



GLOBAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCHES IN ENGINEERING: A  
MECHANICAL AND MECHANICS ENGINEERING  
Volume 16 Issue 3 Version 1.0 Year 2016  
Type: Double Blind Peer Reviewed International Research Journal  
Publisher: Global Journals Inc. (USA)  
Online ISSN: 2249-4596 Print ISSN: 0975-5861

# Analysis of Tensile Strength of Bamboo Reinforced Polyester Composite

By Omholua, Anthony Omokhudu, Ujam. A. J & Uviesherhe Okiemute Edijana

*Nnamdi Azikiwe University*

**Abstract-** Nigerian grown species of bamboo called bambusa vulgaris which was examined to analyze its tensile strength. The fibre characteristics and tensile strength were investigated at three different fibre lengths and fibre loadings i.e 10, 30, 50mm and 10, 30, 50 wt.% respectively. Fibre length was varied in each fibre loading from 10mm to 50mm, the tensile strength of the three different series of composites varies from 28.32Mpa to 38.10Mpa. The predicted optimum tensile strength is 44.51Mpa. Generated results have been validated by the confirmation of experiments at three replications, when the control factors were set at 30mm and 30% wt or (level 2, level 2), using Taguchi's design of experiments approach. It was observed from the analysis of variance of the samples that there is a variation in the increase of tensile strength of the bamboo that are dependent on the fibre length and fibre loading used. The percentage contributions of parameter according to the pooled ANOVA for signal-to- Noise ratio showed that the fibre length (36.61%) in controlling variation and mean strength is significantly smaller than the fibre loading (44.15%).

**Keywords:** bamboo, bambusa vulgaris, tensile strength, taguchi's experimental design, fibre length, fibre loading.

**GJRE-A Classification :** FOR Code: 290501



*Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of:*



© 2016. Omholua, Anthony Omokhudu, Ujam. A. J & Uviesherhe Okiemute Edijana. This is a research/review paper, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 3.0 Unported License <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>), permitting all non commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Analysis of Tensile Strength of Bamboo Reinforced Polyester Composite

Omholua, Anthony Omokhudu <sup>α</sup>, Ujam. A. J <sup>σ</sup> & Uviesherhe Okiemute Edijana <sup>ρ</sup>

**Abstract-** Nigerian grown species of bamboo called bambusa vulgaris which was examined to analyze its tensile strength. The fibre characteristics and tensile strength were investigated at three different fibre lengths and fibre loadings i.e 10, 30, 50mm and 10, 30, 50 wt.% respectively. Fibre length was varied in each fibre loading from 10mm to 50mm, the tensile strength of the three different series of composites varies from 28.32Mpa to 38.10Mpa. The predicted optimum tensile strength is 44.51Mpa. Generated results have been validated by the confirmation of experiments at three replications, when the control factors were set at 30mm and 30% wt or (level 2, level 2), using Taguchi's design of experiments approach. It was observed from the analysis of variance of the samples that there is a variation in the increase of tensile strength of the bamboo that are dependent on the fibre length and fibre loading used. The percentage contributions of parameter according to the pooled ANOVA for signal-to- Noise ratio showed that the fibre length (36.61%) in controlling variation and mean strength is significantly smaller than the fibre loading (44.15%).

**Keywords:** bamboo, bambusa vulgaris, tensile strength, taguchi's experimental design, fibre length, fibre loading.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Composites can be defined as materials that consist of two or more chemically and physically different phases separated by a distinct interface. The different systems are combined judiciously to achieve a system with more useful structural or functional properties no attainable by any of the constituent alone [Shaw et al. 2010]. The strength of bamboo is greater than many timber products, but lesser than the tensile strength of steel. Bamboo is readily available and is emerging as low cost, light weight, and environmentally friendly. Tensile test is the most basic type of mechanical test. It is not difficult to perform and it is not expensive compared to other mechanical tests.

## II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

General purpose grade unsaturated orthophthalic polyester resin (RGP 67G), was obtained from Center for Composite Research and Development, JuNeng Nigeria Limited, Nsukka. The bamboo fibres were extracted mechanically from the young stem of bamboo plant, shredded and air-dried for

young stem of bamboo plant, shredded and air-dried for about a week until constant mass. The dried fibres were chopped into 10mm, 30mm and 50mm fibre lengths and 10%, 30% and 50% weight fraction used as reinforcement materials in the composite preparation, by hand lay-up method using a three-piece stainless steel mould having dimensions 200x 150x30mm. Methyl ethyl ketone peroxide (MEKP) and cobalt naphthenate of commercial grade were used as the catalyst and accelerator respectively for resin curing.

### a) Volume Fraction and Density of Fibre

The density and volume fraction of fibres used for the sample preparation was calculated by a method which enables the rule of mixtures analysis of measured composite properties. The picnometric procedure was adopted for measuring the density  $\rho_c$  of the composite of mass  $M_c$  at a given mass fraction of resin  $M_r$ . Volume fraction  $V_r$  of resin was calculated using the following relationships:

$$V_r = \frac{M_r \rho_c}{M_c \rho_r} \quad [1]$$

Where  $\rho_r$  is the resin density then, the volume fraction  $V_f$  and density  $\rho_f$  of fibre where calculated using the following equations: [1]

$$V_f + V_r = 1 \quad [2]$$

$$\rho_r = \frac{M_f \rho_c}{M_c V_f} \quad [3]$$

The density of fibre was also measured using archimedes principle. Both results produced similar results and an average value of 960kg/m<sup>3</sup> was obtained as fibre density.

**Author <sup>α</sup> p:** Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria. e-mail: omholuaanthony@yahoo.com

**Author <sup>σ</sup>:** Department of Mechanical Engineering, Enugu State University, Enugu, Nigeria.

Table 1 : Mass of Fibre and Volume of Resin used for Samples Preparation

Fibre Volume Fraction (%)	10.70	31.70	52.00
Fibre Weight Fraction (%)	10.00	30	50.00
Mass of Fibre (g)	86.40	259.00	432.00
Volume of the Resin (cm <sup>3</sup> )	804.00	615.00	432.00
Mass of Resin (g)	843.00	656.00	468.00

Source: Field experiment

b) *The Taguchi Approach to Robust Parameter Design*

In the early 1980s, Genichi Taguchi, a Japanese engineer, introduced his approach to using experimental design for: designing products or processes so that they are robust to environmental conditions; designing/developing products so that they are robust to component variation; and minimizing variation around a target value.

In parameter design, there are two types of factors that affect a product's functional characteristic: control factors and noise factors, at this stage of design, the specific values for the system parameters are determined. Usually, the objective is to specify these nominal parameter values such that the variability transmitted from uncontrollable or noise variables is minimized.

## III. SELECTION OF AN ORTHOGONAL ARRAY

In selecting an appropriate OA, the pre-requisites are: (a) selection of process parameters and interactions to be evaluated and (b) selection of number of levels for the selected parameters [2]. The process parameters were already decided and are given in Table 1. It was also decided to study each selected parameter at three levels. With two parameters each at three levels, the total degree of freedom (DOF) required is 4 [= 2(3-1)]. As per Taguchi's DOE approach, the total DOF of the selected OA must be greater than or equal to the total DOF required for the experiment. So, an L<sub>9</sub> (2<sup>3</sup>) orthogonal array was selected for the present work.

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The tensile tests were performed according to ASTM D638 standard using Universal Testing Machine at a crosshead speed of 5 mm/min. Specimens for each sample were tested and the tensile strength and tensile modulus were expressed as:

$$\text{Tensile strength (MPa)} = P/bh \quad [4]$$

Where; P = Pulling force (N), b = Specimen width (m), and h = Specimen thickness (m)

Robust design is an "engineering methodology for improving productivity during research and development so that high-quality products can be produced quickly and at low cost" [3]. The idea behind

robust design is to improve the quality of a product by minimizing the effects of variation without eliminating the causes (since they are too difficult or too expensive to control). Nine trial conditions with three repetitions are used in this work. The selected quality characteristic, tensile strength, is 'higher the better' (HB) type, the S/N (signal to noise) ratio, for 'higher the better' type of response was used as given in the following equation:

$$(S/N)_{HB} = -10 \log \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{y_1^2} + \frac{1}{y_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{y_n^2} \right) \right] \quad [5]$$

Where  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  are the responses of quality characteristic for a trial condition repeated  $n$  times.

The S/N ratios were computed using equation 5 for each of the 9 trials and mean response for each factor at the three levels is presented in Table 3. [4] Along with the raw data. The average value of the tensile strength for each parameter at levels 1, 2 and 3 are plotted in figure 2. The average values of S/N ratios of various parameters at levels 1, 2 and 3 are plotted in figure 1.

The summary of the responses and ranking for tensile strength of bamboo fibre reinforced Polyester composites on the bases of the larger the better quality, for Signal to Noise Ratio, and mean of means lead to the conclusion that factor combination of A<sub>1</sub> B<sub>1</sub> gives the minimum strength while A<sub>2</sub> B<sub>2</sub> gives the maximum strength as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. It is found that as far as the tensile strength is concerned; B and A have significant effect on the composite. The range (Delta) is the difference between higher and lower response. The larger the (Delta) value for a parameter, the larger the effect the variable has on the tensile strength of the composites. This is because the same change in signal causes a larger effect on the output variable being measured. It is clear from table 4 and 5 that the fibre weight fraction is ranked 1<sup>st</sup> and fibre length 2<sup>nd</sup>. In order to confirm Taguchi's design of experiment and to study the significance of the parameters in affecting the quality characteristic of the mechanical properties analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. The pooled ANOVA of the raw data (tensile strength) is given in Table 6. The S/N ANOVA (pooled version) is given in Table 7. It is clear from ANOVAs that the parameters A and B (fibre length and fibre weight) significantly affect both the mean value as

well as the variation of the tensile strength because these are significant in both the ANOVAs. The percent contributions of parameters as quantified under column P of Table 6 and Table 7 reveal that the fibre weight (B)

in controlling the mean and variation is significantly larger than the fibre length (A). [5] Software MINITAB 16 was used to analyze the Taguchi design of experiment, and the linear regression equations.

**Table 2 :** Experimental Outlay and Variable Sets for Mechanical Properties

S/N	Processing Factors	Factor's designation	Level		
			1	2	3
1	Fibre Length (mm)	A	10	30	50
2	Fibre Weight Fraction (%)	B	10	30	50

Source: Field experiment

**Table 3 :** Experimental Design Matrix for Tensile Strength of Bamboo Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites.

Expert. Run	Fibre Length (mm)	Fibre Weight Fraction (%)	Measure Response (Mpa)			Mean Tensile Response	SN Ratio (dB)
			Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3		
1	10	10	28	27.83	29.13	28.32	29.03655249
2	10	30	41.40	39.50	39.70	40.20	30.07877224
3	10	50	37.80	37.51	35.69	37.00	31.34769209
4	30	10	38.80	38.40	38.30	38.50	31.70880621
5	30	30	45.20	44.92	44.88	45.00	33.06412024
6	30	50	41.60	40.32	41.08	41.00	32.25352731
7	50	10	35.80	35.00	34.20	35.00	30.87682137
8	50	30	38.33	37.00	36.63	37.32	31.43392063
9	50	50	39.20	38.20	36.90	38.10	31.61049495
Total			346.13	338.68	336.51		
$\bar{T}_{TS}$ = Overall mean of TS = $\frac{346.13+338.68+336.51}{3 \times 9} = 37.83 \text{ Mpa}$ TS= Tensile strength.							

**Table 4 :** Summary of the Responses and Ranking for Tensile Strength of Bamboo Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites on the Bases of the Larger the Better Quality. (Signal to Noise Ratio)

Responses	Signal to Noise Ratio	
	A: Fibre Length (mm)	B: Weight Fraction (%)
1	30.83	30.54
2	32.34	32.20
3	31.31	31.75
Delta	1.51	1.65
Rank	2	1

Source: Field experiment




Fig. 1 : Graph of Signal-to-Noise Ratio against Factor Levels (1,2and3) for Tensile Strength

Table 5 : Summary of the Responses and Ranking for Tensile Strength of Bamboo Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites on the Bases of the Larger the Better Quality. (Mean of Means)

Responses	Means	
	A:FibreLength (mm)	B: Weight Fraction (%)
Levels		
1	35.17	33.94
2	41.50	40.84
3	36.81	38.70
Delta	6.33	6.90
Rank	2	1

Source: Field experiment




Fig. 2 : Graph of Mean of Means against Factor Levels (1, 2 and 3) for Tensile Strength

Regression Analysis: Tensile Strength versus A: Fibre Length, B: Fibre weight

The regression equation is

$$\text{Tensile strength} = 33.0 + 0.0408 A + 0.119 B$$

Predictor	Coef	SE Coef	T	P
Constant	33.032	4.303	7.68	0.000
A	0.04083	0.09465	0.43	0.681
B	0.11900	0.09465	1.26	0.255

$$S = 4.63692 \text{ R-Sq} = 22.7\% \text{ R-Sq(adj)} = 0.0\%$$

a) *Estimating Optimal Tensile Strength*

The optimal tensile strength ( $\mu$ TS) is predicted at the selected optimal setting of process parameters. The significant parameters with optimal levels are already selected as: A2B2. The interaction effects are not being considered in estimating mean and confidence interval around the estimated mean due to poor additivity between parameters and interactions. The estimated mean of the response characteristic can be computed as [4]:

$$\mu\text{TS} = \bar{A}_2 + \bar{B}_2 - \bar{T}_{\text{TS}} \quad [6]$$

Where:

$\bar{T}_{\text{TS}}$  = overall mean of Tensile strength = 37.83 Mpa  
From (Table 3)

$\bar{A}_2$  = mean value of Tensile strength with parameter at optimum level :  $\bar{A}_2 = 41.50$  From (Table 5)

$\bar{B}_2$  = mean value of Tensile strength with parameter at optimum level :  $\bar{B}_2 = 40.84$  From (Table 5)

Hence;

$$\mu\text{TS} = 41.50 + 40.84 - 37.83 = 44.51 \text{ Mpa}$$

A confidence interval for the predicted mean on a confirmation run can be calculated using the following equation [3]:

$$C.I = \sqrt{F_{\alpha}(1, f_e) V_e \left[ \frac{1}{n_{\text{eff}}} + \frac{1}{R} \right]} \quad [7]$$

Where;  $F_{\alpha}(1, f_e)$  = *F ratio required for  $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha$ =risk;  $f_e$ = error DOF;  $V_e$ = error variance  $n_{\text{eff}}$  = effective number of replications.*

**Table 6 :** Pooled ANOVA (Raw Data: Tensile Strength) for mean

source	DOF	SS	V	F ratio	P(%)
A	2	64.72	32.36	1.90	38.75
B	2	74.86	37.43	2.44	44.83
Total	8	167	-	-	100
e(pooled)	4	27.42	<b>6.855</b>		16.42

DOF=Degree of freedom, SS=sum of squares, V=Variance, P= Percentage contribution, e = error, A- Fibre length, B- Fibre Weight fraction

Tabulated F-ratio at 95% confident level:  $F_{0.05;1;12} = 4.75$ ,  $F_{0.05;2;12} = 3.88$

**Table 7 :** Pooled ANOVA (Raw Data: Tensile Strength) for (Signal to Noise ratio)

source	DOF	SS	V	F ratio	P(%)
A	2	3.620	1.810	1.73	36.61
B	2	4.366	2.183	2.37	44.15
Total	8	9.889	-	-	100.0
e(pooled)	4	1.903	0.476		19.24

Source: ANOVA generated output

## V. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental investigations on the analysis of tensile strength of bamboo reinforced polyester composites were conducted. The experiments lead us to the following conclusions obtained from this study:

$$n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{N}{1 + [\text{Total DOF associated in the estimate of mean}]} \quad [8]$$

R = number of repetitions for confirmation experiment;

N = Total number of experiments.

Using the values;

$V_e = 6.855$ , and  $f_e = 12$

From (Table 6)

Total DOF associated with the mean ( $\mu$ TS) =  $2 \times 2 = 4$

Total trials = 9; N =  $3 \times 9 = 27$

$\alpha = 0.05$ ;  $F_{0.05}(1, 12) = 4.75$  (tabulated value, from F- tables)

$$n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{27}{1+4} = 5.4$$

$$C.I = \sqrt{4.75 \times 6.855 \left[ \frac{1}{5.4} + \frac{1}{3} \right]} = \pm 4.109$$

The calculated C.I. is: C.I =  $\pm 4.109$

The predicted optimal Tensile strength is:  $\mu\text{TS} = 44.51 \text{ Mpa}$

The 95% confidence interval of the predicted optimal tensile strength is:

$$(\mu\text{TS} - C.I) < \mu\text{TS}(\text{Mpa}) < (\mu\text{TS} + C.I).$$

$$40.401 < \mu\text{TS} (\text{Mpa}) < 48.619$$

b) *Experimental Validation*

The last stage of Taguchi's robust technique is the confirmation of the experiment. Three confirmation experiments were conducted at the optimal setting of the process parameters. The average value of tensile strength was found to be 44.54Mpa. This result was within the confidence interval (95 %) of the predicted optimal tensile strength.

The percent contribution of parameters in affecting variation in tensile strength:

parameter	Percent Contribution on Tensile strength
Fibre length (A)	36.61
Fibre Weight Fraction(B)	44.15

The predicted optimal range at 95% confidence interval of the Tensile strength is:  $40.401 < \mu TS \text{ (Mpa)} < 48.619$

## REFERENCES RÉFÉRENCES REFERENCIAS

1. Ratna Prasad et al. : Banana empty fruit bunch fibre reinforced polyester composites
2. R K Roy, A prime on Taguchi method, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990
3. Phadke M.S, Quality Engineering using robust design practice, 1989
4. P J Ross, Taguchi techniques for quality Engineering, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1996
5. Hari Singh Optimizing tool life of carbide inserts for turned parts using taguchi's design of experiments approach", Proceedings of the international multi conference of engineers and computer scientists 2008 vol 11 IMECS 2008, 19-21 March, 2008, Hong Kong