

From Hertz-Heaviside Electrodynamics to the Trans-Coordinate Electrodynamics

A. S. Dubrovin

Received: 16 December 2015 Accepted: 1 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016

Abstract

The conclusion about the absence in them of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another because of the use by them of particular derived field functions on the time, which completely tie electrodynamic process to one concrete frame of reference, is made on the basis of the critical analysis of extraction from the equations of the electrodynamics of ideas about the space and period. Is proposed new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another due to the introduction into the examination of the trans-coordinate equations, which use new Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of field functions. This generalization of electrodynamics assumes the dependence of electromagnetic field and electric charge on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, but by physical nature of the very field within the framework of gipercontinual ideas about the space and the time. Is obtained the new trans-coordinate formulation of Maxwell equations for the case of isotropic homogeneous medium without the dispersion, which generalizes the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside for the same case. Are given Maxwell equations in the integral and differential forms in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside and in the transcoordinate idea

Index terms— maxwell equation, galileo's derivative, trans-coordinate derived, time-spatial gipekcontinuum, transcoordinate electrodynamics.

1 Introduction

n the initial form the system of equations of classical electrodynamics was recorded by Maxwell in his famous treatise [1] with the use of quaternion calculation within the framework of classical ideas about the space and time, that allow the Galileo conversions upon transfer from the examination of electromagnetic field in one inertial reference system to the examination of the same field in another inertial reference system. However, it was immediately explained that the apparatus for quaternion calculation in mathematics was developed not so well so that physics they could it successfully apply to the wide circle of the tasks of electrodynamics. In order to draw into the electrodynamics the simpler and more effective means of mathematical physicists, Hertz and Heaviside reformulated Maxwell equations from the language of quaternion calculation to the language of vector analysis.

Author : e-mail: mende_fedor@mail.ru At that time it seemed that the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside is equivalent to the initial formulation of Maxwell, but now already it is possible to establish that the equations, obtained by Hertz and Heaviside, are essential simplification in Maxwell equations in the quaternions, moreover this simplification relates not only to their mathematical form, but also (that most important!) to their physical content, since in this case equations were deprived naturally Galileo-invariance of inherent in them. Nevertheless for the concretely undertaken inertial reference system (but not their totality) the equivalence of formulations occurred, by virtue of which the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside it obtained the deserved acknowledgement of scientific association it extruded in the theoretical and applied research the formulation of Maxwell himself.

Despite the fact that Maxwell equations both in the formulation of Maxwell himself and in the formulation of Hertz-Heaviside, are obtained within the framework classical ideas about the space and of time, who use Galileo conversions, subsequently precisely of Maxwell equation they became the theoretical prerequisite of the creation of the special theory of relativity (SR). As convincingly shown, for example, in [2], be SR it consists of the identification of the natural geometry of the electromagnetic field, described by Maxwell equations, with the geometry of world physical spacetime. And now already in the contemporary works on the electrodynamics (typical example -the work [3]) of Maxwell equation they are examined in the fourdimensional pseudo-Riemann space-time).

Is it possible to return to Maxwell equations the original Galileo-invariance within the framework of certain new, its kind of neoclassical ideas about the space and the time, without rejecting the use of an apparatus of vector analysis during writing of equations? In this work we will show that the answer to this question is affirmative.

2 II.

3 Conceptual Approach

In the classical mechanics particle dynamics is described by the differential equations for its radiusvector, which use usual derivative of the second order on the time. Specifically, its use ensures the Galileoinvariance of equations. If we connect the set of massive material points by weightless elastic threads into the united string, i.e. fluctuation will be described by the Galileo-invariant system of differential equations. But if we complete passage to the limit, after fixing the number of material points to infinity, and their mass and the length of separate threads -to zero, then we will obtain the one-dimensional wave equation (equation of vibrations of string), not invariant relative to the Galileo conversions, but invariant relative to the group of pseudo-orthogonal conversions (hyperbolic turnings, which preserve pseudo-Euclidean certificate). The culprit of this strange and unexpected metamorphosis upon transfer from "material-point mechanics to continuous medium -this passage to the limit with the substitution by usual derivative to the quotient, which, generally speaking, is analytically legal [4], but it narrows the region of the physical applicability of equation. The real wave process of mechanical vibrations of string remains Galileo-invariant, but its equation is already deprived of the mathematical means of the description of passage from one inertial reference system to another, and completely ties process to one concrete frame of reference, attaching in it the ends of the string. So classics-field natural-science paradigm revealed fundamental contradiction between the continuity and the discretion [5][6], not overcome, until now, but led to the celebration in theoretical physics of the doubtful principle of the geometrization [7].

The discovery wave equation in the mechanics did not lead to the revision of ideas about the space and the time, but to this led the discovery the same equation in the electrodynamics. In the theory of relativity the corresponding group of pseudo-orthogonal conversions for the electromagnetic waves in the vacuum (Lorentz conversion) obtained status of the subgroup of the motion of the certificate of united world physical spacetime. But appears doubt about the justification of the use of traditional equations of electrodynamics, in particular, wave equation, for the adequate extraction of them of ideas about the space and the time. Easily to assume that these equations, using partial derivatives of field functions on the time, similar to the equation of mechanical fluctuations, are simply deprived of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another and so completely they tie process to one concrete frame of reference. The question of the possibility of the suitable refinement or generalizing the equations of electrodynamics so arises, beginning from the equations of the induction of electric field by magnetic and magnetic -electrical. The thorough study of this problem in [8] led to the appearance of an idea about the fact that this improvement of electrodynamics must assume existence of the dependence of electromagnetic field on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, but by physical nature of field.

In the theory of relativity the electromagnetic field also depends on the speed of the motion of observer, but it is only defined by example through the dependence on it of the intervals of time and spatial distance (Lorentz conversion), the relativistic invariance of electric charge occurs result of which. However, the more fundamental (direct) dependence of field on the speed is cmbined with the dependence even absolute value of electric charge. Until recently this not invariance of charge was confirmed only by indirect empirical data, which were being consisted in the appearance of an electric potential on the superconductive windings and the tori during the introduction in them of direct current, or in the observation of the electric pulse of nuclear explosions [9].

In particular, 9 July 1962 with the explosion in space above Pacific Ocean of H-bomb with the TNT equivalent 1,4 Mt. according to the program of the USA «Starfish » the tension of electrical pour on she exceeded those forecast by Nobel laureate Bethe in 1000 once. with the explosion of nuclear charge according to the program "Program K", which was realized into the USSR, the radio communication and the radar installations were also blocked at a distance to 1000 km. It was discovered, that the registration of the consequences of space nuclear explosion was possible at the large (to 10 thousand kilometers) distances from the point of impact. The electric fields of pulse led to the large focusings to the power cable in the lead shell, buried at the depth about 1 m, which connects power station in Akmolat with Alma-Ata. Focusings were so great that the automation opened cable from the power station.

However, 2015 was marked by the already direct experimental confirmation of this phenomenon as a result

of detection and study of the pulse of the electric field, which appears with the warming-up of the plasma as a result of the discharge through the dischargers of the capacitors of great capacity [9]. It turned out that in the process of the warming-up of plasma with an equal quantity in it of electrons and positive ions in it the unitary negative charge of free electrons, not compensated by slower positive ions, is formed.

This fact contradicts not only the classical, but also relativistic conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from one inertial reference system to another, testifying about the imperfection not only of classical, but also relativistic ideas about the space and the time. Idea about the fact that the promising electrodynamics must assume existence of the dependence of electromagnetic field on the speed of the motion of observer, caused not by the geometry of space-time, and by physical nature of field, which does not assume the invariance of electric charge, was developed in a number of the work of F. F. Mende, beginning [8]. In these works, in particular, in [8,9] is given the substantiation of introduction into the electrodynamics instead of the classical and relativistic new conversions of electromagnetic field, which was called the Mende conversions.

However, the sequential development of this radical idea, as not the invariance of charge, requires the deep revision of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics, called to the creation of the mathematical means of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another. Approach to precisely this development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics was proposed by A. S. Dubrov in [10]. This approach lies within the framework the sequential revision of ideas about the space and the time with the failure of the relativistic and the passage to the new ideas, which we call gipercontinual.

The concept of time-spatial gipercontinuum is introduced in [11] as a result the joint study of the algebraic and geometric structures of the commutative algebras with one, elements of which are the functions of sine waves. The hypothesis of gipercontinuum (about the hierarchical gipercontinual structure of world physical space-time) is starting point of scientific studies, directed toward the generalization of ideas about the structure of space and time in the course of passage from the contemporary quantum scientific paradigm to the new system, that simultaneously structurally connecting up its framework continuity and the discretion, dynamicity and static character, and also globality and the locality [5,6,12]. The hierarchical quality of gipercontinuum limits the applicability of the conventional principle of geometrization in physics and the connected with it ideas of symmetry in the geometry due to the introduction into theoretical physics of the ideas of hierarchical quality [7,13], effectiveness of which have approved we with the creation of the standard model of the protected automated system (EMZAS) and the mathematical apparatus of the EMZAS-networks [14].

In [10] is proposed new approach to the development of the mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another on the basis of giperkontinualnykh ideas about the space and in the time due to the improvement of differential calculus of the field functions under the assumption of their dependence on the speed of the motion of observer. Let us accept for the basis this approach.

4 III.

5 Mathematical Apparatus for the Transcoordinate Electrodynamics

Two inertial reference systems with the time united for them will examine t one of them (with the system of rectangular Cartesian space coordinates $OXYZ$) let us name laboratory (not shtrikhovannoy) and we will interpret it as relatively fixed. The second (with the system of rectangular Cartesian space coordinates $O'X'Y'Z'$)

let us name substantive (shtrikhovannoy) and we will interpret it as connected with the certain moving real or imaginary medium. Let us assume that with $t = t'$ the system of space coordinates of both frame of references they coincide. $v = v$

let us designate the velocity vector of the motion of substantive frame of reference relative to laboratory and the module of this vector. Directing a unit vector $1 e v$, we lengthwise have: $() v v = 1 e v$, $1 ? ? v v =$. Event in the data two frame of references takes the form $() () (1)$ or, otherwise, substituting vector idea by the component, $t x t, , ? = r x ; () () t x t, , ? ? = ? = r x l ? ? ? t v x x + ? =$. (2)

Classical physical field is described in the laboratory and substantive frame of references by its field functions $() t, ? r$ and $() t, , ? r v ? ?$, moreover $() () t t, ? , , ? r r 0 ? = ? ?$ and equality $0 v =$ indicates $0 = ? v$. Their values are called field variables. For pour on different physical nature they can be suitable the different mathematical ideas of field functions, so that field variables can be, for example, scalar or vector with the material or complex values of their most variable or vector components. If in the role of this field electric field comes out, then in this role can come out the functions of its tension $() t, ? r E = , () t, , ? r v E ? ? = ?$

and in the case of magnetic field we have functions of the magnetic induction $() t, ? r B = , () t, , ? r v B ? ? = ?$

In the classical nonrelativistic field theory it is considered that the equality occurs $() () t t t, , ? , ? r v v r ? ? = + ?$, (3)

mathematically expressing the physical concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer. In the theory of relativity (3) no longer it is carried out, but the Lorenz conversions are used instead of

The integral form of Maxwell equations in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside with the above-indicated conditions (isotropy, the uniformity of medium, the absence in it of dispersion) is the following system of four integral equations of the electrodynamics:
$$\oint_0 Q \, ?? = ?? \, s \, ds \, E; 0 = ?? \, s \, ds \, B; ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, s \, l \, ?? = ?? \, ?? \, B \, E; ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, c \, s \, l \, ? + = ?? \, ?? \, E \, B \, 0 \, 2 \, I \, ?? \, ? \mu, \quad (10)$$

where s, l -the arbitrary two-dimensional closed (for the first two equations) or open (for the second two equations) surface and its limiting locked outline, which not not compulsorily coincides with the electric circuit.

If we on Wednesday put the even additional condition of the absence of free charges and currents, then last two equations (10) will take the form:
$$?? \, ?? = ?? \, s \, l \, ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, B \, E, \, ?? \, ?? = ?? \, s \, l \, ds \, dt \, d \, c \, dl \, E \, B \, 2 \, ? \mu. \quad (11)$$

They are the integral form of the law of the induction of Faraday and circulation theorem of magnetic field in the laboratory frame of reference for this special case of medium.

These two laws take the mutually symmetrical form with an accuracy to of scalar factor, by virtue of which their analysis it is identical. Let us examine the first law in more detail, for example. In Faraday experiences it is experimentally established that in the outline the identical currents appear regardless of the fact, this outline relative to the current carrying outline does move or it rests, and the current carrying outline moves, provided their relative motion in both cases was identical (Galilean invariance of Faraday law). Therefore the flow through the outline can change as a result of a change of the magnetic field with time, and the position of its boundary also because with the displacement of outline changes [15]. The corresponding generalization of laws (11) to the case of the outline, which moves in the laboratory and which is rested in the substantive frame of reference, takes the form:
$$?? \, ?? = ?? \, s \, l \, ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, B \, E, \, ?? \, ?? = ?? \, s \, l \, ds \, dt \, d \, c \, dl \, E \, B \, 2 \, ? \mu, \quad (12)$$

where E, B are described fields in the element dl in the substantive frame of reference, i.e., in such inertial 12), and with their global trans-coordinateawn, ensured by use by the Galilean derivative (connected by them inertial reference systems they can move relative to each other with the arbitrary speed, and not compulsorily with infinitely small). Returning to the system of equations (10), it is possible to establish that the region of its applicability is limited by the requirement of the state of rest of outline l in the laboratory frame of reference. If we remove this limitation, after requiring only the states of rest of outline l in the substantive frame of reference, then will come out the known idea of Maxwell equations (we we call his trans-coordinate 10), integral form of which will be in it the system of the generalizing (10) four integral equations of the electrodynamics of the moving media:
$$\oint_0 Q \, ?? = ?? \, s \, ds \, E; 0 = ?? \, s \, ds \, B; ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, s \, l \, ?? = ?? \, ?? \, B \, E; ds \, dt \, d \, dl \, c \, s \, l \, ? + = ?? \, ?? \, E \, B \, 0 \, 2 \, I \, ?? \, ? \mu. \quad (13)$$

Equations (12) (13) are known in the classical electrodynamics [15,16]. Arises question, as to pass from the equations in the integral form (12) and (13) to the corresponding equations in the differential form adequate of physical reality by means.

The differential form of Maxwell equations in the idea of Hertz-Heaviside is following system of four of those corresponding to the integral equations (10) of the differential equations of electrodynamics, which relate to the laboratory frame of reference:
$$\oint_0 ? \, ?? = ?? \, E; 0 = ?? \, B; t \, ? \, ?? = \times ? \, B \, E; (\,) \, t \, c \, ? \, ? + = \times ? \, E \, j \, B \, 2 \, 0 \, ? \mu \, \mu \mu \quad (14).$$

Equations (14) traditionally successfully are used in the electrodynamics, but, as it will be shown below, they have essential deficiency -the region of their applicability it is limited by the case of agreeing the laboratory and substantive frame of references $\oint_0 = v$, i.e. these equations are deprived of the mathematical means of the adequate description of passage from one inertial reference system to another, completely tying process to one (laboratory) frame of reference.

In [15] based on the example of Faraday law is formulated the following approach to the passage from the integral to the differential form of equations electrodynamics: "Faraday law can be written down also in the differential form, if we use ourselves the Stokes' theorem and to consider outline as that being resting in the selected frame of reference (so that E and B they would be determined in one and the same frame of reference)". This approach answers the concept of the invariance of physical field relative to the speed of the motion of observer, assuming simple failure of the transcoordinateawn of equations by means of the application (9). But, rejecting this concept, it is necessary to reject this approach. Thus, the differential form of the corresponding equations must be the same transcoordinate as integral (12), (13).

In accordance with the given traditional approach, in [16] is introduced the operation of differentiation with respect to time in the moving (substantive) frame of reference, designated there through $t \, ? \, ??$. In this case it is secretly assumed that at the point of space, which in the substantive frame of reference has a radius-vector $r?$, measurement by field variable in the laboratory frame of reference equivalent to its measurement in the same substantive frame of reference. But these measurements are not equivalent out of the concept of the invariance of physical field relative to the speed of the motion of observer. Therefore measurement must be limited by laboratory frame of reference, not perenosya its results for the substantive. Thus, we come to the Galileo derivative (5), of the electrodynamics in the differential form leaving equations globally transcoordinate.

Unknown globally transcoordinate differential equations of electrodynamics, which correspond to integral equations (12) and which use the Galileo derivative: From Hertz-Heaviside Electrodynamics to the Trans-Coordinate Electrodynamics
$$?? \, ?? = ? \times ? \, B \, E, \, t \, c \, ? \, ?? = ? \times ? \, E \, B \, 2 \, ? \mu. \quad (15)$$

9 e XVI Issue II Version I

If the transcoordinate idea of the equations of Maxwell (both in that examined by integral and in that examined lower than the differential forms) to interpret in the context of the description of electromagnetic field in time-spatial gipercontinuum, then it is necessary to consider that the equalities (8) are always carried out, but (9) - in the general case no.

They are generalization to the case of the noncoincidence of the laboratory and substantive frame of references (0 v ?) of the known differential equations of Maxwell $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}$. (16)

The differential form of Maxwell equations in the trans-coordinate idea for the case of isotropic, homogeneous medium without the dispersion is the following system of four new globally trans-coordinate differential equations of the electrodynamics: (17) it passes in (14). In the particular case the absences of free charges and currents of equation (17)-(18) will take the form: (19) it passes into the wellknown system of equations of Maxwell: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}$. (20) With $\mathbf{j} = 0$ (17)-(18) will take the form: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$. (21) With $\mathbf{j} = 0$ (19)-(20) will take the form: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$. (22) With $\mathbf{j} = 0$ (21)-(22) will take the form: $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 0$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$. (23)

By the vector product of nabla to both parts of the equations (16) with their mutual substitution into each other obtains the known wave differential equations $\Delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}$, $\Delta \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2}$. (24)

Galilean derivative of field functions and generalizing equations (24) in the case $\mathbf{v} = 0$: $\Delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}$, $\Delta \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{B}}{\partial t^2}$. (25)

We investigate in more detail the equation of form (25) in connection with to arbitrary field functions $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, also, $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

for the case of plane wave with the wave vector, collinear to vector $\mathbf{v} = v \mathbf{e}_x$ and to axes OX, XO. $\mathbf{E} = E \mathbf{e}_y$, $\mathbf{B} = B \mathbf{e}_z$, coordinates along which are assigned by the variables x, t . In this case the equation proves to be one-dimensional, and field functions -scalar: $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}$, $\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}$. (26)

If we differentiate in the right side (26), this equation of signs the form: The absence of trans-coordinateawn is their drawback, they are valid only in the case of agreeing the laboratory and substantive frame of references $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}$, $\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}$. (27)

It is analogous, i.e., by the vector product of nabla to both parts of the equations (15) $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{j}$. (28) $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$. (29)

with the approximate values of the parameters ω , k , ϕ , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{a} -amplitude, angular frequency, the projection of wave vector on the axis OX and the initial phase of wave. In this case all waves (29) must have one and the same phase speed $v = \frac{\omega}{k}$.

, where k -wave number. We will search for function $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, satisfying (26)-(29), also in the form of simple harmonic wave, but with those depending on \mathbf{v} by the parameters ω , k , ϕ , \mathbf{v} , \mathbf{a} . (30) $\mathbf{E} = E \mathbf{e}_y \cos(kx - \omega t + \phi)$, $\mathbf{B} = B \mathbf{e}_z \cos(kx - \omega t + \phi)$, $\mathbf{v} = v \mathbf{e}_x \cos(kx - \omega t + \phi)$, $\mathbf{a} = a \mathbf{e}_x \cos(kx - \omega t + \phi)$. (31)

Equalizing the similar parameters of wave on the left side (31) and in the right, we have: $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$. (32) $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$, $\frac{\omega}{k} = v$. (33)

Thus, upon transfer from the laboratory to the substantive frame of reference change amplitude and frequency (32) of simple harmonic wave, and its wave number and module of initial phase (33) remain constant. In this case the frequency changes in such a way that phase wave velocity in the substantive frame of reference is obtained according to the classical summation rule of speeds from its phase speed in the laboratory frame of reference and speed of substantive frame of reference relative to the laboratory: $v = \frac{\omega}{k} = \frac{\omega'}{k'}$. (34)

From (32)-(34) it is evident that if the vector of phase wave velocity in the laboratory frame of reference coincides with the velocity vector of substantive frame of reference in it ($\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$), that in the substantive frame of reference wave generally disappears ($\mathbf{E} = 0$).

10 = ? v A

). Thus, in contrast to the theory of relativity, in the theory of gipercontinuum this wave always can be destroyed by the suitable selection of frame of reference. But if relative to laboratory frame of reference substantial frame of reference outdistances wave, then upon transfer from the laboratory frame of reference to the substantive the direction of propagation of wave changes by the opposite. If in the laboratory frame of reference wave is propagated in the positive direction, then upon transfer into the substantive it will satisfy wave equation (35), while if in the negative, then to the equation (36): $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}$, $\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}$. (35) $\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial t^2}$, $\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}$. (36)

347 The selection of inertial reference system to the role of laboratory is, generally speaking, conditional. Thus,
 348 substantial frame of reference it is possible in turn to accept for the laboratory, and in the role of substantial to
 349 examine certain by third (twice prime) inertial reference system with that directed to the same side, that also OX
 350 , ? with the speed v . Wave in the new laboratory and substantive frame of references will have an identical
 351 wave number and a module of initial phase and will be described by field functions $() t x v , , ? ? ?$ and $() t x$
 352 $v v , , ? ? ? ? + ?$
 353 respectively. The role of equation (28) plays (35) or (36), the role of the function of wave (29) -function (30),
 354 while the role of equations (??5), (36) -the following wave equations: $() () () () 2 2 2 2 , , ? , , ? t t x v v x$
 355 $t x v v v v c ? ? ? ? + ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? \mu ; (37) () () () 2 2 2 2 , , ? , , ? t t x v v x t x$
 356 $v v v v c ? ? ? ? + ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? + + ? \mu . (38)$
 357 For (37) the role of equalities (32), (33) play the following transformations of the parameters of the wave: $() ($
 358 $) () v A v c v v k v v A x ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? + ? ? 2 \operatorname{sgn} ? \mu ? \mu , () () () v v k v v v x ? ? ?$
 359 $? = ? + ? ? ? ? , (39) () () () ($
 360 $) v v k v v k v v k x x ? ? ? ? ? = ? + ? ? ? \operatorname{sgn} , () () () () v v k v v v x ? ? ? ? ? = ? + ? ? ?$
 361 $? ? \operatorname{sgn} . (40)$
 362 For (38) the corresponding (39)-(40) conversions of the parameters are determined analogously.
 363 Sequential passage from not shtrikhovanny to shtrikhovanny and is further to the twice shtrikhovanny
 364 frame of reference equivalent to direct passage from not shtrikhovanny to twice shtrikhovanny. For example,
 365 with $() 1 \operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{sgn} = ? x x k v k$ from (32), (39) it is possible to obtain $() () () A c v v v v A 2 1 ? + ? = ?$
 366 $+ ? ? ? \mu , (41)$
 367 which is obtained also upon direct transfer to the twice shtrikhovanny frame of reference, since (41) it is
 368 obtained from (32) by replacement v on $v v ? +$
 369 . In this case the role of equation (??7) plays $() () () t v t x v x v x t v t t v t x v x t x v v v c , , ? 2 , , ? ,$
 370 $, ? 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ? + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? + ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ?$
 371 $? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? \mu . (42)$
 372 For the derivatives of arbitrary n of order $() n n x t x v ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? , , ? () n n x t x v ? ? ? ? ? , , ?$
 373 it is possible to use a united designation $() n n x t x v v ? ? + ? ? , , ?$ and $() n n x t x v ? ? ? , , ? = , 1 n$
 374 $)$, respectively indicating simply derived on the second argument. In accordance with this, after substitution
 375 (35) in (42) we will obtain: $() () () t v t x v x v x t v t v t x v t x v v v v c , , ? 2 , , ? , , ? 2 2 2 2 2 2 ? + ? ?$
 376 $? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? \mu (43)$ Let $0 ? ? v$
 377 . Let us introduce one additional new derivative, which let us name trans-coordinate, and which in the case
 378 of the one-dimensional system of space coordinates takes the form: $() () () v t v t x v t x v v v t x v v ? ? + ?$
 379 $? ? + ? = ? ? ? ? ? , , ? , , ? \lim , , ? 0 . (44)$
 380 In the determination (44) of value $() t v t x v , , ? ? + ? () t x v v , , ? ? + ?$
 381 is described physical field at one and the same point of space, but in the different frame of references
 382 (shtrikhovanny and moving relative to it with speed v twice prime respectively). Within the framework
 383 they are equal to the concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer: $() () t x$
 384 $v v t v t x v , , ? , , ? ? + ? = ? + ? , (45)$
 385 the equalities (3) (45) making identical physical sense, but in connection with to the different pairs of frame
 386 of references. However, out of the framework of the indicated concept upon transfer from shtrikhovanny to
 387 the twice shtrikhovanny frame of reference the field function at the particular point of space experiences the
 388 increase, the limit of relation of which $k v$? with $0 ? ? v$ gives the trans-coordinate derivative (44). It is possible
 389 to generalize it to the case of the higher orders $(? = , 1 n () () v t x v v t x v ? ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? , , ? , , ? 1 1 ;$
 390 $() () () v v t v t x v v t x v v v t x v n n n v n n ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? + , , ? , ,$
 391 $? \lim , , ? 0 1 1 . (46)$
 392 Using trans-coordinate derivatives of the first two orders (46), it is possible to represent increase in the field
 393 function of in the form corresponding partial summation of series of Taylor: $() () () () 2 2 2 , , ? 2 1 , , ? , , ?$
 394 $, , ? v v t x v v v t x v t v t x v t x v v ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? ? ? ? ? + ? ? ? + ? (47)$
 395 Substituting (47) in (43), equalizing between themselves members with the identical degrees v ? in the left
 396 and right sides of the received equality, fixing $0 ? ? v$, taking into account that the fact that in this case $() () t$
 397 $x v t v t x v , , ? , , ? ? ? ? + ?$
 398 and by adding equality (35) in the new form of record (with the use by variable x instead of $x?$, we will
 399 obtain the following system of three equations: Thus, is proposed the new approach to the development of the
 400 mathematical apparatus for electrodynamics in the direction of the more adequate description of passage from
 401 one inertial reference system to another on the basis of gipercontinual ideas about the space and in the time due
 402 to the introduction into the examination of the globally and locally transcoordinate equations, which use new
 403 Galilean and trans-coordinate derivatives of field functions, and also the new differential of Dubrovin operator,
 404 which generalizes d'Alembert operator. This approach leads to the reformulation of electrodynamics with the
 405 passage from the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside to the new transcoordinate. In this case immediately
 406 arise the question about what form they have conversions of electromagnetic field upon transfer from one inertial
 407 $() () () () () ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ?$
 408 $? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? = ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? ? t x v v t x v v c t t x v v x t x v v c t t x v x t x v v c , , ? 2 , , ?$
 409 $, , , ? 2 , , ? , , ? , , ? () 0 , , ? 2 2 2 \operatorname{sgn} 2 2 2 = ?$



Figure 1:

From Hertz-Heaviside Electrodynamics to the Trans-Coordinate Electrodynamics
Year 2016
33
XVI Issue II Version I
of Researches in Engineering F () Vol ume
Global Journal

[Note: © 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)]

Figure 2:

$$10 = ? \text{ V A}$$

In (??6)-(67) the values ()

is described the electromagnetic field at one and the same point of space (medium), but in the different frame of references (shtrikhovannoy and by twice shtrikhovannoy). Within the framework they are equal to the concept of the invariance of field relative to the speed of the motion of observer:

the equalities (9) (68) making identical physical sense, but in connection with to the different pairs of frame of references. However, out of the framework of the indicated concept upon transfer from shtrikhovannoy to the twice shtrikhovannoy frame of reference the field function at the particular point of space experiences the increase, the limit of relation of which $k v$? with 0 ? v gives that for the first time introduced into 10 the trans-coordinate derivative of the field function:

In the vector form the same conversions take the following form:

It is easy to see that the conversions (82)-(??8) are known Mende conversions.

V.

1 Conclusion

Thus, the Mende conversions obtain a sufficient theoretical substantiation within the framework of the trans-coordinate formulation of electrodynamics, connected with the gipercontinual ideas about the space and the time, and also with the concept not of the invariance of electric charge relative to the speed of the motion of observer. Together with that been in [9] direct experimental confirmation of the concept not of the invariance of electric charge, this is convincing evidence of their larger adequacy of physical reality on the comparison not only with the classical, but also with the relativistic conversions of electromagnetic field, or the convincing evidence of the justification of the transfer of electrodynamics from the traditional formulation of Hertz-Heaviside to the trans-coordinate. The sequential development of transcoordinate electrodynamics is capable of not only deriving on the new qualitative level of idea about the space and the time, but also of opening the fundamentally new horizons of the development engineering and technologies due to the discovery and the mastery of new physical phenomena and effects.

2 Proceedings of Voronezh Institute of High

[Shimoni ()] , K Shimoni . *Theoretical electrical Engineering. Moscow: Mir* 1964. 775.

[Dubrovin ()] ‘Algebraic properties of the functions of the one-dimensional sine waves and the spacetime // Proceedings of Voronezh State University’. A S Dubrovin . *Series: Physics. Mathematics* 2013. (1) p. .

[Dubrovin ()] ‘Application of the principle of hierarchy in computer science to representations about space’. A S Dubrovin . URL:www.science-sd.com/456-24490 *International Journal Of Applied And Fundamental Research* 2014. (1) .

[Boss ()] V Boss . *Mathematical physics equations. Moscow: LIBROKOM*, 2009. 224.

[Jackson ()] *Classical electrodynamics. Moscow: Mir*, J Jackson . 1965. 703.

[Dubrovin] *From the protected system standard model to the common space-time theory // Proceedings of Voronezh Institute of High Technologies*, A S Dubrovin . 2010 p. .

[Dubrovin et al. ()] *General scientific results of protected system standard model creation // The Fundamental Researches*, A S Dubrovin , A V Skrypnikov , T V Lyutova , E V Glazkova , E V Chernyshova . 2015. 15 p. .

[Logunov ()] ‘Lectures on the theory of relativity and gravitation: a modern analysis of the problem’. ? ? Logunov . *Nauka* 1987. 272.

[Mende ()] F F Mende . *Mechanical and Thermal Electrization Metal, Dielectrics and Plasma // International Journal of Modern Physics and Application*, 2015. 2 p. .

[Dubrovin ()] ‘Mende transformations in the transcoordinate electrodynamics’. A S Dubrovin . *International Journal of Applied and Fundamental Research* 2015. (12) p. .

[Dubrovin ()] *Models and methods of information processes reliability complex maintenance in critical application systems: dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Technical Sciences*, A S Dubrovin . 2011. Voronezh, VSU Publ. 433.

[Mende ()] *On the question of the origin of the secondary electric fields while flowing through superconductors direct currents*, F F Mende . 1988. Kharkiv. (33 p. Number 774-788 Dep. VINITI)

[Chernyshova and Glazkova ()] *Protected system standard model creation in the context of natural science paradigm shift // Modern problems of science and education*, E V Chernyshova , E V Glazkova . URL : www.science-education.ru/121-18620 2015.

[Kocik ()] ‘Relativistic observer and Maxwell’s equations: an example of a non-principal Ehresmann connection’. J Kocik . *UIUC* 1998. Department of Physics (Preprint P-98-10-029)

[Maxwell ()] ‘Selected works on the theory of the electric field’. J C Maxwell . *State publishing technical and theoretical literature*, 1954.

- 501 [Dubrovin et al. ()] *Space-time and the information science: from criticism of the continuum to criticism of the*
502 *geometrization principle // The Fundamental Researches*, A S Dubrovin , S Khabibulina , Yu . 2014. 4 p. .
- 503 [Dubrovin ()] 'Transcoordinate electrodynamics in the space-time hypercontinuum'. A S Dubrovin . / *Interna-*
504 *tional Journal of Applied and Fundamental Research* 2015. (12) p. .