Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.

#### Effect of Particle Concentration and Sliding Velocity in Magnetic Abrasive Finishing of Brass Pipe 2 Jasgurpreet Singh Chohan<sup>1</sup> 3

<sup>1</sup> RIMT University

Received: 9 December 2016 Accepted: 31 December 2016 Published: 15 January 2017

# Abstract

The present study investigates the influence of magnetic field on the internal surface finish of

Brass UNS C26800 pipe. The input parameters such as sliding velocity of electromagnets, 9

concentration ratio (castor oil and magnetic abrasive particles) and number of cycles were 10

varied in the selected range and their effect was comprehended in terms of percentage change 11

in surface finish ( 12

13

4

5

6

7

8

Index terms — magnetic abrasive finishing, magnetic abrasive particles, surface roughness, magnetorheolog-14 ical finishing. 15

#### Introduction 1 16

he surface finish has a vital influence on the surface properties such as wear and friction on most of the engineering 17 applications (Boparai et al., 2017). Magnetic abrasive finishing (MAF) is a super finishing process which uses a 18 resilient multi point cutting tool to finish the work pieces (Kala and Pandey, 2014). A mixture of abrasive powder 19 and ferromagnetic powder form the polishing tool called flexible magnetic abrasive brush (Givi et al., 2012). An 20 internal magnetic abrasive finishing process was proposed for producing highly finished inner surfaces of tubes 21 22 used in critical applications including clean gas or liquid piping systems (Yamaguchi and Shinmura, 1999). By 23 varying various process factors, the finishing force and torque acting on the workpiece can be varied and thus, surface finish can be improved. 24

The various analytical parameters such as spindle speed, type of abrasives, electromagnetworkpiece gap, 25 percentage weight of abrasives, magnetic flux density, no. of cycles, processing time etc. were studied by many 26 researchers for optimization. Most of the researchers have concentrated on surface finishing at single location in 27 the pipe. But, for practical applications, it is required to finish the whole internal surface of pipe. The present 28

research work has explored the effect of varying sliding velocity of electromagnets on surface finish and material 29 removal rate. 30

Magnetorheological Finishing uses the Magnetorheological (MR) polishing fluid for the precise finishing of 31 components (Bedi and Singh, 2015). The magnetic abrasives particles mixed oil provides better and controlled 32 internal finishing of pipes (Jha and Jain, 2004). But, hitherto no study has been performed to evaluate the 33 34 impact of variable concentration ratio of oil and abrasives. Thus, castor oil is mixed with Magnetic Abrasive 35 Particles (MAP) to gain better control over the nano finishing for the present work.

Also, as cited by many researches, number of cycles plays a crucial role in MAF process (Kala and Pandey, 36 2014; Givi et al., 2012). Hence, number of cycles has been varied in order to achieve controlled and efficient surface 37 finish. The full factorial experimental design has been considered to study the influence of analytical parameters 38 such as sliding velocity of electromagnet, concentration ratio (castor oil to abrasive mixture) and number of 39 cycles of electromagnet on the surface finish. The remaining parameters were kept constant throughout the 40 experimentation. 41

#### 42 **2** II.

#### **3** Experimentation

The workpiece material Brass UNS C26800 was taken and two types of abrasive materials i.e. Iron (Fe) and 44 Iron Oxide (Fe 3 O 4) were used throughout the experimentation. The average particle size of nano abrasives 45 was 30-40 nm whereas for micro abrasives it was 350-450?m. The specialized designed experimental apparatus 46 (Figure 1) has been used which facilitates the variation in sliding velocity of electromagnets along the horizontal 47 axis of brass pipe. The variable and fixed input parameters have been shown in Table 1 and 2 The Magnetic 48 abrasive particle (MAP) ratio has been fixed as 3:1 against magnetic flux density of 0.2 Tesla. The effect of 49 selected process parameters was studied on the surface finish and material removal rate (MRR) of magnetic 50 abrasive finishing. Rotational speed 600 rpm 7. 51

52 Workpiece gap 2 mm 8.

53 MAP ratio 3:1

The surface roughness was measured at eight different locations at both ends of brass pipe workpiece with the digital "Surftest SJ 210" roughness tester having stylus tip radius 2?m and tip angle 60°C with measuring force 0.75mN. The measurements were taken employing Gaussian filter, cut-off length 0.25 mm and 2.5 mm exploratory length as per ISO-4287 regulations. Surface roughness (Ra) average values was calculated from mean of eight measurements and percentage improvement in roughness was estimated as: %?Ra = (Initial Roughness ? Final Roughness) Initial Roughness × 100

60 III.

#### 61 4 Results and Discussions

The impact of sliding velocity on percentage improvement in surface finish varied due to blunting of abrasive 62 63 particles in case of concentration ratio 7:3. As shown in Figure 2, initially the %I?"Ra increases but upto a 64 certain limit and then starts decreasing at high velocity of particles. Mishra et al., 2013 stated that rubbing action of magnetic abrasive particles with the work surface resulted in the generation of high frictional forces 65 between them and causes wear of abrasives. With the increase in linear velocity of electromagnets, frictional force 66 increases followed by the high spindle speed which causes blunting of abrasives. Due to blunting of abrasives, the 67 cutting ability of abrasives is reduced which further decreases %1?"Ra. Djavanroodi (2013) also found that the 68 blunting of abrasive particles resulted in the slow improvement in surface finish. The impact of sliding velocity 69 70 in case of concentration ratio 8:2 has been plotted in Figure 3 which shows similar results as discussed earlier., 71 As the sliding velocity increases, the surface finish increases but up to a certain limit and then starts decreasing. 72 As rubbing action increases, more amount of lubricant (8:3) could not recompense the blunting of abrasives at 73 very high sliding velocity. However, the results are different at concentration ratio 9:1where uniform increase in 74 %I?"Ra is noted with an increase in the sliding velocity (Figure 4). At higher concentration ratio, the findings are relatively different than 7:3 and 8:2. The higher concentration of castor oil ensures the smooth cutting action 75 and thus blunting of abrasives is prevented as castor oil also acts as lubricating agent. However, in this case the 76 phenomenon of material embrittlement dominates the blunting of abrasives. As the sliding velocity increases, the 77 surface undergo work hardening and thus surface profiles become brittle which can be fragmented easily by the 78 sharp abrasives. The percentage improvement in surface finish (Figure 5) decreases with the increase in amount 79 of castor oil added in magnetic abrasive particles at sliding velocity 0.62 mm/sec. This might be due to the 80 reasons that with higher concentration of oil, the abrasive mixture become thick. Patil et al. (2012) explained 81 82 that the oversupply of lubricant could either cause fluid lubrication between the abrasives and the workpiece or 83 wash away the abrasives from the finishing area. This reduces the number of cutting edges acting on the surface, thereby disturbing the finishing action (Sharma and Singh, 2013). The percentage improvement in surface finish 84 (Figure 6) decreases with the increase in concentration ratio at sliding velocity 1.23 mm/sec. Similar results are 85 found at sliding velocity 0.62 mm/sec. No. of cycles = 2 86

Figure 7 depicts the impact of concentration ratio on percentage improvement in surface finish at sliding 87 velocity 2.46 mm/sec. Results are quite different from sliding velocities 0.62 mm/sec and 1.23 mm/sec. At 88 high sliding velocity of electromagnets, particles move with very high linear speed followed by high spindle 89 speed carrying workpiece (Jain et al., 2001). Thus, the proper lubrication at high velocities provides better and 90 smooth control over the surface. The surface profiles were generated using the surface roughness tester (Mitutoyo 91 Surftest SJ-210) with the help of communication tool during internal surface testing of pipes taken before and 92 93 after experimentation. The experiments are selected randomly with comparatively different process parameters 94 that offered best results out of the entire practice.

The roughness profiles have been arranged in Figure 8 for experiment performed at 1.23 mm/s, concentration ratio 8:2 and one cycle. The maximum height of profile before finishing is around 2.75 µm and after finishing is around 0.9 µm. This means that magnetic abrasive finishing assisted magnetorheological finishing diminishes the grooves or plows of the surface and smoothen the surface which results in the change in average height of the roughness profile (Verma et al., 2016). The Figure 9 plots the roughness profiles acquired during experimentation at sliding velocity 1.23 mm/s, concentration ratio 7:3 and two cycles. The maximum profile height before finishing is around 2.0 µm and after finishing is around 1.6 µm. So, there is reduction in maximum profile height and also the graph is stable towards the centre line after the finishing process which results in the impressive reduction of average roughness (Ra) throughout the process.

## 104 5 Conclusions

The investigative parameters such as sliding velocity, concentration ratio and number of cycles have been analyzed in the present research work using Brass UNS C26800 pipe. The surface finish improves with an increase in number

<sup>107</sup> of cycles of electromagnets. The amount of castor oil added in the abrasive mixture has significant effect on the

108 percentage improvement in surface finish (%?Ra). The surface finish improves with an increase in sliding velocity

- of electromagnets in case of concentration ratio 9:1 as brass undergoes embrittlement which ensures efficient micro-cutting. However, in ratio 7:3 and 8:3, the surface finish initially increase and afterwards decreases with an
- increase in sliding velocity which has been attributed to blunting of abrasives. The findings could be beneficial for brass pipe manufacturing industry as the internal finish of thin 12



Figure 1:



Figure 2: Figure 1 :

112

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm T}$ © 2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^2 \</sup>odot$  2017 Global Journals Inc. (US)

### 5 CONCLUSIONS



Figure 5: Figure 3 :



3

Figure 6:

Figure 7: Figure 4 :



Figure 12: Figure 9 :A

#### 5 CONCLUSIONS

1

S.No.	Input Parameters	Range
1.	Sliding velocity of electromagnets (mm/s)	0.62, 1.23, 2.46
2.	Concentration Ratio (castor oil to MAP) (vol.)	7:3, 8:2, 9:1
3.	No. of cycles	1, 2

Figure 13: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

	Input Parameters	Range
1.	Workpiece material	Brass UNS C26800
2.	Type of Abrasive	Fe 3 O 4
3.	Magnetic flux density	0.2 Tesla
4.	Voltage	220 -230 V
5.	Current	4 A
6.		

Figure 14: Table 2 :

- <sup>113</sup> [Djavanroodi ()] 'Artificial Neural Network Modeling of Surface Roughness in Magnetic Abrasive Finishing <sup>114</sup> Process'. F Djavanroodi . Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology 2013. 11 p.
- 115
- III6 [Jha and Jain ()] 'Design and development of the magnetorheological abrasive flow finishing (MRAFF) process'.
  S Jha, V K Jain . International Journal of Machine Tools & Manufacture 2004. 44 (10) p. .
- [Mishra et al. ()] 'Determining work-brush 9. interface temperature in magnetic abrasive finishing process'. V
  Mishra , H Goel , R S Mulik , P M Pandey . Journal of Manufacturing Processes 2013. 16 p. .
- [Boparai et al. ()] 'Effect of Abrasive (Fe 3 O 4 ) Particle Size on the Surface Roughness of Aluminium (A6063)
  Pipe using Magnetic Assisted Finishing'. K S Boparai , G Thukral , J S Chohan . International Journal of Material Science and Engineering 2017. 8 (1) p. .
- <sup>123</sup> [Jain et al. ()] 'Effect of working gap and circumferential speed on the performance of magnetic abrasive finishing <sup>124</sup> process'. V K Jain , P Kumar , P K Behera , S C Jayswal . *WEAR* 2001. 250 p. .
- [Verma et al. ()] 'Experimental investigations into internal magnetic abrasive finishing of pipes'. G C Verma , P
  Kala , P M Pandey . International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology 2016. 88 (5) p. .
- [Singh et al. ()] 'Experimental Studies on Mechanism of Material Removal in Abrasive Flow Machining Process'.
  S Singh , H S Shan , P Kumar . *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 2008. 23 p. .
- [Kala and Pandey ()] 'Experimental Study on Finishing Forces in Double Disk Magnetic Abrasive Finishing
  Process while finishing Paramagnetic Workpiece'. P Kala , P M Pandey . International Conference on Advances
  in Manufacturing and Materials Engineering, 2014. 5 p. .
- [Geometrical Product Specification (GPS) -Surface Texture: Profile Method -Terms, Definition and Surface Texture Parameters I
  'Geometrical Product Specification (GPS) -Surface Texture: Profile Method -Terms, Definition and Surface
  Texture Parameters'. International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 1997. 1997. 4287. (ISO)
- [Bedi and Singh ()] 'Magnetorheological Methods for Nanofinishing -A Review'. T S Bedi , A K Singh .
  *Particulate Science and Technology* 2015. 34 (4) p. . (International Journal)
- [Givi et al. ()] 'Polishing of the aluminum sheets with magnetic abrasive finishing method'. M Givi, A F Tehrani
  A Mohammadi . International Journal of Advance Manufacturing Technology 2012. 61 (9) p. .
- 139 [Patil et al. ()] 'Study of Mechanically Alloyed Magnetic Abrasives in Magnetic Abrasive Finishing'. M G Patil
- , K Chandra, P S Misra. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research 2012. 3 (10) p. .
- 141[Yamaguchi and Shinmura ()]'Study of the surface modification resulting from an internal magnetic abrasive142finishing process'. H Yamaguchi , T Shinmura . WEAR 1999. 43 p. .
- [Sharma and Singh ()] 'To Study the Effect of Various Parameters on Magnetic Abrasive Finishing'. M Sharma
  , D P Singh . International Journal of Research in Mechanical Engineering & Technology 2013. 3 p. .