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I. Introduction


However, studies on the calculation of shell structure under the effect of the shock waves are few, especially of the shells with a hole.

In order to develop the study approach to the shallow cylindrical shells, in this paper, the authors set the algorithm and computer program to analyze the dynamics of rib-stiffened shallow cylindrical shells with abatement holes under the effect of the shock wave loads. Couplings on the shell borders are elastic supports with the tension-compression stiffness k.

II. Computational Model and Assumptions

Considering the eccentrically rib-stiffened shallow cylindrical shell on elastic supports, being described by springs with stiffness k. The shell is subjected to a layer shock wave. Because the shell is shallow, the shock-wave pressure affecting can be considered to be uniformly distributed over the surface of the shell (Figure 1).

The assumptions: Materials of the shell are homogeneous and isotropic; the rib and shell are linearly elastically deformed and have absolutely adhesive connection; loading process works, no cracks appearing around the hole.

Figure 1: Problem model
III. Finite element Model and basic Equations

a) Types of elements to be used

The shell is fragmented by 4-node flat shell elements, which means that the shell is a combination of 4-node flat elements, is a combination of membrane elements and plate elements subject to bending and twisting combination (Figure 2).

\[ u(x,y,z,t) = u_0(x,y,z) + z \theta_y(x,y,z), \]
\[ v(x,y,z,t) = v_0(x,y,z) - z \theta_x(x,y,z), \]
\[ w(x,y,z,t) = w_0(x,y,z), \]
\[ \theta_x = \theta_x(x,y,t), \theta_y = \theta_y(x,y,t), \theta_z = \theta_z(x,y,t) \]

where \( u, v, \) and \( w \) are the displacements along \( x, y \) and \( z \) axes, respectively; superscript \( \circ \) denotes mid plane displacement; and \( \theta_x, \theta_y, \) and \( \theta_z \) are rotations about the \( x \)-axis, \( y \)-axis and \( z \)-axis, respectively.

Strain vector components are:
\[ \epsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \epsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \gamma_{xy} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \]

(2)

Relationship stress - strain can be written as
\[ \{\sigma\} = [D]\{\epsilon\}, \]

(3)

where \([D]\) is a matrix of relationship stress - strain.

Using Hamilton’s principle for the elements [12]:
\[ \delta H_e = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} (T_e - U_e + W_e) dt = 0, \]

(4)

where \( H_e = T_e - U_e + W_e = H_e\{q^e\}, \{q^e\}, t \) is the Hamilton function, \( T_e \) is the kinetic energy of the element, \( U_e \) is the total potential energy of the element, \( W_e \) is total external energy.
work due to mechanical loading of element e, \( \{q^e\} \) are vector of nodal displacements, and vector of nodal velocities, respectively.

Considering the case not mention the damping, from (4) leads to the following:

\[
-\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial \{q^e\}} \right) + \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial \{\dot{q}^e\}} = \{0\} ,
\]

(5)

The kinetic energy \( T_e \) of the elements is determined by the expression [9]:

\[
T_e = \frac{1}{2} \{q^e\}^T \left[ M^e \right] \{q^e\} ,
\]

(6)

where \([N]\) is function matrix of flat shell elements [9], [10], \(V_e\) is element volume, \([M^e]\) is element mass matrix, \(\rho\) is specific volume of materials.

The total potential energy \( U_e \) is determined by:

\[
U_e = \frac{1}{2} \{q^e\}^T \left[ K^e \right] \{q^e\} ,
\]

(7)

In which \([K^e]\) is stiffness matrix of flat shell elements.

Total external work due to mechanical loading is determined by:

\[
W_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_e} \{q^e\}^T \left\{ \int \rho [N]^T \left[ N \right] dV_e \right\} \{q^e\} dV_e + \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_e} \{q^e\}^T \left\{ \int \left\{ f^e_s \right\} dV_e + A_q e^T \left\{ f^e_c \right\} \right\} dS_e ,
\]

(8)

with \(A_e\) is element area, \(\{f^e_s\}\) -volume force vector, \(\{f^e_s\}\) -surface force vector, \(\{f^e_c\}\) - concentrated force vector of the elements [9], [10].

Substitute (6), (7), (8) into (4), (5), we have the differential equation describing the vibration of the shell element in matrix form as follow:

\[
\left[ M^e \right] \{q^e\} + \left[ K^e \right] \{q^e\} = \{F^e\} ,
\]

(9)

where \(\{q^e\}\) is the vector of nodal displacements, \(\{F^e\}\) is the mechanical force vector.

In the (X, Y, Z) coordinate system:

\[
\left[ M^e \right] = \left[ T \right]^T \left[ M^e \right] T, \quad \left[ K^e \right] = \left[ T \right]^T \left[ K^e \right] T.
\]

(10)
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\[
[K]_b = \begin{bmatrix}
k^{11}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{12}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & k^{11}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{12}_x & 0 & k^{13}_x & 0 & 0 & k^{14}_{xy} \\
0 & 0 & k^{11}_{xz} & 0 & k^{12}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{13}_x & 0 & k^{14}_{xz} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^{11}_r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{12}_r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k^{21}_{x} & 0 & k^{22}_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{23}_{x} & 0 & k^{24}_{x} \\
k^{31}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{32}_{x} & 0 & k^{33}_{x} & 0 & 0 & k^{34}_{xy} \\
0 & 0 & k^{31}_{xz} & 0 & k^{32}_{xz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{33}_{xz} & 0 & k^{34}_{xz} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & k^{21}_r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{22}_r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & k^{41}_{x} & 0 & k^{42}_{x} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{43}_{x} & 0 & k^{44}_{x} \\
k^{41}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{42}_{xy} & 0 & k^{43}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & k^{44}_{xy}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (15)

Similarly, element mass matrix is also established from 4 types of volume matrix:

\[
[M]_b = \begin{bmatrix}
m^{11}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{12}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & m^{11}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{12}_x & 0 & m^{13}_x & 0 & 0 & m^{14}_{xy} \\
0 & 0 & m^{11}_{xz} & 0 & m^{12}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{13}_x & 0 & m^{14}_{xz} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & m^{11}_r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{12}_r & 0 & 0 \\
m^{21}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{22}_x & 0 & m^{23}_x & 0 & 0 & m^{24}_{xy} \\
m^{21}_{xz} & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{22}_x & 0 & m^{23}_x & 0 & 0 & m^{24}_{xz} \\
0 & m^{31}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{32}_x & 0 & m^{33}_x & 0 & 0 & m^{34}_{xy} \\
0 & 0 & m^{31}_{xz} & 0 & m^{32}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{33}_x & 0 & m^{34}_{xz} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & m^{31}_r & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{32}_r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & m^{41}_x & 0 & m^{42}_x & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{43}_x & 0 & m^{44}_x \\
m^{41}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & 0 & m^{42}_{xy} & 0 & m^{43}_{xy} & 0 & 0 & m^{44}_{xy}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (16)

In the (X, Y, Z) coordinate system:

\[
[K]_e^T = [T]^T_e [K]_e [T], \quad [M]_e^T = [T]^T_e [M]_e [T].
\]

c) Bar Element Describes the Elastic support

Node displacement vector and stiffness matrix of bar element is [9]:

\[
\{q\} = \{u_1, u_2\}^T, \quad [K]_{2\times2} = k_{sp} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\] (18)

where, \(k_{sp}\) is the tension- compression stiffness of elastic support.

d) Governing equations and solving method

The connection of bar elements and space beam elements into the flat shell elements forming the rib-stiffened shell – elastic support system is implemented by direct stiffness method and Skyline diagram under the general algorithm of Finite element method [9],[10]. After connecting and getting rid of margins, the governing equations of the rib-stiffened shell – elastic support system is:

\[
[M]\{\ddot{q}\} + [K]\{q\} = \{F\},
\] (19)
In the case of taking the damping into account the equation (19) becomes:

\[
[M]{\ddot{q}} + [C]{\dot{q}} + [K]{q} = \{F\},
\]

(20)

where:

\[
[M] = \sum_c [M]^c + \sum_c [M]^p - \text{overall mass matrix (after getting rid of margins)};
\]

\[
[K] = \sum_c [K]^c + \sum_c [K]^p + \sum_c [K]^p - \text{overall stiffness matrix (after getting rid of margins)};
\]

\[
[C] = \alpha [M] + \beta [K] - \text{overall damping matrix}, \alpha, \beta \text{ are Rayleigh damping coefficients [10].}
\]

Equation (20) is a linear dynamic equation and may be solved by using the Newmark’s direct integration method. Based on the established algorithm the authors have written the program called Stiffened_SC_Shell_Withhole in Matlab environment.

IV. Numerical Examination

a) The effects of abatement hole

Considering the shallow cylindrical shell whose plan view is a rectangular, generating line’s length \( l = 3.0 \text{ m} \), opening angle of the shell \( \theta = 40^\circ \), the radius of curvature is \( r = 2.0 \text{ m} \), shell thickness \( \text{th} = 0.02 \text{ m} \). The shell material has elastic modulus \( E = 2.2 \times 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2 \), Poisson coeﬃcient \( \nu = 0.31 \), speciﬁc volume \( \rho = 7800 \text{ kg/m}^3 \). The eccentrically ribbed shell with the height of ribs \( h_g = 0.03 \text{ m} \), thickness of ribs \( \text{th}_g = 0.006 \text{ m} \), the shell with 4 ribs is parallel to the generating line, 6 ribs is perpendicular to the generating line, the ribs are equispaced. The ribs’ material has \( E = 2.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ N/m}^2 \), \( \nu = 0.3 \), \( \rho = 7000 \text{ kg/m}^3 \). Considering the problem with two cases:

- Case 1 (basic problem): The shell has a square (a x a) abatement hole in the middle position, with \( a = 0.3 \text{ m} \);
- Case 2: The shell has no hole (\( a = 0 \)).

Acting load: the shock waves act uniformly to the direction of normal on the shell surface according to the law:

\[
p(t) = p_{\text{max}} F(t), \quad F(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{t}{\tau} & 0 \leq t \leq \tau, \quad p_{\text{max}} = 3.10^4 \text{ N/m}^2, \quad \tau = 0.05 \text{ s}. \\
0 & t > \tau \end{cases}
\]

Conditions of coupling: Four sides of the shells with couplings are limited to move horizontally and leaned on elastic supports with the tension- compression stiffness \( k = 3.5\times10^4 \text{ kN/m} \).

Case 1: The shell has a square abatement hole with the side \( a = 0.3 \text{ m} \) (Basic problem):

Using the established Stiffened SC Shell _with hole program, the authors solved the problem with the calculating time \( t_{\text{cal}} = 0.08 \text{ s} \), integral time step \( \Delta t = 0.0005 \text{ s} \). The results of deflection response and stress at the midpoint of the hole edge (point A) are shown in Figures 5, 6.

Case 2: The shell has no hole:

Results in Figures 7 and 8 respectively are deflection response and stress at the midpoint of the shell.
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Figure 8: Stress response $\sigma_x$, $\sigma_y$ at the midpoint of the shell

Table 1: Comparison of the values of displacements and stresses in two cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Deflection $W_{z_{\text{max}}}$ [cm]</th>
<th>Stress $\sigma_{x_{\text{max}}}$ [N/m²]</th>
<th>Stress $\sigma_{y_{\text{max}}}$ [N/m²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>0.01471</td>
<td>21.964.10⁶</td>
<td>1.111.10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>0.01358</td>
<td>12.009.10⁶</td>
<td>3.423.10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment: When there is a hole, both displacements and stresses in the structure are increased. Especially, the maximum stress in the structure increases rapidly. This explains the destruction vulnerability of the structure when it has defects.

Table 2: Extreme values of calculated quantities at point A when the size a changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a [m]</th>
<th>$W_{z_{\text{max}}}$ [cm]</th>
<th>Stress $\sigma_{x_{\text{max}}}$ [N/m²]</th>
<th>Stress $\sigma_{y_{\text{max}}}$ [N/m²]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.01577</td>
<td>20.389.10⁶</td>
<td>1.212.10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.01521</td>
<td>20.716.10⁶</td>
<td>1.808.10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.01471</td>
<td>21.964.10⁶</td>
<td>1.111.10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comment: Generally, when increasing the size of the abatement hole, point A shifts closer to the stiffening rib, so the stiffness of the area surrounding point A increases, making the displacement of point A reduces, stress increases.

Figure 9: Deflection response $w$ at point A based on a

b) The effects of the size of the hole

Examining the problem with the size of the hole changes: $a_1 = 0.15$ m, $a_2 = 0.25$ m, $a_3 = 0.30$ m. Displacement response and real-time stresses at point A corresponding to cases shown in Figures 9, 10.

Figure 10: Stress response $\sigma_x$ at point A based on a

c) The effects of radius $r$

Examining the problem with $r$ changes: $r_1 = 2.0$ m, $r_2 = 2.3$ m, $r_3 = 2.5$ m, $r_4 = 2.8$ m, $r_5 = 3.0$ m. Extreme values of the deflection and stresses at the calculated point are expressed in table 3 and Figures 11 ÷ 14.
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Comment: When preserving the opening angle of the shell and other parameters, increasing the radius r will increase the displacement and stress at the calculated point. At this time, the vibration of the structure increases rapidly (Figure 13).

| Table 3: Extreme values of calculated quantities at point A when the size r changes |
|---|---|---|---|
| r [m] | Wz max [cm] | Stress σx max [N/m²] | Stress σy max [N/m²] |
| 2.0 | 0.01471 | 21.964.10⁶ | 1.111.10⁵ |
| 2.3 | 0.01799 | 22.556.10⁶ | 1.499.10⁵ |
| 2.5 | 0.02361 | 24.284.10⁶ | 1.841.10⁵ |
| 2.8 | 0.02837 | 25.654.10⁶ | 3.140.10⁵ |
| 3.0 | 0.03298 | 26.448.10⁶ | 4.340.10⁵ |

d) The effects of the height of rib
Assessing the effects of the height of the stiffening rib, the authors examined the problem with h_g changes: h_g1 = 0.03 m, h_g2 = 0.04 m, h_g3 = 0.05 m, h_g4 = 0.06 m, h_g5 = 0.07 m. Displacement response and real-time stresses at point A corresponding to cases shown in Figures 15, 16, 17, 18.
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The paper had:

- Set up the governing equations of system, finite element algorithm and computer program to analyze the dynamics of the rib-stiffened shallow shells with a holes on elastic supports under the effect of the blast loading.
- Examined some structural factors such as: hole size, curve radius, height of rib, whereby making the assessment of the influence level of these factors to the dynamic response of the mentioned shell.

The results of the paper can be used as a reference for the calculation and design of similar structures, with any hole.
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V. Conclusions

The paper had:

- Considered the governing equations of system, finite element algorithm and computer program to analyze the dynamics of the rib-stiffened shallow shells with a holes on elastic supports under the effect of the blast loading.
- Examined some structural factors such as: hole size, curve radius, height of rib, thereby making the assessment of the influence level of these factors to the dynamic response of the mentioned shell.

The results of the paper can be used as a reference for the calculation and design of similar structures, with any hole.
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