the conversion curve for the semicontinuous processes was similar in the selected best models for both the k_p - k_t average and the BuA backbiting set of models, as seen in Fig. ???. The maximum difference was in the semicontinuous SC-1 and it was necessary to adjust the value of α to 0.04 instead of 0.02 in the backbiting models for obtaining a best fit. The k_p - k_t average gel model for fitting SC-1 was the Benyahia's model which used $\alpha = 0.16$ with MSE XO = 1.25 wt% and MSE Xac = 5.06 wt%. A higher value of α could improve the accuracy of the fitting, but it is outside our valid range of [0.02, 0.16]. It was found that the fitting of the Benyahias' k_p - k_t average gel model with a fixed value of $\alpha = 0.02$ which used Method 3 was poorer than the Ray's k_p backbiting gel model with $\alpha = 0.04$ which used Method 3 with MSE XO = 1.09 wt% and MSE Xac = 3.35 wt% in the last model. This indicates that fitting of the k_p - k_t average Benyahia's k_p -gel model is less probable. On this way, the backbiting procedure gives more insights on the value of α in emulsion terpolymerization.

In the semicontinuous processes it was found a similar trend in the selection of the k_p -gel model as in the batch processes: a higher polymerization rate R_p makes more suitable the process to be simulated by a free volume Hamielec's V_f k_p -gel model. On this way, the process SC-3, with the highest R_p , was simulated by the Hamielec's V_f k_p -gel model. On the other hand, the process SC-1, with the lowest polymerization rate R_p in semicontinuous, was simulated by Ray's and W p k_p -gel models.

We have found that the semicontinuous process SC-4 with a higher proportion of BuA than SC-1, had a lower k_p -gel effect and then it was simulated by the Hamielec's V_f k_p -gel model. We conjecture that in this semicontinuous process the higher free volume in the particle (caused by the higher proportion of BuA in the terpolymer and in the monomers) led to a lower k_p -gel effect. On the other hand, the process semicontinuous BS-1 was better simulated by W p with Method 3, which indicates a higher influence of the viscosity on the increment of the k_p -gel effect. We hypothesize that the higher proportion of polymer in the particle caused this behavior.

We consider that the internal structure of the particle near its surface is different in the batch and semicontinuous processes. Under this hypothesis, the viscosity of the particles and consequently the importance of diffusion of the polymer radicals in the batch processes are lower than in the semicontinuous processes, as it is detailed below. Okubo et al. [49] also found a lower viscosity in a seeded PSt emulsion polymerization with a previously absorbed monomer of MMA (batch process) than in a semicontinuous process in which MMA was

added. They also encountered that the surface morphology of the particles was similar at the end of the reaction for both methods. In the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA, the higher viscosity in the particles of the semicontinuous processes was corroborated by the experimental lower conversion after the end of the addition of the monomers. This fact was also encountered in the work of Urretabizkaia et al. [50]. The lower conversion at the end of addition of the monomers was more visible in the semicontinuous SC-1 and in the seeded process BS-1 as seen in Fig. ?? . The higher viscosity at the end of the reaction also indicates that the internal structure of the particles in the batch processes is different to that in the semicontinuous processes. The difference of internal structure is at least in the number and size of the domains of the sequences rich of monomers of St and MMA in the terpolymer St/MMA/BuA. Okubo et al. [49] have pointed out this difference of internal structure for the case of PMMA or PSt domains in the mentioned emulsion homopolymerization of MMA in seeds of PSt.

In the same context, Sundberg et al. have demonstrated that acrylic polymers with a lower T_g allows a higher diffusion of the polymer radicals. On this way, it has been found a difference of three orders of magnitude in the diffusion coefficients in the case of styrene polymeric radicals in a seed of PMMA with a T_g of 387 K in comparison with a seed of polymethylacrylate PMA with a T_g of 289 K [18]. Consequently, it is expected that the friction seg in a terpolymer with a high proportion of BuA ($T_{g,PBuA} = 229$ K, [22] See Table ??-3 lower than in the terpolymers with a higher proportion of St or MMA near the surface. For this reason, the diffusion of j-ended radicals is higher in BuA richer domains in the particle. On this way, it was expected a more uniform distribution of St and MMA in the particle in the semicontinuous processes SC-1, with no appreciable islands of BuA. SC-1 had the lowest addition rate of monomers, and consequently, the reaction took place near the surface which was enclosed by subsequent reaction steps. Due to the uniform distribution of MMA and St in SC-1, the viscosity was higher than in batch B-1, in which the distribution of MMA and St was more at random. This randomness was produced by the polymerization in the interior of the particles as a consequence of a higher concentration of monomers and higher diffusion of j-ended radicals inside the particle in B-1 than in SC-1. The higher viscosity was more perceptible after the end of addition of the monomers in SC-1. Consequently, the more uniform distribution of domains of St and MMA in the interior of the particle in this process decreased strongly the polymerization rate at the end of the addition of the monomers as also observed in BS-1 (Fig. ??). Indeed, this change of kinetic behavior at the end of the addition of monomers points out that the consumption of the monomers, after the end of their monomers addition, was from outside to inside the particle. It can be argued the for validating this hypothesis, the diameter of the batch and semicontinuous processes must be similar, but the problem is that the diameter of particles in semicontinuous are commonly lower as seen in Fig. ?? of the previous work for batch B-1 and SC-1 [12]. Nevertheless, we have found that a lower experimental conversion at the end of the reaction was found also in the seeded semicontinuous BS-1 with a higher particle diameter than the batch process B-1 as seen also in Fig. ?? of the previous work. This means that at the end of the addition of the monomers in the semicontinuous processes (with whichever particle diameter), at least the particle surface is different to that of the batch processes. This is reinforced when we analyze that the semicontinuous processes SC-2 with a higher addition rate of the monomers than SC-1 presented a higher experimental polymerization rate in relation to the simulation after the end of the addition of the monomers as seen in Fig. ?? . For this reason, we have concluded that SC-2 had particles more similar in structure to the particles of the batch process B-1.

In relation to the outputs of conversion, composition of monomers in the terpolymer, molecular weight and DSC thermograms, they are very similar for both the k_p - k_t average set models and BuA backbiting set models. The conversion curves have already been analyzed, the similarity in the composition is analyzed in Supporting Information Section S-4. On the other hand, the desorption rate of monomeric radicals R_f in the backbiting set of models is more important than in the k_p - k_t average set of models. This concept and the analysis of the molecular weight are given in Supporting Information Section S-5.

In relation to the DSC thermograms, in Table ?? are reported the experimental and simulated range of variation of T_g , $T_{g,DSC}$, of the batch and semicontinuous processes (See Supporting Information Section S-6. for the procedure and respective equations to simulate the DSC Thermograms). The value of the experimental T_g is given by the intersection of the upper and lower extrapolations of the baseline at the onset of the inflexion [51]. In the case of the simulation, in order to define with more precision the onset for the beginning of T_g , we adjusted the initial baseline to be horizontal. Besides, in Table ?? is given the range of variation of the simulated instantaneous glass transition temperature T_{gi} . Indeed, the lower value of T_{gi} corresponds to the onset of the change of curvature of the simulated DSC Thermogram. It was also found that the simulation of the DSC thermograms was similar for the k_p - k_t average and backbiting including models.

The simulated $T_{g,DSC}$ for the batch processes has similar values of T_g and a wider range of T_g than the experimental one as observed for B-1 in Fig. ?? . This corroborates the results of the simulation. On the contrary, the simulated $T_{g,DSC}$ for the semicontinuous processes with a composition similar to B-1 (SC1, SC-2, SC-3) have higher values of T_g and a narrow range of $T_{g,DSC}$ than the experimental one. Besides, the final values of T_g were similar for the experimental and simulated semicontinuous processes. The discrepancy between the experimental and simulation results can be due to the fact that homogeneous nucleation was present in SC-1, SC-2 and SC-3 and then lower values of T_g were obtained. On the other hand, it is interesting to note that the simulation of T_g of the semicontinuous SC-4 with a feed composition rich in BuA was correctly simulated by the $T_{g,DSC}$ program. This indicates that in the semicontinuous process SC-4 the homogenous

nucleation was not important and that the range of micellar nucleation was given by T_g, DSC. In addition, T_g, DSC for the semicontinuous process SC-2 (See above) was better simulated than that for the processes SC-1 and SC-3. For example, for SC-1: Experimental T_g: 29-61 °C; Simulated T_g by backbiting model: 58-61 °C. SC-2: Experimental T_g: 27-69 °C; Simulated T_g by backbiting model: 45-61 °C. In principle, SC-2 had an intermediate monomers addition rate between SC-1 and SC-3. These results indicate a higher homogeneous nucleation at lower and higher monomers addition rates, but not at intermediate monomers addition rate.

VII.

16 Discussion a) Number of j-ended radicals

The rate of generation of tertiary radicals R_{ncbb} (Pijk) was more important at the end of the reaction for the batch B-3 and for the semicontinuous SC-4, as seen in Fig. 5. In consequence, the amount of tertiary radicals in the particle was appreciable at the end of the reaction, as seen in Fig. 6. At all time, the number of tertiary radicals was mainly diminished by the propagation reaction R_{p,ncbb}. On the same way, the rate of propagation of the tertiary radicals R_{p,ncbb} was higher in the process semicontinuous SC-4 than in the batch process B-3 at the beginning of the reaction (See the dashed line in Fig. 5 used as a reference). Then, there was more branching in SC-4 than in B-3 since the beginning of the reaction, as seen below in Fig. 12. So, the batch process B-3 did not present tertiary radicals until X_O = 65 wt% as seen in Fig. 6 and in consequence B-3 had no branching. On the other hand, the increment of BuA-ended tertiary radicals n_{BuA} in SC-4 is caused by having these radicals a degree of polymerization greater than 3 because the backbiting needs a reaction of a BuA-secondary radicals with the antepenultimate BuA monomeric unit of the chain. Since the model considers only the monomeric radical desorption (degree of polymerization of 1) and also due to the low reactivity of the BuA-ended tertiary radicals, it is produced an accumulation of these radicals in the particle.

It noteworthy to clear up that the abrupt changes in the number of radicals in Fig. 5 is due to the transition of interval II to interval III of emulsion polymerization (X_O ? 40 wt % in B-3), the presence of almost only tertiary radicals in the particle (X_O ? 80 wt % in B-3), the end of addition of monomers in semicontinuous (X_O ? 80 wt % in SC-4) and the beginning of the increment for k_p, BuA due to the gel effect. The abrupt change of the number of radicals can also be due to the increment of the k_p, BuA due to the k_p gel effect, as it happens in SC-3 (X_O ? 16 %). These abrupt changes in the number of radicals or k_p, BuA produced abrupt changes or shoulders in the values of conversion, diffusion, total k_p and instantaneous branching of the terpolymer, as seen in Figs. 2-11.

We have compared the number of j-ended radicals simulated to the number of j-ended radicals of the BuA-backbiting model with the k_p-k_t average model for the batch and the semicontinuous processes. We have found the kinetic variation of the radicals in both models is attenuated when we evaluate the ratio of the product of k_p, Tot n_T between the BuA backbiting model and the k_p-k_t average model, $R_{KpnT} / \text{el average } K_t K_p n_{k \text{ el}}$ including backbiting BuA $n_{k \text{ R T Tot p T Tot p KpnT mod}} / (mod) (, , ? = (15a)$

Wherep $N p T M T n Tot p k c j i p N p i M j n j i p k p R] [, ,) ,] [, (= ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? i = a, b, c ; j = a, b, c, cbb . (15b)$

In Supporting Information Section S-7 is given the example for batch B-3.

17 b) Gel effect

The increment of BuA k_p was analyzed through the behavior of the free volume V_f, the fraction of free volume of BuA in the terpolymer X_{Vfc} and the fraction of polymer W_p for SC-2 in Fig. ?? (The batch B-1 is analyzed in Supporting Information Section S-3.1).

In the case of the semicontinuous process SC-2, the limit of X_{Vfc2} = 0.84 was exceeded since the beginning of the reaction (X_O = 11 wt%) as seen in Fig. ??. If we included the limit of X_{Vfc2} = 0.84 for the increment of the k_p of BuA as occurred for the Ray and Hamielec k_p free volume gel models with Method 1, the conversion would be higher. On the opposite, the limit W_p = 0.7945 in the semicontinuous process SC-2 was gotten at almost the end of the addition of the monomers and then W_p model with Method 1 fitted better the conversion experimental curve than Ray and Hamielec k_p free volume gel models with Method 1. On the other hand, Methods 1 and 2 gave similar results because the critical value W_p = 0.7945 was the value that was relevant and gotten at the end of the reaction, but Method 2 was slightly better. For this reason, Method 2 was the one selected.

In order to find a microscopic explanation for the fact that R_p indicated what k_p-gel model was used to simulate the conversion curve, we have encountered that the batch B-4 had more radicals per mol of monomer #R/n (Equation (5c)) than the batch B-1, as seen in Fig. ??. We have considered that the time required by a monomer to approach one radical was lesser in B-4 with a higher amount of radicals than in B-1. Also the j-ended polymer radicals had to make shorter movements for reacting with the monomers. Thus, a higher number of radicals per mol of monomer #R/n caused a minor movement by diffusion of the radicals (segmental or translational) in order to react with a monomer. We have expressed the rate of polymerization R_p in function of #R/n in order to clarify the weight of #R/n in the selection of the k_p-gel model as seen in Equation (16a)-(16b).

18 Global

$$2, 2,] [[A p p p T T Tot p A p p T T Tot p p N v V M n k N N M n k R = (16a) \quad 2, 2, 1000] [\# 1000] [[1000 A p p T Tot p A p p T p p T T Tot p p N V M n R k N V M v M n k R = = (16b)$$

Where V_p is the total volume of the particles ($\text{cm}^3 p / \text{cm}^3 w$), v_p is the volume of one particle ($\text{cm}^3 p / \text{part}$). On this way, a higher value of R_p is obtained by both increments of $k_{p,Tot}$ and $\#R/n$. We can say that there is a synergistic effect of the increment of $k_{p,Tot}$ and $\#R/n$ (through R_p) to decrease the k_p -gel effect. This leads to select the Hamielec's free volume model (Ham) for fitting the conversion curve, as happened for B-2, B-4 and SC-3, all with the same feed composition and with the higher values of $\#R/n$ as seen in Fig. ???. On the other hand, the processes B-1 and SC-2 were simulated by the $W_p k_p$ -gel best model (W_p) and the process SC-1 by the Ray's best free volume model (Ray) and then have lowers values of $\#R/n$ as seen in Fig. ???. The same behavior was found for processes B-3 and SC-4 which have both the same feed composition: SC-4 was simulated by the Hamielec's k_p -gel effect model by having a higher value of $\#R/n$ than B-3 (Ray's k_p -gel effect model) as also seen in Fig. ???. On the other hand, it is also observed in Fig. ?? that the process semicontinuous SC-3 has an abrupt change of slope in the variation of $\#R/n$ vs conversion curve. This abrupt change was consequence of the higher polymerization rate R_p at $X_O = 18 \text{ wt\%}$ because the critical point X_{Vfc2} for the increment of k_p of BuA was achieved. Then, the constant of the k_p -gel effect changed from 0.350 to 0.108 in the Hamielec's $V_f k_p$ -gel effect model (See Table ??).

19 c) Diffusion coefficients and its relation to the k_p -gel constants

Having validated the results of the model, we have calculated the diffusion coefficients in the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA. We have found that the k_p - k_t average set of models had more uncertainty in the values of k_p diff in accordance to Equation (4). This was consequence of the lower variation of the total propagation coefficient $k_{p,Tot}$ in this set of models in relation to the total propagation coefficient without gel effect $k_{p,Tot0}$. For this reason, there were zones in which the values of k_p diff were negative, which does not have a chemical meaning. On the opposite side, the diffusion coefficients obtained in the BuA backbiting set of models have always positive values, by this reason we have only used the values of this set of models. This is the reason why the models using backbiting are useful for the evaluation of diffusion coefficients in the terpolymerization of S/MMA/BuA.

In Fig. ?? are shown the diffusion coefficient for the monomer D_M , the total diffusion coefficient for the contribution of monomers and polymer radicals D_{diff} , the diffusion coefficient of the polymer radical associated to the termination and propagation $D_{PR,t}$, the diffusion coefficient of the polymer radical D_{PR} , the diffusion coefficient of the dead polymer D_P and the diffusion coefficient by reaction diffusion D_{rd} . In this figure it is observed that the diffusion of monomer D_M is several orders of magnitude higher than $D_{PR,t}$ and D_{PR} . The value of D_M corresponds to the diffusion of the monomers which falls down exponentially near the polymer as seen in the Fig. ?? of von Meerwall et al. for PSt [28]. In Fig. ?? is shown that the diffusion of monomers D_M at the beginning of the reaction was higher in the process B-1 than in the processes SC-1 and SC-3 in the sequence: B-1 > SC-3 > SC-1 (Vg. at $X_O = 50 \text{ wt\%}$: $D_M = 14.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for B-1 at $W_p = 0.52$ > $D_M = 5.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for SC-3 at $W_p = 0.80$ > $D_M = 3.80 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for SC-1 at $W_p = 0.80$). This sequence is related to the increment in the viscosity from B-1 to SC-1 and then to the lower rate of polymerization in SC-1. It is worth to mention that the value of D_M of these processes with BuA as third component is near to the experimental values of $D_M = 10 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for MMA in PMMA at $W_p = 0.50$, and $D_M = 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for St in PSt at $W_p = 0.50$ [13][14][18]. As it was observed for B-1 and SC-1, the terpolymer composition of BuA was near to 15 mol% at $X_O = 50 \text{ wt\%}$ (Supporting Information Section S-4) and this increased the diffusion of the monomers, as explained above. Indeed, the DSC thermograms indicate a higher T_g of the terpolymer at the beginning of the reaction and a subsequent decrement with the conversion (Supporting Information Fig. ??1). On the other hand, the way to evaluate the diffusion coefficient of the polymer radicals and polymers was explained above. The similitude to the experimental values of the polymer diffusion coefficients D_P can be verified with the values of $D_P = 7.32 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, $M_w = 80,000 \text{ g/mol}$, St/MMA/BuA = 35/51/14 mol% and $W_p = 0.53$ at $X_O = 50 \text{ wt\%}$ for B-1 in relation to PMMA $D_P = 1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ with $M_w = 90,000 \text{ g/mol}$, MMA = 100 mol% and $W_p = 0.50$ with the values of Faldi and Mills [13,45].

We consider then that the diffusion of the polymer radicals D_{PR} controls the details of the k_p -gel effect, in this case the selection between the V_f or W_p model. In order to verify this hypothesis, we have evaluated the effect of the diffusion coefficient D_{PR} in the selection of the k_p -gel model. We have weighted its influence by the product of n_T times D_{PR} ($\text{cm}^2/\text{s/particle}$), because a higher number of radicals increases the possibility of reaction with the monomers and then the k_p -gel effect is decreased. On this way, when we have analyzed the batch processes B-1, B-2 and B-4. The sequence of values of $n_T D_{PR}$ is B-1 < B-2 < B-4 (Vg. at $X_O = 50 \text{ \%}$: $n_T D_{PR} = 16 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s/part}$ for B-1 < $n_T D_{PR} = 79 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s/part}$ for B-2 < $n_T D_{PR} = 193 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s/part}$ for B-4). This sequence is also shown in Fig. ??0 and this progression is correlated to the change of using the Ray's k_p -gel model in B-1 to the Hamielec's k_p -gel model in B-4: A lesser global diffusion of the polymer radicals indicated by the product of $n_T D_{PR}$ implies that the friction is more important in the diffusivity of the polymer radicals. On this way, the selection of the k_p -gel model in

SC-1 (Ray's V f k p -gel model) and SC-3 (Hamielec's V f k p -gel model) was also correlated to the values of n T D PR (Vg. at X O =50 %: n T D PR = $0.16 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s/part}$ for SC-1 < n T D PR = $0.66 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ for SC-3). As observed, in Fig. ??0, the selection of the k p -gel model by this methodology is more limited than the selection based on the number of radicals per mol of monomer #R/n applied in Fig. ?? because we need to differentiate the batch and the semicontinuous processes. This is consequence of the lower values of n T and D PR in the semicontinuous processes.

20 Global

In the batch B-1 is also observed that the diffusion of the polymer radical D PR was lower than the diffusion of the (dead) polymer D P (Vg. D PR = $153 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$, D P = $732 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ at X O = 50 wt %) because the degree of polymerization was lower in the dead polymer (N PR = 652, N P = 242 for B-1) and the exponent in Equation (??) for obtaining D PR and D P is higher than 1. This was not the case for the semicontinuous processes in which the degrees of polymerization of the polymer radicals and dead polymers were similar (SC-1: N PR = 312, N P = 321). On the other hand, as a consequence of the low amount of j-ended radicals in the particle (in general, n T < 0.5), the reaction diffusion D rd was negligible in emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA, as seen in Fig. ??.

When we compare the diffusion of the polymer radicals associated to termination D PR,t with the diffusion of the polymer radicals D PR obtained by the method of moments of the molecular weight in the batch processes, it is observed a similar order of magnitude. This indicates that in the batch processes the termination is produced by the long radical polymer chains. On the other side, in the semicontinuous processes the diffusion of the polymer radicals associated to termination D PR,t is higher than the diffusion of the total polymer radicals D PR. This is due to the lower degree of polymerization N PR,t of the short radicals associated to termination than the degree of polymerization of the total radicals N PR which was obtained by the method of moments as discussed above. This corroborates the hypothesis that the short radicals have more influence in the termination rate [41,45]. On the other hand, the diffusion of the polymer radicals obtained by the method of moments D PR for the batch B-1 (with a higher proportion of styrene, an aromatic monomer) was lower than those of the batch B-3 (with a higher proportion of aliphatic acrylic monomers) (Vg. at X O = 50 wt %; D PR = $153 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in B-1; D PR = $653 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in B-3) as is also affirmed by Griffiths et al. [19] for aliphatic radicals. However, the diffusion coefficients of the polymer radicals associated to the termination reaction D PR,t are similar to (D PR,t = $438 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in B-1; D PR,t = $416 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$ in B-3 at X O = 50 wt %).

In Fig. 11 are shown the total propagation rate coefficient without gel effect k p,Tot0, the total propagation rate coefficient with gel effect k p,Tot, the propagation rate coefficient for the monomer k p,M, the propagation rate coefficient of the total diffusion contribution of monomers and polymer radicals k p,diff, and the propagation rate coefficient for the reaction diffusion k p,rd for the batch process B-1, and the semicontinuous SC-3 and SC-1. The k p -gel effect was higher in the process SC-1 and for this reason k p,Tot was almost one order of magnitude lesser than k p,Tot0 (Fig. 11) As a consequence, the ratio k p,diff/k p,Tot0 for SC-1 was lower than the corresponding ones of batch B-1 and semicontinuous SC-3 (see Equation (??)). In other words, the diffusion of monomers was more important in SC-1 than in B-1 or in SC-3.

In Fig. 11 is also observed that the k t -gel effect was stronger than the k p -gel effect. In particular, the ratio of k t,diff/k t,Tot0 at the beginning of batch B-1 was higher than those of the semicontinuous processes SC-1 and SC-3 (Fig. 11), indicating a lower influence of the polymer radical diffusion on the batch B-1 termination rate.

21 d) Branching in the terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA

We have calculated the averages of branching density BD and branching fraction BF. As seen in Fig. 12, the branching was not present in the batch process B-3, with a higher proportion of BuA, since the beginning of the reaction until X O < 65 wt%. On the opposite side, the processes BS-1 and SC-4, in which the monomers were added in semicontinuous, presented branching in the terpolymer since the beginning of the addition of the monomers. Nevertheless, these processes at the end of the reaction had a lower proportion of branching than B-3 (BD = 18×10^{-4} in B-3 > BD = 12×10^{-4} in BS-1 > BD = 10×10^{-4} in SC-4 at X O = 96 wt%). Then, the kinetic behavior of R p,cbb /R p (Equation (12a)-(12c)) in the reaction determines the accumulated branching at the end of the reaction. The seeded process BS-1 had a lower proportion of BuA and a lower amount of the chain transfer agent n-DDM than SC-4. The first condition caused that the branching fell down and the second that the branching went up (see Introduction section). The final result was that the branching density BD in BS-1 was higher than the branching of the semicontinuous SC-4. Besides, the values of BD are consistently one order lower than the experimental value of BD for a homopolymer of BuA without transfer agent (BD = 131×10^{-4} at 60°C) and of the same order when is used a chain transfer agent (BD experimental = 28×10^{-4} with CBr 4 at 60°C) [3]. On the other hand, the branch fraction BF is not a factor which determines the degree of branching BD. On this way, the seeded process BS-1 had the highest BF at X O = 96 wt% (Vg. at X O = 96 wt%: BF = 30×10^{-4} in B-3, BF = 46×10^{-4} in BS-1 and BF = 19×10^{-4} in SC-4), but the branching of BS-1 was not as important as in B-3. As observed in Fig. 12, a higher addition rate of monomers B-3 > SC-4 produces a higher branching.

In principle, the rate of propagation of the tertiary BuA-ended radicals $R_{p,cbb}$ depends on the number of these radicals in the particle $n_{c,bb}$ (Table 1 and Figs. 5 and 6). We have not considered the length dependency of the propagation coefficient nor a lesser backbiting by a lesser degree of polymerization (2nd and 3rd hypothesis, respectively, see above), but have obtained a degree of branching similar to the experimental results. Then, it could be possible that only the abstraction of the hydrogen of the transfer agent *n*-DDM by the tertiary electrophilic radical was the ground for the decrement of the branching (1st hypothesis, see above).

We have also compared the branching in the processes B-1, SC-3 and SC-1 (with a sequence to lower addition rate and consequently lesser amount of monomers in the particle from left to right in Fig. ??3). It was found a maximum of the branching density BD and fraction branching FB at $X_O = 96$ wt% in the semicontinuous process SC-3 with the intermediate addition rate of the monomers ($BD = 14 \times 10^{-4}$) and a minimum degree of branching in B-1 ($BD = 5 \times 10^{-4}$). On the other hand, the middle degree of branching was found in SC-1 ($BD = 10 \times 10^{-4}$) but at a conversion higher than 96 % the higher branching was in the order $B-1 > SC-3 > SC-1$ (sequence of less rate of addition of monomers), see Fig. ??3. We consider that not only the depletion of the monomers in the particle is an important factor for branching, but also the ratio $R_{p,cbb}/R_p$, at what conversion the branching begins and until what conversion are allowed to react with the monomers.

VIII.

Conclusion

From the k_p - k_t average model for the emulsion terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA previously developed, the inclusion of the reaction of BuA backbiting with the associated k_p -gel effect in the model allows to know in more exact detail the kinetic phenomena of the emulsion terpolymerization of this system. Indeed, the k_p - k_t average model is used as a guide in order to improve the results of the model which includes the BuA backbiting reaction with the associated k_p -gel effect. On this way, it is realized that the rate of desorption of monomeric radicals has influence on the molecular weight and branching of the terpolymer of St/MMA/BuA. In a synergistic way, the results of both set of models support the hypothesis that the internal chemical structure of the particles grown in semicontinuous processes are different that the internal chemical structure of the particles produced in batch. This indicates that the distribution of sequences of monomers in the terpolymer throughout the particle in both kinds of processes was different. On the other hand, we have found that the gel effect depends on the ratio of the radicals to monomers and when this ratio goes up, the free volume model of Hamielec for the k_p -gel effect is more suitable for predicting the kinetic of the terpolymerization. When we have associated the gel effect to the diffusion of the monomers and polymer radicals, we have found that the diffusion of the total polymer radicals in the particle determines what k_p -gel model is adequate for simulating the gel effect. In this sense we can say that the k_p -gel model is a discrete one which takes into account these variables ($\#R/n$ or $n T^* D_{PR}$) for the selection of the most convenient k_p -gel model. This selection was also dependent of the viscosity in the particle, and then we have used three methods in orders to give importance to the influence of the viscosity in the selection of the best method. Besides, the BuA backbiting including model allowed the evaluation of the average diffusion coefficients of the monomers, polymers and polymers radicals. On the other hand, based on the definition of branching density BD, ratio of rate of reaction of tertiary radicals to overall rate of polymerization for all the monomers, we have encountered that the evolution in time of the rate of addition of monomers modifies the percentage of branching.

a) Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Notation A_{jk}

Constant "j" (j = 1 before; j = 2 after the critical point for BuA), for the k_p -gel effect of the different "k" models of the propagation rate coefficient k_p . b Bond length (cm). BD_i, BD Instantaneous branching density or average branching density.

BF_i, BF

Instantaneous branching fraction or average branching fraction. c* Concentration for the overlap of the polymers in which they interpenetrate (g/cm³). c** Critical concentration of polymer for the onset of entanglements (g/cm³). Diffusion coefficient, of the monomer near the polymer, of polymer radical or of polymer radical associated to the termination or of the dead polymer (cm²/s). D_{rd}, D_{com,PR}, D_{com,PR,t}, D_P Diffusion coefficient induced by the reaction or the movement of the center of mass of the polymer radical or associated to the termination or the dead polymer (cm²/s).

27 Global

28 F c

Correction factor for the diffusion of the n-DDM from the droplets to the particles. f_j , bb Steric factor of the penultimate monomers "j" in the backbiting reaction of the BuA ended secondary radicals. k_B Boltzman constant ($g\ cm/s^2\ /K$).

29 K c

Coefficient to calculate the beginning of critical concentration for the beginning of the entanglements (cm^3 (monomeric units) n/g) k_{cbb}

Backbiting rate coefficient of the backbiting reaction of the BuA ended secondary radicals ($dm^3\ w/mol\ rad/s$). K_f , K_f Average desorption coefficient from the particle for monomeric radical "j" or for all the monomeric radicals ($1/s$). k_p chem Propagation coefficient dependent only on the reactivity of the monomers and j-ended radicals without considering the diffusion of the monomer and the polymer radical ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_p diff Propagation coefficient dependent on the reaction diffusion of the monomer and the polymer radical ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). $k_p M = k_p rd, M$

Propagation coefficient dependent on the reaction diffusion of the monomer ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). $k_{dr rd} = k_p rd, PR$ Propagation coefficient dependent on the reaction diffusion of the polymer radical ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_p, Tot , $k_p, Tot0$

Overall propagation coefficient in the particle considering or not considering the gel effect ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_t chem Termination coefficient dependent only on the reactivity of j-ended radicals without considering the diffusion of the polymer radical ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_t diff Termination coefficient dependent on the reaction diffusion of the polymer radical ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_t, Tot , $k_t, Tot0$ Overall termination coefficient in the particle considering or not considering the gel effect ($dm^3\ w/mol\ mon/s$). k_{tp} Overall termination coefficient in the particle considering the gel effect ($dm^3\ w/mol\ rad/s$). $k_{v,ijw}$ v = termination "t" ($k_{t,ii}$ according to the german or USA convention $k'_{t,ii}$) rate coefficient of i-ended radical to j-ended radical or polymerization "p" rate coefficient of radical "i" to monomer "j" considering the gel effect at $w = time$ "t" or without gel effect "0" ($dm^3\ p/mol\ rad/s$). L_{pers} , $L_{pers,i}$ Length of persistence of homopolymer "i" (cm).

30 [M j] p, [M T] p

Instantaneous monomer "j" or total monomer concentration in the particle "p" ($mol/dm^3\ p$).

31 MSE

Mean square error. n_1 , n_2 Exponents associated to the dependence of the diffusion coefficient to the degree of polymerization. n_3 , n_4 Exponents associated to the dependence of the diffusion coefficient to the concentration of polymer. n, n_j , n_T , n_{ncbb}

Average number of j-ended radicals without considering the tertiary electrophilic radicals or radical "j" in the particle or total average of radicals or ended tertiary radicals in the particle ($rad/part$). N , N^{**} , N_{PR} , $N_{PR,t}$, $N_{PR,t\ max}$, $N_{PR,t\ min}$, N_P Degree of polymerization in a the chain associated to the freely rotating segments or critical number of freely rotating segments which consider entanglements or degree of polymerization in a polymer radical in base to the moments of the molecular weight distribution or polymer radicals associated to the termination or maxima or minimum distribution of polymer radicals associated to termination in semicontinuous or degree of polymerization of a dead polymer. N_A Avogadro's constant.

32 N p

Number of particles per unit volume of water ($\#/cm^3\ w$). P_{ijk} (i,j,k) Probability of occurrence of the triad ijk with the j-ended radical "k". $\langle r_{02}^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ Root mean square end to end distance of the homopolymer (cm). $\#R/n = \#M/n$ Number of polymer radicals which react with the same number of monomers per mol of monomers (rad/mol).

33 R f

Desorption rate of all j-ended monomeric radicals ($rad/part/s$).

34 R g

Gyration radius (cm). R_{KpnT} Ratio of the product of the $k_{p,tot}$ times n_T of the BuA backbiting including model between the same product for the k_p - k_t average model. R_m , Radical transfer rate to monomers of all j-ended radicals ($rad/part/s$). R_{ncbb} Backbiting rate of the BuA-ended secondary radicals ($rad/part/s$).

35 R T

Chain transfer rate for all j-ended radicals to the chain transfer agent ($rad/part/s$).

36 R p

Total propagation rate of all j-ended radicals on mole basis (mol/cm³ w /s).

37 R p

Propagation rate j-ended radicals (rad/part/s).

38 R t

Termination of the j-ended radicals (rad/part/s).

39 SBD, SBF

Accumulated branching density or branching fraction at n iterations. Fig. ?? : Accumulated conversion X ac for the semicontinuous processes SC-1 to SC-4, and for the seeded process BS-1 of the k p -k t average (dashed lines) and the backbiting-including BuA set of models (solid lines). The intersection of the lines A to E with the conversion curves of SC-1, BS-1, SC-4, SC-3, SC-2, respectively, indicates the conversion in which the addition of monomers has ended. Rad/part/s B-3 Iteration in the values of n 0 , n 1 and n 2 until finding a distribution of N PR,t similar a N PR . Evaluation of the values of D PR,t in Equation (9bi)-(9biii). Substitution of N PR,t by N PR and N P in order to evaluate D PR and D P (without D rd), respectively. As reference is calculated D PR,t,2 with n 1 = 2 and n 2 = 2. a. For the semicontinuous processes the higher value of the range of T gi was obtained by the intersection of the tangent to the curve of T gi versus X O at the beginning of the reaction and the tangent when the conversion goes from 10 to 30 wt%. b. It was difficult to define the onset of the experimental T g of B-3.

40 Global Journal of Researches in Engineering () Volume XVII Issue III Version

I 63 Year 2017 C ? ? ? 0,seg , ? 0,i p 0 , p 1 , ? 1 , ? 2 ? LJ M , ? LJ R ? SH, ? SH,i ?R r ? ?R ncbb (Pijk) R m,ncbb R t,ncbb R p,ncbb ?R r R T,ncbb R m,ncbb R T,ncbb R t,ncbb R p,ncbb ?R r R ncbb (Pijk) SC-4 X O X O pA p T cbb t ncbb t N v n k R 3 2 , ,10 =) 2 (1 , , ; , 2 2 , 1 2 3 4 5 6

D d , D p

Year 2017
61
of Researches in Engineering () Volume XVII Issue III Ver-
sion I C
Journal

C m,ij

Monomer transfer con-
stant for

polymeric radical to monomer "j".

C m,iT

Chain transfer constant of i-ended radical to

chain transfer agent T.

Figure 1:

1

Year 2017
71
of Researches in Engineering () Volume XVII Issue III Version I C
Global Journal

Figure 2: Table 1 :

6

	B-1	B-2	B-3 b	SC-1	SC-2	SC-3	SC-4
T _g (°C) Experimental.	19-70	25-55	20-44	29-61	27-69	27-63	12-23
k _p -k _t average model							
T _g , DSC (°C)	9-70	32-70	12-42	67-70	46-64	59-64	9-32
T _a (°C)	9-70	32-70	-24-42	67-68	45-62	59-62	9-29
Backbiting model							
T _g , DSC (°C)	9-70	17-70	16-42	60-69	45-60	59-65	21-32
T _{gi} (°C)	9,-70	17-70	-24-42	58-61	45-61	59-63	4-23

Figure 3: Table 6 :

5

	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	SC-1	SC-2	SC-3	SC-4
n ₀	1.40	1.40	1.40	1.40	-	-	-	-
n ₁	1.40	1.50	1.47	1.60	-	-	-	-
n ₂	1.59	1.62	1.72	1.41	1.85	1.78	1.78	1.89
Conditions b _{0,1,2,4}		0,1,2	0,1,2	0,1,2	2,4	2,4	4	1,2,4
	Almost 2			Almost 2	Almost 2	Almost 4		Almost 2
Range W _{p c}	0.48-0.96	0.48-	0.45-	0.47-	0.79-	0.80-	0.62-	0.74-
		0.97	0.92	0.96	0.82	0.91	0.82	0.89

a. n₃ = 0.75, n₄ = 1.75 (See Text).
b. See Equation (9).
c.

Figure 4: Table 5 :

¹© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²Year 2017 C Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of the BuA backbiting, diffusion of monomers and polymers in the particle, and BuA induced branching.

³Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of the BuA backbiting, diffusion of monomers and polymers in the particle, and BuA induced branching.© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁴X O = 0.78 (b) W p = 0.79 X Vf,St X Vf,MMA V f X O = 0.11 SC-2 X O X Vf,BuA X Vf = 0.84 (c)Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of the BuA backbiting, diffusion of monomers and polymers in the particle, and BuA induced branching. © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁵/s)Emulsion Terpolymerization of St/MMA/BuA: III. Modeling of the BuA backbiting, diffusion of monomers and polymers in the particle, and BuA induced branching.© 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

⁶1E-4 1 10,000 1E8 k t,Tot0 k t,Tot or k t diff k p rd k p,Tot k p M or k p diff k p,Tot0(c)X O B-1(a)k t,Tot0 k t,Tot or k t diff k p M or k p diff k p rd k p,Tot k p,Tot0 (b) X O SC-3 k t,Tot0 k t,Tot or k t diff

after the critical point $j = 2$; or total free volume of the pure element I. T_g Temperature, glass transition temperature or fusion temperature (K, °C). T_{gi} , T_{gDSC} , Instantaneous , accumulated and obtained by simulated by DSC glass transition temperature (°C).

Critical free volume for the beginning of the monomer or segment of the terpolymer to jump. v_p Volume of one particle (cm^3).

.1 V p

Total volume of the particles (cm^3 / cm^3 w). W_p , W_{pj} Mass fraction of the terpolymer in the particle or critical mass fraction before the critical point $j = 1$ or after the critical point $j = 2$.

x Exponent associated to the micelar nucleation.

.2 X ac

Accumulated mass conversion a time "t".

.3 X O

Monomers overall conversion (experimental o calculated). X_{Vf} , X_{Vfc} Proportion of BuA in the free volume of the terpolymer or critical value for the same parameter.

.4 Greek Symbols j

Ratio of the water mass transfer side resistance to overall mass transfer for desorbed radical "j". τ Time step for the iteration of the program (s). ν_j Jump frequency of the polymer segments or monomer (1/s).

μ_j zero and first moments, of the living j-ended radicals; first and second moment of the dead polymers in the molecular weight distribution.

d_j Diameter of Lennard Jones of the monomer or of the average of the j-ended radicals in the terpolymer (cm).

f_j Hindrance factor or steric hindrance of monomer in terpolymer.

Σ_j Sum of all the reactions of the BuA tertiary electrophilic radicals (rad/part/s).

θ_j Angle between bonds (°).

ζ_j seg Friction coefficient of the segment of the polymer, in this case the monomer in the terpolymer (g/s). η_j : Equations used to evaluate the gel effect of the polymerization rate constant k_p of the BuA-ended radicals (dm^3/mol) [12].

.5 Global

1. Before $X_{Vfc2} = 0.84$, $j = c$, cbb.

2. After the critical value $X_{Vfc2} = 0.84$; $j = c$, cbb

a. We consider that the gel constants and critical values of V_f and W_p are equal for the secondary and tertiary radical of BuA. b. The monomers are indicated by "i" and the kind of k_p -gel model by "k".

[Harkins ()] , W D Harkins . *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 1947. 69 p. 1428.

[De Gennes ()] , P G De Gennes . *J. Chem. Phys* 1971. 55 p. 572.

[De Gennes ()] , P G De Gennes . *Macromolecules* 1976a. 9 p. 587.

[De Gennes ()] , P G De Gennes . *Macromolecules* 1976b. 9 p. 594.

[Klein ()] , J Klein . *Macromolecules* 1978. 11 p. 852.

[Callaghan and Pinder ()] , P T Callaghan , D N Pinder . *Macromolecules* 1980. 13 p. 1085.

[Okubo et al. ()] , M Okubo , A Yamada , T Matsumoto . *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. Ed* 1980. 18 p. 3219.

[Tulig and Tirrell ()] , T J Tulig , M Tirrell . *Macromolecules* 1981. 14 p. 1501.

[Soh and Sundberg ()] , S K Soh , D C Sundberg . *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. Ed* 1982. 20 p. 1315.

[Giese ()] , B Giese . *Ang. Chem., Int. Edit. Eng* 1983. 22 p. 753.

[Nomura et al. ()] , M Nomura , K Fujita , *Makromol Chem , Supp* . 1985. 10 p. 25.

[Von Meerwall et al. ()] , E D Von Meerwall , E J Amis , J D Ferry . *Macromolecules* 1985. 18 p. 260.

[Chern and Poehlein ()] , C S Chern , G W Poehlein . *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. Ed* 1987. 25 p. 617.

[Russell et al. ()] , G T Russell , D H Napper , R G Gilbert . *Macromolecules* 1988. 21 p. 2133.

[Brown and Zhou ()] , W Brown , P Zhou . *Macromolecules* 1989. 22 p. 4031.

[Mills et al. ()] , F M Mills , R G Gilbert , D H Napper . *Macromolecules* 1990. 23 p. 4247.

[Casey et al. ()] , B S Casey , I A Maxwell , B R Morrison , R G Gilbert . *Macromol. Chem., Macromol. Symp* 1990. 31 p. 1.

- 1011 [Pastor-Vega ()] , J O Pastor-Vega . 1990. Bac. Thesis, UNAM (Mexico City).
- 1012 [Russell et al. ()] , G T Russell , R G Gilbert , D H Napper . *Macromolecules* 1993. 26 p. 3538.
- 1013 [Piton et al. ()] , M C Piton , R G Gilbert , B E Chapman , P W Kuchel . *Macromolecules* 1993. 26 p. 4472.
- 1014 [Casey et al. ()] , B S Casey , B R Morrison , I A Maxwell , R G Gilbert , D H Napper . *J. Polym. Sci., Part*
1015 *A: Polym. Chem. Ed* 1994. 32 p. 605.
- 1016 [Faldi et al. ()] , A Faldi , M Tirrell , T P Lodge . *Macromolecules* 1994. 27 p. 4176.
- 1017 [Urretabizkaia and Asua ()] , A Urretabizkaia , J M Asua . *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. Ed* 1994. 32
1018 p. 1761.
- 1019 [Russell ()] , G T Russell . *Macromol. Theory Simul* 1995. 4 p. 407.
- 1020 [O'neil and Torkelson ()] , G A O'neil , J M Torkelson . *Trends Polym. Sci* 1997. 5 p. 349.
- 1021 [Griffiths et al. ()] , M C Griffiths , J Strauch , M J Monteiro , R G Gilbert . *Macromolecules* 1998. 31 p. 7835.
- 1022 [Stubbs et al. ()] , J Stubbs , O Karlsson , J E Jönsson , E Sundberg , Y Durant , D Sundberg . *Coll. Surf. A*
1023 1999. 153 p. 255.
- 1024 [Arzamendi et al. ()] , G Arzamendi , C Plessis , J R Leiza , J M Asua . *Macromol. Theory Simul* 2003. 12 p.
1025 315.
- 1026 [Ginsburger et al. ()] , E Ginsburger , F Pla , C Fonteix , S Hoppe , S Massebeuf , P Hobbes , P Swaels . *Chem.*
1027 *Eng. Sci* 2003. 58 p. 4493.
- 1028 [Yurekli et al. ()] , Y Yurekli , S A Altinkaya , J M Zielinski . *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. Ed* 2007. 45
1029 p. 1996.
- 1030 [Achillas ()] , D S Achillas . *Macromol. Theory Simul* 2007. 16 p. 319.
- 1031 [Khan et al. ()] , A K Khan , B C Ray , J Maiti , S K Dolui . *Pigm. and Res. Technol* 2009. 38 p. 159.
- 1032 [Borthakur et al. ()] , L J Borthakur , T Jana , S K Dolui . *J. Coat. Technol. Res* 2010. 7 p. 765.
- 1033 [Benyahia et al. ()] , B Benyahia , M A Latifi , C Fonteix , F Pla , S Nacef . *Chem. Eng. Sci* 2010. 65 p. 850.
- 1034 [Wang and Hutchinson ()] , W Wang , R A Hutchinson . *AIChE J* 2011. 57 p. 227.
- 1035 [Agirre et al. ()] , J I Agirre , A Santos , V Etcheberria , J R Sauerland , Leiza . *Polym. Chem* 2013. 4 p. 2062.
- 1036 [Cuccato et al. ()] , D Cuccato , E Mavrouidakis , M Dossi , D Moscatelli . *Macromol. Theory Simul* 2013. 22 p.
1037 127.
- 1038 [D'hooge et al. ()] , D R D'hooge , M F Marin , G B Reyniers . *Macromolec. React. Eng* 2013. 7 p. 362.
- 1039 [Ballard et al. ()] , N Ballard , J C De La Cal , J M Asua . *Macromolecules* 2015. 48 p. 987.
- 1040 [Díaz-Ponce et al. ()] , J A Díaz-Ponce , H Vázquez-Torres , C Martínez-Vera . *Chem. Eng. Sci* 2015. 138 p. 41.
- 1041 [Victoria-Valenzuela et al. ()] , D Victoria-Valenzuela , J Herrera-Ordóñez , G Luna-Barcenas . *Macromol.*
1042 *Theory Simul* 2016. 25 p. 28.
- 1043 [Díaz-Ponce (1990)] , J A Díaz-Ponce . September. 1990. UNAM, Mexico City. (M. Sc. Thesis)
- 1044 [Okninski ()] 'Catastrophe Theory'. A Okninski . *Chemical Kinetics*, R G Compton (ed.) (Amsterdam) 1992.
1045 Elsevier. 33.
- 1046 [Moreau Turq (ed.) ()] JL . *Chemical reactivity in liquids*, M Moreau, P Turq (ed.) (New York) 1987. Plenum
1047 Press. p. .
- 1048 [Rabek ()] *Experimental methods in polymer chemistry*, J Rabek . 1981. New York USA: Wiley.
- 1049 [Michalewicz ()] *Genetic algorithms + Data structures = Evolution programs, 2nd Edn*, Z Michalewicz . 1994.
1050 Berlín: Springer-Verlag.
- 1051 [Kukkonen et al. (ed.) ()] S Kukkonen , J Lampinen . *Multi-objective Optimization in Computational Intelligence:*
1052 *Theory and Practice*, L T Bui, S Alam (ed.) (New York) 2008. (Information Science Reference)
- 1053 [Hg and Elias ()] 'Physical Structures and Properties'. Hg , Elias . *Macromolecules* 2008. Wiley-VSC. 3.
- 1054 [Napper and Gilbert (ed.) ()] *Polymerization in Emulsions*, D H Napper , R G Gilbert . *Comprehensive Polymer*
1055 *Science*, G. Allen and J. C. Bevington (ed.) 1989. Oxford, England: Pergamon Press. 3 p. .
- 1056 [Sundberg et al. ()] D C Sundberg , J Y Hsieh , S K Soh , R F Baldus . *Emulsion Polymers and Emulsion*
1057 *Polymerization*, D R Basset, A E Hamielec (ed.) (Washington DC, USA) 1981. American Chemical Society.
1058 165 p. .