Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1 2	Cross Spectrum Switching in Mobility Scenario for Signaling Overhead Minimization in Het net
3	Sudha Arvind ¹ and Dr.V.D.Mytri ²
4	1 JNTU HYDERABAD
5	Received: 8 December 2015 Accepted: 31 December 2015 Published: 15 January 2016

7 Abstract

The issue of mobility concern in heterogeneous network is addressed in this paper. The coding 8 approach of spectrum utilization and signal effort in user mobility in heterogeneous network is 9 been made. New coding approach of spectrum utilization in concern with resource utilization 10 and signaling overhead is focused. New approach of spectrum utility level is been made to 11 overcome the issue of signaling overhead in spectrum utilization in Het Net. For achieving the 12 objective of fairness in heterogeneous network under mobility constraint, multi objective 13 coordination approach for optimal resource utilization is proposed. The resource utilization 14 problem is defined by the effective spectrum utilization among network users minimizing the 15 signaling overhead. The simulation observations developed shows an improvement in 16 significant resource utilization in compare to conventional approaches. 17

18

19 Index terms—cross spectrum utilization, resource sharing, signaling overhead, spectrum allocation.

²⁰ 1 I. Introduction

eterogeneous network have up come as a new model for data exchange, where, multiple networks are integrated 21 together to formulate a larger network, providing long range communication, with grouping of different wireless 22 networks. With the development of such network, different architectures have been proposed in recent past ??1], in 23 24 which the network is incorporated with cognitive devices to achieve an efficient communication. The incorporation 25 of cognitive devices has gained the advantage of utilizing frequency spectrum more efficiently in heterogeneous network. The radio devices are developed as a software defined radio unit [3], where the network user utilizes the 26 27 spectrum by sensing its availability from other active users. The spectrums are shared to communicate data from 28 free spectrum [2], sensing and allocating to exchange data with higher spectrum efficiency. The network [4] consists of users to share spectrum for data exchange [5], [6]. The objective of Het Net is to share the spectrum among users 29 to exchange data without interfering the communication of any current user ???]. For interference controlling 30 each secondary user process a spectrum sensing, spectrum allocation and spectrum utilization process. In the 31 operation of cognitive network, the radio unit is defined for spectrum sensing of existing spectrum and utilizing 32 for transmission rather to adding new spectrum. This usage helps in providing higher network performance 33 with the exiting resources. Due to the advantage of providing higher service compatibility without additional 34 35 spectrum, has made this network a new prospective architecture for next generation communication system. In 36 Het Net, the radio unit improves the spectrum efficiency by implementing the approach of dynamic spectrum 37 access approach (DSA). The users in such network operated as a secondary user, which uses the frequency bands 38 allocated to a current user. This form of spectrum utilization is standardized under IEEE 802.22 and ECMA-392. Though spectrum sharing improves the performance of communication network, the mobility monitoring is also 39 required. In this mobility driven spectrum sensing and allocation is focused. Even with a proper approach of 40 spectrum sensing, the data exchange could face high degradation in mobility or in many a case may not meet 41 the demanded quality of service. In the provisioning of mobility driven spectrum allocation in [1] an approach of 42 joint optimization of energy efficiency and spectrum efficiency is proposed. An optimization problem of signaling 43

overhead and spectrum allocation is formulated for a heterogeneous network. The problem of spectrum allocation 44 is defined as a mutual interference model of spectrum efficiency (SE) and signaling overhead (SO) in this network. 45 In the communication approach, detection of spectrum status is a prime issue. The vacant spectrum is used by 46 47 the user for its communication and re-allocate to the current user when required. In practical scenario, two sensing error occurs, the misdetection error and the false alarm error. In the misdetection error the secondary 48 user detect the current user spectrum as vacant, when actually used. Whereas, in false alarm condition, the user 49 detect a spectrum as used, when the spectrum is vacant. These two errorsminimize the probability of detection 50 in Het Net. The misdetection error result in cochannel interference and the false alarm minimizes the spectrum 51 utilization efficiency and signaling overhead. In [8] to optimize the Het Net efficiency the optimization of SO 52 to SE under this condition is proposed. The converging of this SO-SE approach was derived as a SNR based 53 approach. In a similar approach in [9] an analysis to SE to SO for Het Net was developed. This approach 54 defines an additional parameter of link information to optimize the SO-SE problem. This approach optimize 55 the problem link fading condition is considered. The channel inference at the current user is considered to 56 bound the derived interference margin in allocating the sensed spectrum. The mobility governance in this case 57 is observed to be high due to interference limit of current user for spectrum allocation. With the constraint for 58 59 SNR the throughput of the network is to be enhanced. In [10]a imperfect spectrum prediction based on the state 60 condition of the current user. The Ideal state condition is used for spectrum sensing in this case. This provides a 61 higher throughput performance in Het Net. In the spectrum utilization process, the spectrum sensing approach 62 is carried out at the Medium access control (MAC) layer [11]. In such an approach, the spectrum utilization is performed to achieve the objective of efficient resource utilization towards solving the issue of un fairness 63 problem in heterogeneous network. However, the approach of MAC layer oriented controlling is performed with 64 a single objective of fairness provisioning measured via network throughput. To improve the network throughput 65 during the spectrum sensing and allocation approach, a back off controlled spectrum utilization at MAC layer 66 was presented in [11]. The issue of spectrum sensing at coexisting heterogeneous network [12], was addressed. The 67 co-existing heterogeneous cognitive radio network was recently been presented in [11], where different clusters of 68 network are defined with CR-devices to communicate. The basic issue of current user spectrum sensing [13], [14] 69 by secondary user under such network was presented. To achieve the detection of free PU spectrum a collision 70 based approach, using the concept of Jamming was suggested. The effect of jamming on the network throughput 71 was addressed. However, the fairness metric was evaluated in terms of network throughput and no concern 72 73 was given on the mobility of the user. No approach was suggested to control the data lost in case of observed distortion due to propagating channel is introduced. The node positioning in the utilization of resources for 74 spectrum sensing or spectrum utilization is also not addressed. As, the mentioned limitations effect the service 75 efficiency in heterogeneous network, the issue of distortion monitoring and mobility governance w.r.t. spectrum 76 allocation is suggested. The global issue of node position in spectrum sensing is also addressed in this paper. 77 To present the stated objectives, this paper is outlined in VI section. Wherein, section II outlines the issue of 78 unfairness in heterogeneous network. The conventional modeling of decentralized MAC protocol for resource 79 allocation is presented in section III. Section IV presents the proposed approach of multi objective coordination 80 approach for co-existing cognitive heterogeneous network under mobility constraint. 81

⁸² 2 II. Communication System

In general cooperative communication is that which allow single-antenna mobiles to achieve the benefits of 83 multiple communication systems. The basic idea is that single-antenna mobiles in a multi-user scenario can 84 "share" their spectrum in a manner to create a virtual network. The mobile wireless channel suffers from 85 86 fading, meaning that the signal attenuation can vary significantly over the course of a given transmission. 87 Transmitting independent copies of the signal generates diversity and can effectively combat the deleterious effects of fading. In particular, spatial diversity is generated by transmitting signals from different locations, 88 thus allowing independently faded versions of the signal at the receiver. Cooperative communication generates 89 this diversity in a new and interesting way. Figure 1 depicts an ideas behind cooperative communication. This 90 figure shows two mobile agents communicating with the same destination. Each mobile has one antenna and 91 cannot individually generate spatial diversity. However, it may be possible for one mobile to receive the other, 92 in which case it can forward some version of "overheard" information along with its own data. Because the 93 fading paths from two mobiles are statistically independent, this generates spatial diversity. In the course of 94 the development of cooperative communication, several complicating issues must be addressed, including the 95 loss of rate to the cooperating mobile, overall interference in the network, cooperation assignment and handoff, 96 97 fairness of the system, and transmit and receive requirement on the mobiles. In the figures as is depicted the 98 icons resembling base stations or handsets, but this is only a convenient graphical representation. The idea 99 of cooperation is general, and perhaps even more suitable to Heterogeneous Network. error rates, or outage 100 probability) via cooperation. In a cooperative communication system, each wireless user is assumed to transmit data as well as act as a cooperative agent for another user (Figure 2). Cooperation leads to interesting trade-offs 101 in code rates and transmit power. In the case of power, one may argue on one hand that more power is needed 102 because each user, when in cooperative mode, is transmitting for both users. On the other hand, the baseline 103 transmit power for both users will be reduced because of diversity. In the face of this trade-off, one hopes for a 104 net reduction of transmit power, given everything else being constant. Similar questions arise for the rate of the 105

system. In cooperative communication each user transmits both his/her own bits as well as some information 106 for his/her partner; one might think this causes loss of rate in the system. However, the spectral efficiency of 107 each user improves because, due to cooperation diversity the channel code rates can be increased. One may 108 109 also describe cooperation as a zerosum game in terms of power and bandwidth of the mobiles in the network. The premise of cooperation is that certain (admittedly unconventional) allocation strategies for the power and 110 bandwidth of mobiles lead to significant gains in system performance. In the cooperative allocation of resources, 111 each mobile transmits for multiple mobiles. If we assume there are two users U1 and U2 along with a single 112 active user U, the unfairness problem arise if any one of the user don't have active user detection ability. For 113 given U1 and U2, in the absence of active User, the channel will be occupied by U1 whereas the U2 also tries to 114 occupy it. But due to the non-existence of active User detection ability, it can't occupy. This unfairness occurred 115 due to the non active User detection capability, the throughput of the U2 will be reduced. In [11], this unfairness 116 problem due to uncoordinated active User detection is solved through a MA layer approach which improves the 117 performance of active User detection. The main objective of [11] is to identify if the user who occupies a busy 118 channel belongs to a active User or an User from another by a low-overhead mechanism under mobility condition. 119 It is an assistant function to improve the active User -detection ability to achieve a better Dynamic Frequency 120 Selection (DFS) decision. 121

¹²² 3 III. Proposed Coordinative Function

To achieve the objective of higher service compatibility the network throughput factor is considered with the 123 offered channel interference in node mobility condition. The interference of the network impacts on the delivered 124 service of the network. The throughput of the network is monitored by the traffic interference, wherein the 125 processing overhead is observed at the process of signaling overhead. During the process of coding, which is 126 initialized at the beginning of a communication. A Back off coding is used because this method is most widely 127 used in computer network. It is a general agreement that the more the times of coding is, the higher the signaling 128 129 level (SL) of coding is. For the same process, the SL is determined by which way it adopts. For example, process through Media access control (MAC) address has lower SL than one through credentials with a shared resource 130 allocation of spectrum. To achieve the simulation of optimal resource utilization the SL optimization when a 131 132 user switches from one network to other. In this case, the service quality is measured by the number of packets in unit time. In a given network, r a is defined as arrival rate of packet request to measure times of packet in one 133 minute. As the data rate changes, the SL of packet varies accordingly. For simplicity, it is assumed that data 134 rate is proportional to the SL of coding, and a linear function is adapted to describe the relationship between 135 coding and it's SL. The value of SL is just its relative. When the SL of packet is not lager than lm, it can be 136 carried out as follow:?? = ?? ?? /(?? ?? ?? ???????),(1)?? ?? = (?? ?? ?? ??????) × ??.(2) 137

Here, l a is the SUL of packet with the allocated rate r a , eis proportional coefficient of data rate. r min is the minimum data rate used in simulations and r m is the allocated rate corresponding to l m. When the SUL is higher than l m , the additional SUL of service can be expressed as:?? = (?? ?????? ? ?? ??)/(?? ?????? ? 141 ?? ??)(3)??? ?? = (?? ?? ?? ?? ??) × ??(4)

142 Here, r max is the maximum of offered data rate.

Once the data exchange is implemented successfully, the performance of the application is not basically affected by its offered service. Back off delay is the time duration from sending an data request to receiving the reply. It is proportional to the data rate in network scenarios. Here, number of packages for transmission is used to measure the impact of service on QoS. The packet delay T a as well as the data delay per package T a can be denoted as follows:?? ?? = ?? \times ?? ?? + ??(5)?? ?? = ?? \times ?? ?? 1 ?? = ?? \times ?? ?? \times ??(6)

Here, the parameter c is proportional coefficient, d and is a constant that is determined by network status. ra×Tameans time of packet delivery in one unit. That is to say, how many packets for exchange were sent in one unit. At last, the end-to-end delay can be obtained by substituting (6) into (8), which is expressed by:?? = (?? ?????? + ?? ??)/(1 ? ?? ?? × ?? ??)(7)

and we can get the corresponding minimal end-to-end delay and maximum SUL by substituting (klen, ra) into 152 153 (7). To observe the impact of exchange process over transmission operation, an analysis to the data exchange process of transmission and reception is proposed. In the process of data coding, data encoding and spectrum 154 allocation are two main quality mechanisms used generally in various coding protocols. By this means, the 155 evaluating model is setup directly from the two mechanisms. Note that data encoding just refer to the encoding of 156 message in this research, while encoding and key exchange for data are all regarded as a part of communication. In 157 this way, data exchange consists of initial measuring and data encoding. Generally, encoding algorithm translates 158 the plain text into cryptograph before transmission according to key used in it, so that users without key cannot 159 know the content of session, except for the valid receiver. It can also be used for data integrity. If the fragmented 160 161 coding text is modified, the receiver end cannot decrypt.

Although encoding provides information secrecy and integrity check, it also takes additional time delay and consumes power due to encoding and decoding. On the other hand, spectrum allocation is used as an initial process to authorize a user through coding credentials for communication. By rejecting blocked users, suggested approach can thus control resource access. At the same time, the back off can not only result in additional delay, but also increases call dropping probability that causes degradation in QoS.

167 It is well known that switching services can influence QoS metrics, such as end-to-end delay, call dropping

probability and throughput of communication. In the paper, end-to-end delay is approximately taken as QoS because it is the most important among various QoS factors. We defined the end-to-end delay as the time that a packet is sent from one end to the other. If both encoding and quality encoding are applied, the time delay T can be written as:?? = ?? ?????? + ?? ?? + ?? ?? (8)

Here, t net is the transmission delay, t k is the encoding and decoding time, and t a is the coding delay per packet. It is noted that although encoding is just implemented in initial stage of session, to describe its effect on end-to-end delay, we averagely add back off delay to every packet. By this means, packet transmission takes more time due to back off. In this way, the effect of data exchange on QoS can be reflected by the end-to-end delay.

¹⁷⁷ 4 IV. experimental results

For the simulation of the suggested approach a randomly distributed network, with the operational network 178 characteristic as outlined in ??1]. The network parameters used for simulation is given by, The Network is 179 defined for a randomly distributed node, comprising of nodes with a power conservation unit. The devices are 180 incorporated with solar radiation energy generation units. Each node is defined for an average radiation reception 181 of about 0.2 KWh/m 2 [16]. The minimum power required for a node to drive is taken as 0.5mW [16]. The 182 operational time period and data exchange times are set as per IEEE 802.11 standards ??17]. These values are 183 set to 10 and 20µs for energy request and data exchange respectively. The charging energy level is taken as E max 184 is taken as 10E, where E is taken as 100mW over a time slot period for communication ??18]. The conservation 185 approach when induced to a cluster based network, with optimal scheduling the overall network performances are 186 improved. The observations made for the proposed approaches are as illustrated below; The randomly scattered 187 network topology, for simulation is shown in figure 3. Each of the node is randomly placed in a network area 188 of 30x30, with number of nodes as 30. Each node in the network is defined by its ID, geographical coordinates, 189 defined by x and y coordinates, and a randomly defined power level at each node. These nodes process the 190 routing protocol and select the optimal route for data communication using Multi hoping approach. At each of 191 the hope, the node dissipates power based on the IEEE 802.11 standards for receiving, transmitting, and ideal 192 condition. For the developed communication, the obtained parametric observations are as illustrated in following 193 figures. The average throughput for the developed system is shown above. The average throughput for the 194 proposed approach of energy conservation at master nodes result in higher throughput as, they are operable for 195 more period. It is observed that the throughput for the linear network with energy conservation is also improved. 196 However as with the increase in number of communication iterations, it is observed that throughput decrease due 197 to the power dissipation per node and time taken to harvest energy. However, the throughput is comparatively 198 observed to be improved in case of proposed MDF driven power saving scheme with energy conservation. 199

²⁰⁰ 5 Figure 5: Network lifetime over communication period

The observed network life time for the simulated network is observed to be improved with the increase in 201 communication iteration, using the approach of proposed MDF. The lifetime is computed as the number of 202 nodes retained in the network in active path for data exchange. It is observed that, the network life time is 203 increased by the incorporation of energy conservation at the node level. Power at each node is measured and it 204 is observed that, with the increase in the communication time period, the power level at each node is minimized, 205 due to energy dissipation during transmission and reception operation. However, due to the incorporation of 206 conservation approach to the developed network, it is observed that, the power level for active nodes is increased. 207 This improvement is higher in the proposed MDF approach. As each node in such network remain in sleep 208 mode, and master nodes are periodically been improved with energy conservation. The Network overhead is 209 observed to be minimized in case of the topology preserved with energy conservation. The concept of energy 210 211 conservation makes more number of nodes available in the network, which results in higher throughput. Due to more traffic clearance the overhead is observed to be less in proposed approach. The effect of node density on the 212 network performance is also evaluated for the simulation model. With the variation of node density fro Number 213 of nodes varying from 10 to 50 in the network is evaluated. For the evaluation of variation in node density and 214 215 its impact over network parameter, node density is varied from 10 to 50. The evaluative parameters observed for the simulated network is presented below. For the simulation a network with node density of 50 nodes is 216 shown in figure 8. The scattering of nodes in leads to more route probability and more reliability. However, as 217 number of nodes is more, probability of node participation in data forwarding also increases, resulting in faster 218 power drain. The average throughput w.r.t. Variation in node density is observed. It is seen that, throughput for 219 the developed approach is improvised with increase in node density. The average node density available for the 220 routing in such case increases, and due to faster processing and rescheduled conservation the nodes are processed 221 for higher data transfer. As the data transfer is higher in such network the observing quality and intern the 222 network reliability for Quality oriented service increases. 223

²²⁴ 6 Figure 10: Network Lifetime over node density

The network life time is observed to be improved in such case. As the number of nodes are high, the network sustaining increases. In addition due to energy conservation, power is refreshed in a particular interval. These

features increase the power per node in the network, hence resulting in longer life time. In comparison to the 227 observation for network life time for a fixed node density as shown in figure 10, this network life time get increased; 228 due to large number of node remain at higher energy level. The power consumption for such network will be 229 lowered and hence the power conserved per node gets improved. In the case of MDF driven power scheduling 230 approach with energy conservation, the nodes are scheduled for sleep and wakeup period, as well the master node 231 keep the energy refreshment, this result in higher power in the network, as observed in figure 11. The Network 232 overhead in such case is observed to be optimized in case of the topology preserved with energy conservation. 233 The overhead in such case is reduced, due to faster release of data, as due to availability of more nodes for data 234 exchange as compared to its conventional counterparts. 235

²³⁶ 7 V. Conclusion

This paper outlines a co-ordinate distribution approach to achieve the objective of fairness in heterogeneous network under mobility condition. The network is outlined with different devices of spectrum sensing with active users and junction users. To optimize the spectrum utilization in Het Net, a service level optimization coding is defined. A coding approach is used to sense free spectrum from other standing free users in other network cluster. The objective of spectrum sensing Is severed via multiple attributes monitoring, and the fairness is measured in

terms of offered quality of service with higher throughput. The offered quality of service is observed in terms of service quality level, measured as a parametric value for offered service in heterogeneous network. ^{1 2 3}



Figure 1: Figure 1:



Figure 2: Figure 2 :

1

Network parameter Node density (N d) Network Area Communication range Mobility Topology MAC Power model Characteristic 30,45 N d x N d 80 Units Non-static Random 802.11 IEEE 802.11-NIC card

Figure 3: Table 1 :

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^2 \}odot$ 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) Cross Spectrum Switching in Mobility Scenario for Signaling Overhead Minimization in Het net

 $^{^3{\}rm F}$ © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US) Cross Spectrum Switching in Mobility Scenario for Signaling Overhead Minimization in Het net

- 243 [Communications Letters], Communications Letters 19 (10) p. .
- [Cheng et al.] A Decentralized MAC Protocol for Unfairness, Yu-Chun Cheng , Eric Hsiaokuangwu , Gen-Huey
 Chen .
- [Timmers et al. (2010)] 'Adistributed multichannel MAC protocol for multihop cognitive radio networks'. M
 Timmers , S Pollin , A Dejonghe , L Van Der Perre , F Catthoor . *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol* Jan. 2010. 59
 (1) p. .
- [Mitola ()] 'Cognitive radio for flexible mobile multimedia communications'. J Mitola . Proc. IEEE MoMuC,
 (IEEE MoMuC) 1999. p. .
- [Mitola ()] 'Cognitive radio: Anintegratedagent architecture for software defined radio'. J Mitola . Ph.D.
 dissertation, Roy. Inst. Technol. (KTH) 2000.
- [Haykin (2005)] 'Cognitive radio: Brain-empowered wireless communications'. S Haykin . IEEE J. Sel. Areas
 Commun Feb. 2005. 23 (2) p. .
- 255 [Luo et al. (2010)] 'Crosslayer design for TCP performance improvement in cognitive radio networks'. C Luo, F

256 R Yu, H Ji, V C M Leung. IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol Jun. 2010. 59 (5) p. .

- [Yiping et al. (2006)] 'Dynamic spectrum access in open spectrum wireless networks'. X Yiping , R Chandramouli
 , S Mangold , N. Sai Shankar . *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun* Mar. 2006. 24 (3) p. .
- [Yang and Zhao] 'Enhanced Throughput of Cognitive Radio Networks by Imperfect Spectrum Prediction'. Jian
 Yang , Hangsheng Zhao . *IEEE Global Journal of Researches in Engineering*
- [Wanga and Wang ()] 'Joint optimization of spectrum and energy efficiency in cognitive radio networks'. Shaowei
 Wanga , Chonggang Wang . Digital Communications and Networks 2015. Elsevier. p. .
- 263 [Hu et al. (2014)] 'MAC protocol identification using support vector machines for cognitive radio networks'. S
- Hu, Y.-D Yao, Z Yang. IEEE Wireless Commun Feb. 2014. 21 (1) p. .
- [Chen et al. ()] Next Generation Wireless Systems and Networks, H Chen , M Guizani , J Wiley . 2006.
 Chichester, U.K.: Wiley.
- [Akyildiz et al. (2006)] 'NeXt generation/dynamic spectrum access/cognitive radio wireless networks: A survey'.
 I F Akyildiz , W.-Y Lee , M C Vuran , S Mohanty . Comput. Netw Sep. 2006. 50 (13) p. .
- [Problems in Coexistent Heterogeneous Cognitive Radio Networks Scenarios With Collision-Based Primary Users IEEE Systems .
 'Problems in Coexistent Heterogeneous Cognitive Radio Networks Scenarios With Collision-Based Primary
 Users'. IEEE Systems Journal March 2016. 10 (1) .
- [References Références Referencias 1 Rep. ET Docket (2002)] 'References Références Referencias 1'. Rep. ET
 Docket, (Washington, DC, USA) Nov. 2002. (Spectrum policy task force)
- 274 [Fourathaider et al. ()] 'Spectral and Energy Efficiency Analysis for Cognitive Radio Networks'. Cheng-Xiang
- Fourathaider, Harald Wang, Haas, Xiaohu Erolhepsaydir, Dong Ge, Feng Yuan. IEEE Transactions on
 Wireless Communications 2015. 14 (6) p.
- 277 [Mitola (1995)] 'The software radio architecture'. J Mitola . *IEEE Communications Magazine* May 1995. 33 (5) 278 p. .