

Infiltration Characteristics of Organic Amended Soils

Ajayi A. S¹

¹ Ahmadu Bello University,

Received: 14 December 2015 Accepted: 4 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

Abstract

The infiltration characteristics of organic amended soil were studied for soils amended with cow dung (CM) and poultry litter (PM). The aim was to determine the effect of these amendments on the infiltration characteristics of the soil. A field size of 200 m² was divided into three strips, 50 kg each of Cow dung and poultry litter was applied into the first and third strip and the middle strip served as control. Soil samples were taken from each strip for soil's physical property determination, one week after manure application infiltration runs were made using the double ring infiltrometer from six points on each strip, and the tests were repeated three weeks and six weeks after manure application. Bulk density of CM and PM reduced by 15.5

Index terms— poultry litters, cow dung.

1 I. Introduction

he use of organic manure in place of inorganic fertilizers in crop production by local farmers in Nigeria is increasing due to the high cost and scarcity of mineral fertilizers. Soils in which organic materials are incorporated are termed organic amended soils (Katsumi and Khan, 2012;Armin et al., 2013;Ayeni and Adetunji, 2010). When soils are amended, water infiltration rate is affected amongst other physical properties. Water infiltration is the process of water movement from the ground surface into the soil and it is an important component in the hydrological cycle (Ajayi, 2015;Gana, 2011; ??aghiabiet al., 2011).

When rainfall or irrigation soaks into the soil, a certain amount of the unfiltered water is temporally retained in the soil pores by capillary action and, if not quickly taken up by roots, gradually percolates downward from the root zone base to the water table at a rate which is equal to the constant infiltration rate or the hydraulic conductivity of the root zone layer. The amount of water retained in the topsoil is affected by the amount of organic matter content, the size, shape and arrangement of mineral particles (Gupta and Gupta, 2008).

Infiltration has received attention from soil and water scientists/engineers because of the fundamental role of infiltration characteristics in land-surface and subsurface hydrology, irrigation and agriculture. Infiltration characteristics of soils can be quantified by direct measurement on the field and/or when field infiltration data are fitted mathematically to infiltration models (Oku and Aiyelari, 2011). Lili et al. ??2008) reviewed the commonly used direct methods for measuring soil infiltration which include: single ring and double ring infiltrometers, mariotte-double ring infiltrometer, disc permeameter, rainfall simulator, runoff-on-ponding, runoff-on-out and linear source methods. The results obtained from field infiltration test and soil analysis are used for infiltration modelling. There is a dearth of standard infiltration characteristics of organic amended soils so that they can easily be applied in irrigation and drainage systems design and management (Gana, 2011;Stanley 2010).

The aim of this paper is to determine the effect of cow manure (CM) and poultry manure (PM) on the infiltration characteristics of the experimental site and also to use four common water infiltration modelsto predict and compare field measured values with those simulated.

2 a) Site Location and Treatments

The study was carried out at the Department of Agricultural Engineering experimental field, Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria. Zaria is located on latitude 11° 11'N and longitude 07° 38'E, at an altitude of about 667m above sea level.

An area of 200 m² (20 × 10m) was divided into three strips labeled CM, CT and PM, to carry out infiltration tests. Each strip was 40m² (20 × 2m) and 50 kg each of cow dung and poultry litter was added to the CM and PM strip, respectively. The middle strip was left bare (without any amendment) as the control strip (CT).

The manure was applied in the existing furrows in the respective strip and was then plowed using animal drawn mold board plow and harrowed using a spike tooth harrow to mix the amended portion with the manure thoroughly to a depth of 20cm. Three soil samples were collected from each strip at depths of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm using Core Samplers of 5 cm diameter and 6 cm height to collect soil samples.

3 II. Materials and Methods

4 b) Infiltration measurement and characteristics

The double ring infiltrometer method was used for the infiltration measurement. The infiltrometer consisted of two rings, outer ring of 40 cm diameter and 40 cm height, and the inner ring 30 cm diameter and 40 cm height. Both rings were hammered 15 cm into the soil with a plank to protect the surface of the ring from damage during hammering.

The test started by pouring water into the inner ring to an appropriate depth and at the same time, adding water to the space between the two rings to the same depth as quickly as possible. The time when the test began was recorded and the water level on the measuring rod was noted. After 3 minutes, the drop in water level in the inner ring was recorded on the measuring rod and water added to bring the level back to approximately the original level at the start of the test.

The water level outside the ring was maintained similar to the one inside.

The test was repeated one, three and six weeks after the incorporation of the manure and six infiltration measurements were carried out per test.

Each infiltration test lasted 4 hours with cumulative time intervals: 3, 5, 10, 20, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 210 and 240 minutes. The cumulative infiltration depth at the elapsed time was recorded. Using the data generated from a total of 36 infiltration runs (12 runs per treatment), cumulative infiltration and infiltration rates were computed for the soil of the experimental site under the tested land management systems. Curve fitting was carried out using four models: Kostiakov (1932), modified Kostiakov (Michael, 1978), Kostiakov-Lewis (1982) and Philip (1957) infiltration models by regression (Table ??).

5 Table 1 : Equations and fitting parameters of the four models tested

Where: I is cumulative infiltration (cm), k and a are Kostiakov's time coefficient terms, t is elapsed time (hour), k_1 and a_1 and b are Modified Kostiakov's time exponent terms (dimensionless), b is rectifying factor, S is Philip's soil water sorptivity (cm h⁻¹), A is Philip's soil water transmissivity (cm h⁻¹), k_2 and a_2 and i_c are Kostiakov-Lewis time exponent term.

Coefficient of determination (R^2) and Root mean square error (RMSE) were used to test the goodness of fit of the four models with measured data, T-test was performed to check the effect of these amendments of the infiltration characteristics of the soil.

6 III. Results and Discussion

The averages of the result of analysis of soil physical properties of the study area are presented in Table ?. The results showed that the texture of the field surface (0-15cm) and the sub-surface (15-30cm) depths for the three sampled strips were predominantly sandy clay loam according to the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) classification, having sand fraction ranging from 43-66%, silt ranging from 11-20% and clay 15-29%. A comparison of the bulk density of the control with the other treatments shows that the application of CM and PM to the field reduced the soils bulk density by 15.5% and 33.2%, respectively. The values of saturated hydraulic conductivity ranged from 4.58-7.37 cm/h. Generally, improved soil indices were obtained in the study through the use of both amendments; however, the extent of this depends on the source of the organic manure. It was observed that poultry manure had more effect on the soils physical properties like bulk density and organic matter content although the cow dung amended strip was found to have higher saturated hydraulic conductivity values. This agrees with the result obtained by Onuhet al. (2008) who studied the effects of poultry Manure and cow dung on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Crude Oil Polluted Soil in Owerri, Nigeria. His findings was evidenced by the improvement in the soil physical properties.

The average results of the measured cumulative infiltration are shown in Table 3. The final infiltration rates (basic infiltration) were 7.37 cm/h for Cow dung amended strip and 5.92 cm/h for poultry litter amended strip and 4.58 cm/h for the control and these were attained after 4hours respectively. 3 show that the increase in the

99 cumulative infiltration depth after 4 hours are 61.4% and 48.1% respectively. The calculated values of the t-test
 100 for CM and PM strip at a confidence level of 0.05 are 6.184 and 6.791 respectively while the critical value is
 101 2.179. This implies that there is a significant difference and also that the incorporation of the manure affected
 102 the infiltration characteristics. Variation in the infiltration rate between the amended strip and control means
 103 that water infiltrated better into the amended soil at an average rate of 7.98 and 5.15 cm/h for CM and PM
 104 strip, Table 4 shows a variation in the estimated parameters for each strip. The values of fitting parameters for
 105 the infiltration models under the different land management systems obtained by regression are shown in Table
 106 4. And Tables 5a,b and c shows the values of the predicted cumulative infiltration by the different models.

107 The table also displays R² values for the regression equations, RMSE values for field-measured versus
 108 model-predicted infiltration data and equilibrium infiltration rate values for the land management systems. The
 109 R² ranged from 0.905 to 0.997 which are all close to unity and an indication of close agreement between the
 110 measured and predicted data for each of the infiltration models. Considering the individual performance of the
 111 models in the respective strips, the modified Kostiakov model performed better in the CM and PM strip with R²
 112 values of 0.997 while the Kostiakov's R² value was the best for control strip.

113 The result of RMSE shows that Modified Kostiakov's model had the least error in comparing the predicted
 114 values with field measured values followed by Philip's model for the Control, Cow dung and Poultry litter amended
 115 strip.

116 Gana (2011) studied the effect of cow dung on soil with higher sand percentage in Bida, Niger state, the effect
 117 of cow dung was not significant and also showed that cow dung with fertilizer cannot easily influence the soil
 118 texture. However, according to Gupta et al. (2004) application of cow dung helps in improving soil structure,
 119 soil aeration and therefore improves the activities of soil micro-organisms.

120 7 Table 5c:

121 Odofin (2012) showed that Kostiakov, modified Kostiakov and Philip infiltration models were all found to
 122 be suitable for simulating water infiltration into an Alfisol subjected to untilled mulched, tilled-mulched and
 123 tilledunmulched management systems at Minna, Nigeria. However, modified Kostiakov model simulated water
 124 infiltration more accurately than Philip model while classical Kostiakov model was the least accurate. Infiltration
 125 data from highly permeable soils under five different land use histories on Nsukka plains of southeastern
 126 Nigeria showed that either the modified Kostiakov model or modified Philip model could be used for routine
 127 characterization of the infiltration process (Mbagwu, 1995). The Kostiakov and modified Kostiakov equations
 128 tend to be the preferred models used for irrigation infiltration, probably because it is less restrictive as to the
 129 mode of water application than some other models.

130 8 IV. Conclusions

131 The application of 50 kg of organic manure to 0.004 ha (40 m²) increased the steady state infiltration by an
 132 average rate of 7.98 and 5.15 cm/h for CM and PM strip respectively and also the cumulative infiltration depth
 133 increased by 61.4% and 48.1% for CM and PM strip, also the soil properties were improved accordingly. The
 134 models provided good overall agreement with the field measured cumulative infiltration depths and are therefore
 135 capable of simulating infiltration under the field conditions in this study. T-test showed a significant difference
 136 between the amended strip and the control, the water holding capacity also increased by 11.8% and 13.9% for
 137 CM and PM strips respectively. Similar work can be carried out to study the effect of different application rate
 of organic manure on the infiltration capacity and soil physical properties of the amended soils. ¹

2

Strip	B.D(g/cm ³)	M.C(g/g)	K _s (cm/h)	%Clay	%Silt	%Sand
CM	1.53	0.06	7.37	23.2	17.8	59.0
PM	1.21	0.12	5.92	24.0	20.0	56.0
CT	1.81	0.05	4.58	26.0	14.0	60.0

[Note: *BD = Bulk density; MC =Moisture content; K_s = Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity; C = % Clay ;Si=
 % Silt; Sa = % Sand;]

Figure 1: Table 2 :

138

3

Infiltration Characteristics of Organic Amended Soils

Figure 2: Table 3 :

4

Strip	Kostiakov (1932)		Modified Kostiakov			Kostiakov-Lewis			Philip (1957)	
	k	a	k 1	a 1	b	k 2	a 2	i c	S	A
CM	14.826	0.546	18.361	0.6301	-1.99	5.278	0.116	7.370	13.613	1.029
PM	14.048	0.542	17.339	0.589	-3.54	5.171	0.120	5.920	13.315	0.623
CT	9.303	0.530	9.992	0.627	-0.54	3.435	0.119	4.580	8.731	0.492

Figure 3: Table 4 :

5a

Infiltration Characteristics of Organic Amended Soils

Time(hr)	Obs	Kostiakov (1932)			Modified Kostiakov			Kostiakov-Lewis			Philip (1957)		() Volume XVI Issue IV Version I Global Journal of Re- searches in Engi- neering
		2.52	3.99	6.53	KT	2.89	MK	3.90	KL	4.10	PH	3.10	
0.05	0.08	8.30	10.63	13.80	3.81	5.57	5.16	7.31	4.57	5.52	4.02	5.73	
0.17	0.33	16.72			8.14	10.15	10.11	12.12	7.11	8.57	8.20	10.14	
0.50	0.75				12.67		14.47	16.37	10.65		12.56		
1.00					14.83				12.67		14.64		
1.50		19.54			18.50		19.43		16.62		18.22		
2.00		22.69			21.65		21.90		20.50		21.31		
2.50		25.02			24.46		24.01		24.34		24.10		
3.00		26.79			27.02		25.88		28.16		26.67		
3.50		28.34			29.39		27.56		31.97		29.07		
4.00		29.48			31.62		29.10		35.76		31.34		
		R 2			0.990		0.997		0.938		0.988		
		RMSE			1.054		1.006		2.831		1.097		

Figure 4: Table 5a :

5b

Time(hr)	Obs	KT	MK	KL	PH
0.05	2.37	2.77	1.06	3.95	3.01
0.08	3.73	3.65	2.23	4.40	3.90
0.17	5.46	5.32	4.30	5.30	5.54
0.33	7.27	7.74	7.12	6.79	7.90
0.50	9.32	9.65	9.21	8.15	9.73
0.75	11.48	12.02	11.72	10.08	12.00
1.00	13.64	14.05	13.80	11.95	13.94
1.50	15.71	17.50	17.21	15.60	17.24
2.00	17.69	20.46	20.03	19.18	20.08
2.50	19.37	23.09	22.48	22.72	22.61
3.00	21.20	25.49	24.67	26.24	24.93
3.50	22.64	27.72	26.66	29.74	27.09
4.00	23.67	29.80	28.50	33.23	29.12
	R 2	0.991	0.997	0.940	0.991
	RMSE	2.875	2.393	3.823	2.533
Observed and Model predicted cumulative infiltration for Control					
Time(hr)	Obs	KT	MK	KL	PH
0.05	1.57	1.90	2.02	1.91	1.98
0.08	2.40	2.49	2.50	1.87	2.56
0.17	3.97	3.60	3.44	2.02	3.65
0.33	6.00	5.20	4.90	2.58	5.21
0.50	7.27	6.44	6.10	3.25	6.42
0.75	9.10	7.99	7.64	4.30	7.93
1.00	10.73	9.30	9.00	5.39	9.22
1.50	12.93	11.53	11.39	7.60	11.43
2.00	14.50	13.43	13.49	9.84	13.33
2.50	16.17	15.12	15.40	12.09	15.03
3.00	17.57	16.65	17.17	14.36	16.60
3.50	18.77	18.07	18.84	16.62	18.05
4.00	19.37	19.39	20.42	18.89	19.43
	R 2	0.993	0.983	0.905	0.991
	RMSE	0.894	1.017	3.568	0.946

Figure 5: Table 5b :

.1 Global Journals Inc. (US) Guidelines Handbook 2016

139 www.GlobalJournals.org

- 141 [Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Technology ()] , *Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Technology* 2011. 7 (2) p. .
- 142 [Haghiabi et al. ()] ‘A new method for estimating the parameters of Kostiakov and modified Kostiakov infiltration
- 143 equations’. A H Haghiabi , M Heidarpour , J Habili . *World Applied Sciences Journal* 2011. 15 (1) p. .
- 144 [Gana ()] *Cow dung: soil amendment agent for the sandy upland sugarcane ecology in*, A K Gana . 2011.
- 145 [Armin et al. ()] ‘Effect of Green Manure on Some Soil Physicochemical Characteristics’. H Armin , J Abdollah
- 146 , B Seyed , R Mohammad , S Naser . *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production* 2013. 2013.
- 147 4 (11) p. .
- 148 [Katsumi and Khan ()] ‘Effect of Organic Matter Amendment on Hydraulic and Pore Characteristics of a Clay
- 149 Loam Soil’. F Katsumi , M Khan . 10.4236/ojss.2012.24044. [http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojss.2012.](http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ojss.2012.24044)
- 150 **24044** *Open Journal of Soil Science* 2012. 2012. 2 p. . (Published Online)
- 151 [Stanley ()] ‘Effectiveness of Cow Dung and Mineral Fertilizer on Soil Properties, Nutrient Uptake and Yield
- 152 of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) in Southeastern Nigeria’. U O Stanley . *Asian Journal of Agricultural*
- 153 *Research* 2010. 4 p. .
- 154 [Onuh et al. ()] ‘Effects of Poultry Manure and Cow Dung on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Crude
- 155 Oil Polluted Soil’. M O Onuh , D K Madukwe , G U Ohia . *Science World Journal* 2008. 2008. 3 p. .
- 156 [Gupta and Gupta ()] B L Gupta , A Gupta . *Water Resources Systems and Management. Delhi-110006:*
- 157 *Standard Publishers Distributors. Available at www.standardpublishers.com, 2008.*
- 158 [Ayeni and Adetunji ()] ‘Integrated Application of Poultry Manure and Mineral Fertilizer on Soil Chemical
- 159 Properties, Nutrient Uptake, Yield and growth components of maize’. L S Ayeni , M T Adetunji . *Nature and*
- 160 *science journal* 2010. 2010. (8) p. .
- 161 [Michael ()] *Irrigation, Theory and Practice*, A M Michael . 1978. Vikas Publ. House. PVT. Ltd. New Delhi.
- 162 [Lili et al. ()] ‘Methods for measuring soil infiltration. State of the art’. M Lili , V F Bralts , P Yinghua , L Han
- 163 , L Tingwu . *Int. J.Agric & Biol Eng* 2008. 1 (1) p. .
- 164 [Kostiakov ()] ‘On the dynamics of the coefficient of water-percolation in soils and on the necessity for studying
- 165 it from a dynamic point of view for purposes of amelioration’. A N Kostiakov . *Transactions Congress*
- 166 *International Society for Soil Science* 1932. 6th. p. .
- 167 [Ajayi ()] *Performance of selected water infiltration models in Organic amended soils*, A S Ajayi . 2015. Zaria.
- 168 Department of Agricultural Engineering, Ahmadu Bello University (Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis)
- 169 [Oku and Aiyelari ()] ‘Predictability of Philip and Kostiakov infiltration model under inceptisols in the Humid
- 170 Forest Zone’. E Oku , A Aiyelari . *Nigeria. Kasetsart Journal (Natural Science)* 2011. 45 p. .
- 171 [Gupta et al. ()] ‘Study on Agro climatic condition and productivity pattern of sugarcane in India’. R Gupta ,
- 172 R Kumar , S K Tripathi . *Sugar Tech* 2004. 6 (3) p. .
- 173 [Odofin ()] ‘Testing the Accuracy of Three Models for Simulating Water Infiltration into an Alfisol Subjected to
- 174 Three Land Management Systems at Minna’. A J Odofin .); *pg*, 2012. 7 p. .
- 175 [Mbagwu ()] ‘Testing the goodness of fit of infiltration models for highly permeable soils under different tropical
- 176 soil management systems’. J S C Mbagwu . *Soil & Tillage Research* 1995. 34 p. .
- 177 [Philip ()] *The theory of infiltration: 1. The infiltration equation and its solution Soil Science*, J R Philip . 1957.
- 178 83 p. .