Global Journals $end{transformula} AT_{E}X$ JournalKaleidoscope

Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

¹ Selecting and Redesigning Distribution Feeders for CVR Benefits

 Kaveh Rahimi¹, Kaveh Rahimi², Himanshu Jain³, Abhineet Parchure⁴ and Tamer Rousan⁵
 ¹ Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
 Received: 12 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

7 Abstract

18

8 Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) is employed for peak load reduction and energy

⁹ savings by electric utilities. Selecting feeders where the most benefit is realized from CVR is of

¹⁰ interest. In the work here the theoretical CVR performance of over 1000 distribution feeders is

¹¹ evaluated based on circuit models and available load data. The feeders with the best CVR

¹² performance are identified, and characteristics of the efficient performing feeders are described.

¹³ In identifying efficient performing feeders, load-voltage dependency factors for summer and

¹⁴ winter are used in quasi-steady state power flow analysis. In addition, the Volt/VAR Control

¹⁵ (VVC) scheme of a feeder with poor CVR performance is redesigned to improve its CVR

¹⁶ performance. Results show that there can be considerable energy savings from investments in control schemes to improve CVP performance

17 control schemes to improve CVR performance

Index Terms: energy conservation, conservation voltage control I. Introduction onservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) has been used as a cost-effective method for obtaining energy savings, peak demand reduction, and feeder

loss reduction [1]- [3]. The main objective of CVR is to reduce the real power consumed by loads. If loads are voltage dependent, this goal is achieved by lowering customer utilization voltage. However, the voltage needs to

remain within allowed ranges established by regulatory agencies and standards [4], ??5].

²⁷ then many electric durintes have tested OVI and reported peak reduction and energy savings, including the ²⁸ Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) [7], Northeast Utilities (NU) [8], BC Hydro [9], Hydro Quebec (HQ)

[10], and Dominion Virginia Power [11]. These investigations report savings ranging from 0.3% to 1% reduction

There are two major methods used for measuring CVRF on feeders. The first method is the comparison method. It has been implemented with two approaches. In the first approach two feeders with similar loading are

¹⁹ Index terms— energy conservation, conservation voltage reduction, power distribution, scada systems, 20 volt/VAR control

One of the first CVR tests was reported by American Electric Power System (AEP) in 1973 [6]. Since then many electric utilities have tested CVR and reported peak reduction and energy savings, including the

in energy per 1% voltage reduction [12]. Considering CVR implementation nationwide, significant economic and environmental benefits may be obtained.

Peak demand and energy consumption reduction plus a decrease in feeder losses are benefits of CVR. However, investments in CVR result in reduced revenue for utilities. Incentives from regulatory agencies are required that can compensate for the lost revenue and utility investments in CVR implementation.

For open-loop loads CVR can be effective for reduction in energy consumption. Examples of openloop loads include lighting loads and unregulated motors [3], [13], [14]. However, it has been shown that CVR may not be effective for closed loop loads such as motor drives, loads with thermal cycles and regulated constant power loads [3], [12], [14]. A closed-loop load is a load that has feedback control that compensates for the reduction in voltage. The voltage dependency of loads is very important when considering CVR.

The effect of voltage reduction on energy consumption is quantified using the Conservation Voltage Regulation
 Factor (CVRF) metric which is defined as:

The larger the CVRF, the more the energy savings per percent reduction in voltage. Therefore, CVRF provides a metric for choosing loads or feeders that are good CVR performers. For feeders CVRF is time-dependent and is generally not easy to measure.

3 SCADA CONTROLLED VOLT/VAR

47 selected. CVR is applied to one of the feeders while normal voltage operations is used for the other feeder. The

resulting energy consumptions of the two feeders are then compared. In the second approach for determining feeder CVRF, CVR and normal operation voltages are applied to the same feeder during two different time

⁵⁰ periods, where the two different time periods have similar weather and loading conditions.

The difference in feeder energy consumption between the two time periods can then be used to estimate the CVRF [15], [16]. However, since CVR is time and season dependent, the comparison measurements need to be performed a number of times.

54 When results from a number of field measurements are available, regression can be used in CVRF modeling. 55 Using regression, the energy dependency can be modeled as a function of voltage, temperature, and other variables

56 [9], [17]- [21] as indicated in (2).?? = δ ??" δ ??" (??,??,?)(2)

57 Then CVRF can be computed as (1).

Previous CVR studies have mainly focused on the evaluation of energy savings [6]- [12], CVRF computation
[15][21], or considering CVR as one of the objectives in an optimization problem [22]- [23]. However, few studies
have assessed the characteristics of efficient distribution feeders for CVR Implementation.

61 When looking where to begin a CVR pilot or program, selection of distribution feeders with efficient CVR

62 performance is of interest. Feeders with the best CVR performance would provide more return on investment.

⁶³ In the work reported here the CVR performance of approximately 1100 distribution feeders was compared. The

64 comparison used measured winter and summer CVRF factors for two categories of feeders, urban and urban-rural.

From the comparison 11 feeders with the best performance were selected. Characteristics of these 11 feeders that lead to the good CVR performance were evaluated. Also in the work reported here a feeder with poor CVR

⁶⁷ performance was chosen and its VVC scheme was redesigned.

68 Studying the characteristics of feeders with efficient CVR performance and investigating controls that can

69 change a feeder with poor CVR performance into a good CVR performer are the main objectives of this work.

 $_{70}$ $\,$ The paper is organized as follows. Section II briefly discusses the major VVC methods. In section III the results

71 of comparing the CVR performance of approximately 1100 feeders are presented and the characteristics of top

72 CVR performers are discussed. In Section IV the control for a poor CVR performing feeder is redesigned, and 73 the improvement obtained in the CVR performance is evaluated. Conclusions are presented in section V.

⁷⁴ 1 II. Volt/var Control

Maintaining acceptable utilization voltage levels and close to unity power factor are major objectives of VVC [24]. VVC has been used to reduce losses, energy consumption, power demand, and tear and wear on control devices. Typically, switched capacitor banks, substation load tap changing transformers (LTCs) and voltage regulators are the devices employed to perform VVC. However, smart grid initiatives have increased interest in more advanced VVC schemes. An efficient VVC system needs to meet the following criteria [14].

Provides optimal coordinated control ? Provides user selectable operating objectives ? Performs self monitoring ? Allows operator override during emergencies ? Adapts to feeder reconfiguration correctly.

Major VVC approaches that may be used by electric utilities are standalone VVC (traditional), SCADA driven

Volt/VAR control, and Integrated Volt/VAR control (IVVC). The advantages and disadvantages of each of these
 approaches are discussed next.

⁸⁵ 2 Standalone VVC

In the standalone or traditional VVC, voltage regulation and reactive power control are performed by capacitors banks, LTCs or voltage regulators. Local voltage or current measurements determine the control actions. Low cost, scalability, and no need for field communications are advantages of the traditional VVC. On the other hand, standalone VVC cannot provide selfmonitoring, coordination between control devices, optimal operation, and effective control with a high penetration of distributed generation [2].

91 3 SCADA Controlled Volt/VAR

92 With SCADA controlled Volt/VAR, control devices are equipped with communication capabilities through 93 Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems. SCADA controlled Volt/VAR has been the most 94 common VVC approach in the last 15 years [24]. Communication and coordination between controlling devices 95 are the key points in SCADA controlled Volt/VAR. However, the control strategies are based on pre-defined rules 96 which are determined by distribution system design engineers. SCADA controlled Volt/VAR usually consists of 97 two separate subsystems which are VAR dispatch and voltage control. The VAR dispatch subsystem controls

98 the capacitor banks to minimize feeder losses. The voltage control subsystem manages the LTCs and voltage 99 regulators for minimizing the demand and energy consumption.

Higher efficiency, self-monitoring capability, and the ability to override operation during system emergencies are the advantages of the SCADA controlled Volt/VAR approach. However, it is less scalable and more complicated in comparison to traditional VVC. It does not adapt to feeder configuration changes and high distributed generation penetration. Furthermore, the VAR dispatch and voltage control subsystems are not usually coordinated and

104 the system does not generally perform optimally [2].

¹⁰⁵ 4 Integrated Volt/VAR Control

The objective of IVVC is to determine the best (optimal) set of control actions. It determines the operation of LTCs, voltage regulators, capacitor banks and other control devices to achieve objectives in an optimal fashion while not violating operating constraints [25]- [27].

Optimal objectives may involve some combination of the following In IVVC an optimization problem is solved for control actions that provide optimal operating conditions. The computed set of control actions is sent to field control devices through the SCADA system. Voltage control and VAR dispatch are both coordinated in IVVC. IVVC can deal with complex feeder arrangements and reconfigurations. Finally, IVVC can handle high penetrations of distributed generation. On other hand, IVVC implementations may not be scalable, and the implementation can be costly [14].

¹¹⁵ 5 III. Characteristics of the Best Cvr Performers

Using experimentally determined summer and winter CVRFs, the CVR performance of approximately 1100 urban and urban-rural distribution feeders under a VVC scheme was evaluated. The energy savings for each feeder were computed, and the eleven feeders that had the best CVR performance were selected. Power flow calculations based on SCADA measurements were used in the evaluations of the eleven feeders, where the power flow calculations were run for each hour of a year, 8760 times, for each feeder to calculate energy supplied and feeder losses. Table ?? shows the best CVR performers' estimated annual energy savings, energy consumption reduction, length and category.

Studying the topology and voltage profiles of the best performers, it is observed that a good performer has a 123 124 flat voltage profile due to either the topology/loading conditions or sufficient Volt/VAR control devices to create 125 a flat voltage profile. Fig. ?? shows a relatively flat voltage profile, in terms of customer level voltage, for a top CVR performing feeder at peak load (Feeder 9 in Table ?? -a short feeder without VVC). The percentage voltage 126 deviation versus distance from the substation is also illustrated for Feeder 9. The voltage drop for Feeder 9 is 127 approximately 1.7V from an initial 125V at the substation. 4 to 0.919 and 0.898 for figs 5 and 6, respectively. In 128 addition, figs 5 and 6 illustrate that when a selection is to be made as to whether CVR should be implemented 129 on one feeder or another, where both feeders have a flat voltage profile, the feeder with the higher energy In this 130 section, a poor CVR performing feeder is chosen and its VVC scheme is redesigned. The goal is to create a flatter 131 voltage profile to achieve better CVR performance. Voltage dependency factors of -0.1 and -0.6, as defined by 132 133 equation 1, were employed for summer and winter, respectively.

The selected feeder originally had two voltage regulators (one at the substation), four 3-phase fixed capacitors, and one 3-phase switched capacitor, where the capacitors all together represented 3450 kVAR. The existing standards require the utilization voltage to be between 114 and 126 V. The goal for the redesigned VVC is to maintain the primary system voltage, in terms of customer level voltage, to be greater than 116 V. This would allow for a 2 volt drop in the secondary. Figs 7 and 8 show the percent voltage drop before and after redesigning the VVC system and applying the CVR control for summer and winter conditions, respectively.

Nine single-phase, small switched capacitors were employed in the new VVC scheme, representing a total of 140 1500 kVAR, which is less than half of the original VAR support. Discrete Ascent Optimal Programming (DAOP) 141 was employed to place the switched capacitors [28]. Table ?? I presents the capacitor types and kVARs employed 142 in the feeder before and after redesigning the VCC scheme. The new VVC system improved the voltage profile 143 such that CVR can be implemented with 120V at the substation and 118 V at the second regulator. In summer, 144 the maximum voltage drop before the redesign was approximately 2.5%. The maximum voltage drop after the 145 VVC redesign was 1.5% and after CVR implementation was about 3.5%. In winter, before redesigning the VVC 146 system, the maximum percent voltage drop was about 2%. However, after redesigning the VVC scheme, the 147 maximum percent voltage drop was approximately 1% and after CVR implementation was about 3%. 148

Since the percent voltage drop requirement was 5% or less, additional CVR savings could be obtained by 149 reducing the regulator set-points even further. The configuration of the feeder's VVC devices before and after 150 the VVC scheme redesign is shown in figs 9a and 9b, respectively. Year 2016 F Figure ?? : Percent voltage 151 drop before and after redesigning the VVC system for the selected poor performing feeder during summer Table 152 ??II presents the characteristics of the selected poor CVR performing feeder before and after the VVC redesign. 153 154 Annual consumption before redesigning the VVC system was 27130 MWh. After the VVC redesign the annual 155 consumption decreased to 26148 MWh, which provided a savings of 983 MWh per year. This corresponds to 156 a 3.63% increase in energy savings. Note that the modified poor performing feeder now ranks in the top five 157 performing feeders shown in Table ??.

This VVC redesign case study shows that employing many VVC devices is not a necessary condition for reasonable CVR performance of a feeder. While VVC devices can help in improving the voltage profile, efficient design of the VVC scheme and consideration of CVR implementation in its design can significantly improve the CVR performance of a feeder. Moreover, the significant decrease in VAR support (more than 50% decrease) showed the effect of distributing VVC devices in improving the CVR performance.

¹⁶³ 6 V. conclusion

When considering CVR implementation across a large number of feeders, selecting the best CVR performing feeders is of interest. Initially investing in the best CVR performers will provide the greatest benefits from the investment. This work evaluated over 1100 distribution feeders using their seasonal CVRFs and computed energy saving under a CVR scheme. After selecting the best CVR performers, their characteristics, as well as their energy savings, were identified. It was observed that efficient CVR performers had a relative flat voltage profile due to either topology/loading patterns or sufficient VVC devices.

A feeder with poor CVR performance was chosen and its VVC scheme redesigned. After redesigning the VVC

 $_{\rm 171}$ $\,$ scheme, the poor CVR performer changed into one of the top CVR performing feeders, providing a significant

increase in CVR energy savings. This case study also illustrated that significant decrease in VAR support could be obtained when a distributed VVC scheme was utilized. Future work needs to address integration of intermittent

renewable energy resources in a combined CVR and VVC scheme.



Figure 1: Fig. 2 FTable 1 : Figure 1 :

$$CVRF = \frac{Percentage \ change \ in \ energy}{Percentage \ change \ in \ voltage}$$
(1)

Figure 2: Figure 2 :

174

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)

		A	1	D		P. J. T. et al.	
Feeder Name	Туре	Annual MWh	Annual MWh with CVR	Percentage	Saving (MWh)	Feeder Length	Control Category
		(Base Case)	(Coordinated Control)	Improvement		(Mile)	Control Category
1	Urban-Rural	23728	22609	4.72%	1119	18.4	VVC Devices
2	Urban-Rural	23885	22794	4.57%	1091	22.9	VVC Devices
3	Urban	20567	19493	5.22%	1074	13.5	Flat VP
4	Urban	18336	17350	5.38%	986	9.4	Flat VP
5	Urban	18668	17690	5.24%	977	9.4	Flat VP
6	Urban-Rural	20245	19291	4.71%	954	11.1	VVC Devices
7	Urban	17931	16979	5.31%	953	14.5	Flat VP
8	Urban-Rural	20365	19433	4.58%	932	18.7	VVC Devices
9	Urban-Rural	17402	16614	4.53%	788	15.6	Flat VP
10	Urban-Rural	14279	13615	4.65%	664	13.0	Flat VP
11	Urban-Rural	13498	12840	4.87%	658	4.1	Flat VP

3

Figure 3: Figure 3 :



Figure 4: Figure 4 :



Figure 5: Figure 5 :



Figure 6: Figure 6 :



Figure 7: Figure 8 :



Figure 8: Global 2016 F©



Figure 9:



Figure 10: Figure 9 Figure 9

 $\mathbf{2}$

Figure 11: Table 2 :

3

Figure 12: Table 3 :

- 175 [Wang] , Zhaoyu Wang .
- 176 [Epri and Commentary], 2001 Epri , Commentary . http://assets.fiercemarkets.net/public/ 177 smartgridnews/sgnr_2007_1208.pdf
- 178 [Wang], Zhaoyu Wang.
- 179 [Moghadasi], Moghadasi.
- [Ahmadi et al.] 'A Framework for Volt-VAR Optimization in Distribution Systems'. H Ahmadi , J R Marti , H
 W Dommel . *IEEE Transactions on* 1 (99) p. 1. (Smart Grid)
- [Schneider and Weaver (2014)] 'A Method for Evaluating Volt-VAR Optimization Field Demonstrations'. K P
 Schneider , T F Weaver . *IEEE Transactions on* July 2014. 5 (4) p. 1703. (Smart Grid)
- [Begovic and Wang (2014)] 'Analysis of Conservation Voltage Reduction Effects Based on Multistage SVR and
 Stochastic Process'. M Begovic , ; Jianhui Wang . *IEEE Transactions on* Jan. 2014. 5 (1) p. 431. (Smart Grid)
- [ANSI Standard C84.1-1995 Electric Power Systems and Equipment Voltage Ratings (60 Hz) ANSI ()] 'ANSI
 Standard C84.1-1995 Electric Power Systems and Equipment Voltage Ratings (60 Hz)'. ANSI 1995.
- [Steese ()] Assessment of Conservation Voltage Reduction Applicable in the BPA Service Region, J De Steese.
 190 1987.
- [Lauria (1987)] 'Conservation Voltage Reduction (CVR) at Northeast Utilities'. D M Lauria . Power Engineering
 Review Oct. 1987. 59 (7) p. 58. (IEEE)
- [Kennedy and Fletcher ()] 'Conservation voltage reduction (CVR) at Snohomish county PUD'. R Kennedy ,
 Fletcher . Proc. IEEE/PES 1991 Winter Meeting, (IEEE/PES 1991 Winter MeetingNew York, NY, USA)
 195 1991.
- [Peskin et al.] 'Conservation voltage reduction with feedback from advanced metering infrastructure'. M A Peskin
 , P W Powell , E J Hall . Proc. 2012, (2012)
- [Schneider et al. ()] 'Evaluation of conservation voltage reduction (CVR) on a national level'. Kevin P Schneider
 F K Tuffner , J C Fuller , Ruchi Singh . Pacific Northwest National Laboratory report 2010.
- [IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution Conf. Expo. (TD) ()] IEEE PES Transmission and Distribution
 Conf. Expo. (T&D), 2012. p. .
- [Preiss and Warnock (1671)] 'Impact of Voltage Reduction on Energy and Demand'. R F Preiss, V J Warnock
 IEEE Transactions on 1671. Sept. 1978. (5) p. 1665. (PAS-97) (Power Apparatus and Systems)
- [Warnock and Kirkpatrick ()] 'Impact of voltage reduction on energy and demand: Phase II'. V Warnock , T
 Kirkpatrick . *IEEE Trans. Power Syst* 1986. 1 p. .
- [Warnock and Kirkpatrick ()] 'Impact of voltage reduction on energy and demand: Phase II'. V Warnock , T
 Kirkpatrick . *IEEE Trans. Power Syst* 1986. 1 p. .
- [Dwyer et al. ()] 'Load to voltage dependency tests at BC hydro'. A Dwyer , R E Nielsen , J Stangl , N S
 Markushevich . *IEEE Trans. Power Syst* 1995. 10 p. .
- [Wilson ()] 'Measurement and verification of distribution voltage optimization results'. T L Wilson . Proc. Power
 Energy Society General Meeting, (Power Energy Society General Meeting) 2010 IEEE, 2010. p. .
- [Broadwater et al. ()] Minimum loss optimization in distribution systems: discrete ascent optimal programming.
 Electric power systems research, R P Broadwater, P A Dolloff, T L Herdman, R Karamikhova, A F Sargent
 . 1996. 36 p. .
- [Hippert et al. ()] 'Neural networks for short-term load forecasting: A review and evaluation'. H S Hippert , C
 E Pedreira , R C Souza . *IEEE Trans. Power Syst* 2001. 16 p. .
- [Kamalasadan] 'Optimal Fast Control and Scheduling of Power Distribution System Using Integrated Receding
 Horizon Control and Convex Conic Programming'. S Kamalasadan . *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, p. .
- [Liu et al. ()] 'Optimal Volt/VAR control in distribution systems'. Yutian Liu , Peng Zhang , Xizhao Qiu .
 International journal of electrical power & energy systems 2002. 24 p. .
- [Uluski (2010)] 'Power and Energy Society General Meeting'. R W Uluski . *IEEE* 2010. July 2010. 7 (1) p. .
 (VVC in the Smart Grid era)
- [Lefebvre et al. (2008)] 'Power and Energy Society General Meeting -Conversion and Delivery of Electrical
 Energy in the 21st Century'. S Lefebvre , G Gaba , A.-O Ba , D Asber , A Ricard , C Perreault , D
 Chartrand . *IEEE* 2008. July 2008. 7 (1) p. . (Measuring the efficiency of voltage reduction at Hydro Québec
 distribution)
- [Willis and Lee ()] Power distribution planning reference book, H Willis, Lee. 2010. CRC press.

- [Wang (2014)] 'Review on Implementation and Assessment of Conservation Voltage Reduction'. Jianhui Wang .
 IEEE Transactions on May 2014. 29 (3) p. 1315. (Power Systems)
- [Fan and Chen ()] 'Short-term load forecasting based on an adaptive hybrid method'. S Fan , L Chen . *IEEE Trans. Power Syst* 2006. 21 p. .
- [Wang et al. (2015)] 'Stochastic DG Placement for Conservation Voltage Reduction Based on Multiple Replications Procedure'. Z Wang , B Chen , J Wang , M M Begovic . *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, June 2015. 30 p. .
- [Gellings ()] The smart grid: enabling energy efficiency and demand response, Clark W Gellings . 2009. The
 Fairmont Press, Inc.
- [Uluski] Volt/VAR Control and Optimization Concepts and Issues, B Uluski . http://cialab.ee. washington.edu/nwess/2012/talks/uluski.pdf p. I2011.