

Techniques in Image Classification; A Survey

Mr. S.V.S.Prasad¹, Dr. T. Satya savithri² and Dr. Iyyanki V. Murali Krishna³

¹ MLRIT

Received: 8 February 2015 Accepted: 28 February 2015 Published: 15 March 2015

Abstract

This paper reviews on the current trends, problems and prospects of image classification including the factors affecting it. By the end of the session we will be summarizing the popular advanced classification approaches and methods that are used to improve classification accuracy. The main motive of this review is to suggest a suitable image processing procedure in order to have a successful classification of remotely sensed data into a thematic map.

Index terms— image classification, remote sensed data (rs), training samples (ts), isodata

1 Introduction

Image classification plays an important role in environmental and socioeconomic applications. In order to improve the classification accuracy, scientists have laid path in developing the advanced classification techniques. [1-9] However, classifying a remotely sensed data into a thematic map is still a nightmare because of the following factors such as landscape complexity, image sensing and processing and classification approaches. The review concentrates on recent classification approaches and techniques which are often not available.

2 a) Remote sensing classification process

RS classification is generally a complex procedure which needs many factors to be considered. This procedure includes following steps that begins with the identification of suitable classification system, choosing appropriate training samples, processing of an image and extracting its features, applying a right and indeed classification method, post classification and accuracy assessments.

Airborne and space borne sensor data comes under RS data stream, which varies in spatial, radiometric, spectral and temporal resolutions. In order to have better image classification a suitable RS data needs to be collected, which depends upon strength and weakness of sensor data. In literature the characteristics of remotely sensed data is summarized by [10], [11] in spectral, radio metric, spatial and temporal resolutions with polarization and angularity.

It is preferred to consider the factors while selecting suitable sensor data as per the user's need, which includes scaling, study area characteristics, availability of various image data and their characteristics, cost, time constraints and analyst's experience in using selected images. Scaling determines the study area; earlier research encountered a problem of image resolution of remotely sensed data in classification. In regular practice, a fine-scale classification system is adopted in order to achieve high spatial resolution data. For example, IKONS and SPOT 5 HRG are at regional level medium spatial resolution data. However, the influence of atmospheric conditions in moist and tropical regions cannot be neglected and they are often an obstacle for capturing the high quality sensor data. Therefore, it always proves to be beneficiary to have multiple sources of sensor data.

3 c) Selection of classification system and training samples

A better classification can be achieved only when we consider a suitable classification system with sufficient number of training samples. Generally, in a wide variety of applications we adopt hierarchy classification systems because different conditions are taken into account. A classification system should consider spatial resolution of selected RS data, compatibility with its previous work, image processing and classification algorithm availability

43 and time constraints. The ultimate goal of choosing any classification system is to satisfy the need of an end
44 user.

45 The image classification broadly depends on number of training samples and their representativeness. Training
46 samples can be prepared by fieldwork or it can also be obtained from other means such as aerial photographs of
47 fine spatial resolution and satellite images. The results of the classification are affected by the selection of training
48 data, which generally may be based on single pixel, seed or polygon, also affected by fine spatial resolution image
49 data if proper care is not taken. If coarse resolution data is used for classification data then the selection of TS
50 becomes tedious under complex and heterogeneous case studies as it contains large volumes of mixed pixels.

51 4 d) Data Preprocessing

52 The image preprocessing is a technique which includes detection, restoration of bad lines, geometric rectification,
53 radio metric calibration, atmospheric and topographic correction. If data is collected from different sources,
54 it is necessary to check the quality before stepping into classification. If the single data image is utilized in
55 classification atmospheric corrections may not be required but on the other hand it becomes mandatory for a
56 multi-sensor data. A variety of correction techniques are presented ??12] ??13] ??14] ??15] ??16] ??17] ??18]
57 ??19] ??20] ??21] ??22] ??23]. If the study area includes rugged or mountainous regions a topographic correction
58 is needed, which is detailed ??24] ??25] ??26] ??27] ??28] ??29] ??30].

59 5 e) Feature Extraction and Selection

60 The quality of an image classification depends on the selection of suitable variables. A variety of variables used
61 in classification includes spectrum signature, vegetation indices, transformed images, textual information, multi
62 temporal images, multi sensor images and ancillary data. The process of feature extraction is needed in order to
63 minimize data redundancy in remotely sensed data or to excavate specific land cover information, that includes
64 principle component analysis, minimum noise fraction transform discriminant analysis, decision boundary, feature
65 extraction, non parametric weighted feature extraction, wavelet transform and spectral mixture analysis.

66 6 f) Selection of suitable classification method

67 The question of choosing a classification method is ambiguous because many factors such as spatial resolution
68 of RD, multi-sensor data, availability of different classification software are involved. Each classification method
69 has its own merits and demerits.

70 7 g) Post classification processing

71 Classification confusions arise in the regions such as urban areas, for example, consider between commercial and
72 high intensity residential areas or between recreational grass and crops. In present example to reduce classification
73 confusions we need to consider the property of spectral signature because it is similar to commercial and high
74 intensity residential areas but on the other hand their population densities are different. Pasture and crops are
75 largely located away from residential areas with sparse houses and low population densities, at this stage expert
76 knowledge can be developed based on the relationship between housing or population densities and urban land
77 use classes to help separate recreational grass from pasture and crops.

78 8 II.

79 9 Evaluation of Classification Performance

80 Evaluating the classified results is an important step in classification procedure. The evaluation process
81 may include qualitative evaluation based on expert knowledge to quantitative accuracy based on sampling
82 strategies. The classification accuracy assessment is the most common approach for the evaluation of classification
83 performance ??31] ??32].

84 10 a) Classification of accuracy assessment

85 By the knowledge of sources of errors, classification accuracy assessment can be implemented in addition to
86 classification error, position error, which resulting from registration, interpolating error and poor quality of
87 training which may affect the classification accuracy. The classification accuracy assessment includes three basic
88 steps 1.Sampling design, 2.Response design,3. Estimation and Analysis procedures

89 11 b) Advanced classification procedures

90 The advanced classification procedures such as neural networks, fuzzy sets and expert systems are highly
91 applied for image classification. In general image classification approaches it can be grouped as supervised
92 or unsupervised, parametric and nonparametric or hard and soft classifiers or per pixel, sub pixel, per field.
93 Table provides brief description of these categories.

12 c) Use of multiple features of remote sensed data

Any remote sensed data generally contains many unique and special spectral radio metric temporal and polarization characteristics; the effective use of these features can improve the classification accuracy. The summary of table 3 presents the research efforts in order to improve the classification accuracy by considering the features of remote sensed data.

13 III.

14 Discussions a) Uncertainties in image classification

Uncertainties in image classification occur at different stages, influence classification accuracy. Improving and understanding the stages those contribute to uncertainty results in quality image classification.

15 b) Impact of spatial resolution

Spatial resolution is an important factor that affects classification details and accuracy, which influences the selection of a classification approach. Various reduction techniques have been developed and presented by different authors in their literature.

16 c) Selection of suitable variables

In practice, making a complete use of multiple features of different sensor data, implementing feature extraction and selecting variables as input for a classification procedure becomes important.

IV.

17 Conclusion

This study helps upcoming scientists and researchers for opting a suitable classification procedure in their specific study. In our presentation we have concentrated extensively on the work done from the past decade that includes 1. Development and advanced classification algorithms such as sub pixel, per field and acknowledged based classification algorithms; 2. We have considered various remote sensing features including spectral, spatial, multi temporal and multi sensor information; 3. Incorporating an ancillary data into classification procedures that includes topography, soil, road and census data. [124], [125] [107], [126], [97], [127], [128] Visual fuzzy classification based on use of exploratory and interactive visualization techniques [129] Multi temporal classification based on decision fusion [130] Supervised classification with ongoing learning capability based on nearest neighbor rule [131] Combinative approaches of multiple classifiers Multiple classifier system (BAGFS: combines bootstrap aggregating with multiple feature subsets) [132] A consensus builder to adjust classification output (MLC, expert system, and neural network) [133] Integrated expert system and neural network classifier [133] Improved neuro-fuzzy image classification system, Spectral and contextual classifiers, Mixed contextual and per-pixel classification, Combination of iterated contextual probability classifier and MLC [134],[116], [135], [136] Combination of neural network and statistical consensus theoretic classifiers [137] Combination of MLC and neural network using Bayesian techniques [138] Combining

18 Use of textures

First-, second-, and third-order statistics in the spatial domain; texture features from the texture spectrum and from grey level different vector [144] Grey-level co-occurrence matrices(GLCM) [145], [146], [147], [148], [149] Co-occurrence matrices, grey-level difference, texture-tone analysis ,features derived from Fourier spectrum, and Gabor filters [150] GLCM, grey level difference histogram, sum and different histogram [151], [152] Fractal information [153], [154] Triangulated primitive neighborhood method, Semi variogram, Geo statistical analysis, Gabor filtering [155], [156], [157], [158] [194], [195], [109], [196], [197], [97], [198], [199] Hyper -spectral data AVIRIS [200], [201], [202], [203], [204], [205] HyMap hyper spectral digital data, DAIS hyper spectral data, EO-1 Hyperion, Data obtained from Field Spec Pro FR spectro radiometer [127] [206] [207], [208] Based on topography, Based on census data, Based on illumination and ecological zone, Based on shape index of the Patches [215], [210], [216], [217] Post classification processing Kernel-based spatial reclassification [218] Using zoning and housing density data to modify the initial classification result, Using contextual correction, [213], [219] Using filtering based on co occurrence Matrix, Using polygon and rectangular mode filters, Using expert system to perform post classification sorting, Using knowledge-based system to correct misclassification [220], [221], [222], [223] Use of multisource data Spectral, texture, and ancillary data (such as DEM, soil, existing GIS-based maps)

[123], [224], [225], [137],[226],

[227],[7], [228] References Références Referencias ^{1 2 3}

¹© 20 15 Global Journals Inc. (US)

²© 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)

³F e XV Issue VI Version I Techniques in Image Classification; A Survey

1

Criteria	Categories	Characteristics	Example of classifiers
Whether training samples are used or not	<p>Supervised Land cover classes are defined. Sufficient reference data is available and used as training samples. The signatures generated from the training samples are then used to train the classifier to classify the spectral data into a thematic map</p> <p>Unsupervised Clustering-based algorithms are used to partition the spectral image into a number of spectral classes based on the statistical information inherent in the image. No prior definitions of the classes are used. The analyst is responsible for labeling and merging the spectral classes into meaningful classes.</p>	<p>Maximum likelihood, minimum distance, artificial neural network, decision tree</p>	<p>classifier.</p>
Whether parametric or non-parametric classifiers are used	<p>Parametric Gaussian distribution is assumed. The classifier parameters (e.g. mean vector and covariance matrix) are often generated from training samples. When landscape is complex, parametric classifiers often produce 'noisy' results. Another major drawback is that it is difficult to integrate ancillary data, spatial and contextual attributes, and non-statistical information into a classification procedure.</p> <p>Non-Parametric No assumption about the data is required. Non-parametric classifiers do not employ statistical parameters to calculate class separation and are especially suitable for incorporation of non-remote-sensing data into a classification procedure.</p>	<p>Maximum likelihood, linear discriminant analysis.</p>	<p>ISODATA, K-means clustering algorithm</p>
Which kind of pixel classifiers	<p>Traditional classifiers typically develop a signature by combining the spectra of all</p>	<p>Artificial neural network, decision tree classifier, evidential reasoning, support vector machine, expert system.</p> <p>Most of the classifiers, such as maxi-</p>	<p>Artificial neural network, decision tree classifier, evidential reasoning, support vector machine, expert system.</p> <p>Most of the classifiers, such as maxi-</p>

2

Category	Advanced classifiers	References
Per-pixel algorithms	Neural network	[33], [34], [35],[36], [37], [38], [39], [40], [41], [42], [43]
	Decision tree classifier, Spectral angle classifier, Supervised iterative classification (multistage classification)	[44], [45], [46],[47], [32],[8],[48],[49],[50], [51],[4]
	Enhancement-classification approach,MFM-5-Scale (Multiple-Forward-Mode approach to running the 5-Scale geometric-optical reflectance model)	[52],[53]
	Iterative partially supervised classification based on a combined use of a Radial Basis Function network and a Markov Random Field approach	[54]
	Classification by progressive generalization Support vector machine	[31],[55], [56], [57], [58],[59],[60], [61], [62], [63]
	Unsupervised classification based on independent component analysis mixture model, Optimal iterative unsupervised Model-based unsupervised classification, Linear constrained discriminant analysis	[64],[65], [66]
	Multispectral classification based on probability density functions,	[67], [68] ,[69], [70]
	Layered classification, Nearest-neighbor classification, Selected pixel classification	[71],[72],[73][74],[75],[76], [77]
Sub pixel algorithms		

[Note: Imagine sub pixel classifier, Fuzzy classifier, Fuzzy expert system [78], [3],[79],[80],[81], [82] Fuzzy neural network, Fuzzy-based multi sensor data fusion classifier, Rule-based machine-version approach [3], [83],[84], [80], [85], [86], [87] Linear regression or linear least squares inversion [88],[89] [120],[121],[122], [123],[7].]

Figure 2: Table 2 :

3

Method	Features	References
--------	----------	------------

Figure 3: Table 3 :

4

Method	Features	References
Use of ancillary data	DEM Topography, land use, and soil Maps Road density, Road coverage, Census data	[209] [210] [211] [212] [213], [214] [173]
Stratification		

Figure 4: Table 4 :

- 144 [International Journal of Remote Sensing] , *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 25 p. .
- 145 [Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing] , *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 62 p.
- 146 .
- 147 [Hurtt et al. ()] , G Hurtt , X Xiao , M Keller , M Palace , G P Asner , R Braswell , E S Brondizio , M Cardoso
- 148 , C J R Carvalho , M G Fearon , L Guild , D Lu , Q Weng , Hagen , S Hetrick , S Moore Iii , B Nobre ,
- 149 C Read , J M Sa , T Schloss , A Vourlitis , G Wickel , AJ . 2003. 88 p. . IKONOS imagery for the Large
- 150 ScaleBiosphere-Atmosphere Experiment in Amazonia (LBA). *Remote Sensing of Environment*
- 151 [Platt and Goetz ()] ‘A comparison of AVIRIS and Land sat for land use classification at the urban fringe’. R V
- 152 Platt , A F H Goetz . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2004. 70 p. .
- 153 [Lloyd et al. ()] ‘A comparison of texture measures for the per-field classification of Mediterranean land cover’. C
- 154 D Lloyd , S Berberoglu , P J Curran , P M Atkinson . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2004. 25 p. .
- 155 [Lo and Choi ()] *A hybrid approach to urban land use/cover mapping using Landsat 7*, C P Lo , J Choi . 2004.
- 156 [Solberg et al. ()] ‘A Markov random field model for classification of multisource satellite imagery’. A H S Solberg
- 157 , T Taxt , A K Jain . *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 1996. 34 p. .
- 158 [Low et al. ()] ‘A neural network land use classifier for SAR images using textural and fractal information’. H K
- 159 Low , H T Chuah , H T Ewe . *Geocarto International* 1999. 14 p. .
- 160 [Lunetta et al. ()] ‘A quantitative assessment of a combined spectral and GIS rulebased land-cover classification
- 161 in the Neuse river basin of North Carolina’. R S Lunetta , J Ediriwckrema , J Iames , D M Johnson , J G
- 162 Lyon , A Mckerrow , A Pilant . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2003. 69 p. .
- 163 [Zhang and Wang ()] ‘A rule-based urban land use inferring method for fine resolution multispectral imagery’.
- 164 Q Zhang , J Wang . *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 2003. 29 p. .
- 165 [Van Der Sande et al. ()] ‘A segmentation and classification approach of IKONOS-2 imagery for land cover
- 166 mapping to assist flood risk and flood damage assessment’. C J Van Der Sande , S M De Jong , A P J
- 167 Roo . *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geo information* 2003. 4 p. .
- 168 [Hung and Ridd ()] ‘A subpixel classifier for urban land-cover mapping based on a maximum-likelihood approach
- 169 and expert system rules’. M Hung , M K Ridd . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2002. 68
- 170 p. .
- 171 [Thenkabail et al. ()] ‘Accuracy assessments of hyperspectral waveband performance for vegetation analysis
- 172 applications’. P S Thenkabail , E A Enclona , M S Ashton , B Van Der Meer . *Remote Sensing of Environment*
- 173 2004a. 91 p. .
- 174 [Soares et al. ()] ‘An investigation of the selection of texture features for crop discrimination using SAR imagery’.
- 175 J V Soares , C D Renno , A R Formaggio , C C F Yanasse , A C Frery . *Remote Sensing of Environment*
- 176 1997. 59 p. .
- 177 [Baraldi and Parmiggiani ()] ‘An investigation of the textural characteristics associated with gray level cooc-
- 178 currence matrix statistical parameters’. A Baraldi , F Parmiggiani . *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and*
- 179 *Remote Sensing* 1995. 33 p. .
- 180 [Hay et al. ()] ‘An object-specific image-texture analysis of H-resolution forest imagery’. G J Hay , K O Niemann
- 181 , G F Mclean . *Remote Sensing of Environment* 1996. 55 p. .
- 182 [Podest and Saatchi ()] ‘Application of multiscale texture in classifying JERS-1 radar data over tropical
- 183 vegetation’. E Podest , S Saatchi . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2002. 23 p. .
- 184 [Lein ()] ‘Applying evidential reasoning methods to agricultural land cover classification’. J K Lein . *International*
- 185 *Journal of Remote Sensing* 2003. 24 p. .
- 186 [Pal and Mather ()] ‘Assessment of the effectiveness of support vector machines for hyper spectral data’. M Pal
- 187 , P M Mather . *Future Generation Computer System* 2004. 20 p. .
- 188 [Butusov ()] O B Butusov . *Textural classification of forest types from Land sat 7 imagery. Mapping Sciences*
- 189 *and Remote Sensing*, 2003. 40 p. .
- 190 [Benediktsson et al. ()] ‘Classification and feature extraction of AVIRIS data’. J A Benediktsson , J R Sveinsson
- 191 , K Arnason . *IEEE Transactions on Geo science and Remote Sensing* 1995. 33 p. .
- 192 [Tansey et al. ()] ‘Classification of forest volume resources using ERS JERS -1 L -band SAR images’. K J Tansey
- 193 , A J Luckman , L Skinner , H Balzter , T Strozzi , W Wagner . *International Journal of Remote Sensing*
- 194 2004. 22 p. .
- 195 [Jimenez et al. ()] ‘Classification of hyper dimensional data based on feature and decision fusion approaches
- 196 using projection pursuit, majority voting, and neural networks’. L O Jimenez , A Morales-Morell , A Creus .
- 197 *analysis of AVIRIS data. Remote Sensing of Environment* 1999. 37 p. . (IEEE Transactions on Geo science
- 198 and Remote Sensing)

- 199 [Maselli et al. ()] 'Classification of Mediterranean vegetation by TM and ancillary data for the evaluation of fire
200 risk'. F Maselli , A Rodolfi , L Bottai , S Romanelli , C Conese . *International Journal of Remote Sensing*
201 2000. 21 p. .
- 202 [Benediktsson and Kanellopoulos ()] 'Classification of multisource and hyperspectral data based on decision
203 fusion'. J A Benediktsson , I Kanellopoulos . *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 1999. 37
204 p. .
- 205 [Steele ()] 'Combining multiple classifiers: an application using spatial and remotely sensed information for land
206 cover type mapping'. B M Steele . *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2000. 74 p. .
- 207 [Huang and Lees ()] 'Combining nonparametric models for multisource predictive forest mapping'. Z Huang , B
208 G Lees . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2004. 70 p. .
- 209 [Wang et al. ()] *Comparison of IKONOS and Quick Bird images for mapping mangrove species on the Caribbean*
210 *coast of panama. remote Sensing of Environment*, L Wang , W P Sousa , P Gong , G S Biging . 2004. 91 p. .
- 211 [Groom et al. ()] 'Contextual correction: techniques for improving land cover mapping from remotely sensed
212 images'. G B Groom , R M Fuller , A R Jones . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 1996. 17 p. .
- 213 [Amarsaikhan and Douglas ()] 'Data fusion and multisource image classification'. D Amarsaikhan , T Douglas .
214 *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2004. 25 p. .
- 215 [Jeon and Landgrebe ()] 'Decision fusion approaches for multitemporal classification'. B Jeon , D A Landgrebe .
216 *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 1999. 37 p. .
- 217 [Onsi ()] 'Designing a rulebased classifier using syntactical approach'. H M Onsi . *International Journal of Remote*
218 *Sensing* 2003. 24 p. .
- 219 [Apan et al. ()] 'Detecting sugarcane 'orange rust'disease using EO-1 Hyperion hyperspectral imagery'. A Apan
220 , A Held , S Phinn , J Markley . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2004. 25 p. .
- 221 [Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM +) images International Journal of Remote Sensing] 'Enhanced
222 Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM +) images'. *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 25 p. .
- 223 [Conese and Maselli ()] 'Evaluation of contextual, per-pixel and mixed classification procedures applied to a
224 subtropical landscape'. C Conese , F Maselli . *Remote Sensing Reviews* 1994. 9 p. .
- 225 [Nyoungui et al. ()] 'Evaluation of speckle filtering and texture analysis methods for land cover classification
226 from SAR images'. A Nyoungui , E Tonye , A Akono . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2002. 23 p. .
- 227 [Warrender and Augusteihn ()] 'Fusion of image classification using Bayesian techniques with Markov random
228 fields'. C E Warrender , M F Augusteihn . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 1999. 20 p. .
- 229 [Segl et al. ()] 'Fusion of spectral and shapefeatures for identification of urban surface cover types using reflective
230 and thermallyhyperspectral data'. K Segl , S Roessner , U Heiden , H Kaufmann . *ISPRS Journal of*
231 *Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 2003. 58 p. .
- 232 [Zhang et al. ()] *Geostatistical and texture analysis of airborneacquired images used in forest classification*, C
233 Zhang , S E Franklin , M A Wulder . 2004.
- 234 [Shaban and Dikshit ()] 'Improvement of classification in urban areas by the use of textural features: the case
235 study of Lucknow city'. M A Shaban , O Dikshit . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2001. 22 p. .
- 236 [Stallings et al. ()] 'Incorporating ancillary data into alogical filter for classified satellite imagery'. C Stallings ,
237 S Khorram , R L Huffman . *Geocarto International* 1999. 14 p. .
- 238 [Barnsley and Barr ()] *Inferring urban land use from satellite sensor imagesusing kernel-based spatial reclassifi-*
239 *cation*, M J Barnsley , S L Barr . 1996.
- 240 [Liu et al. ()] 'Integration of classification methods for improvement of land-cover map accuracy'. X Liu , A K
241 Skidmore , H V Oosten . *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 2002b. 56 p. .
- 242 [Lucieer and Kraak ()] 'Interactive and visual fuzzy classification of remotely sensed imagery for exploration of
243 uncertainty'. A Lucieer , M Kraak . *International Journal of Geographic Information Science* 2004. 18 p. .
- 244 [Kurosu et al. ()] *Land use classification with textural analysis and the aggregation technique using multi-temporal*,
245 T Kurosu , S Yokoyama , K Chiba . 2001.
- 246 [Bronge ()] 'Mapping boreal vegetation using Landsat TM and topographic mapdata in a stratified approach'.
247 L B Bronge . *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing* 1999. 25 p. .
- 248 [Schmidt et al. ()] 'Mapping coastal vegetation using an expert system and hyperspectral imagery'. K S Schmidt
249 , A K Skidmore , E H Kloosterman , H Van Oosten , L Kumar , J A M Janssen . *Photogrammetric Engineering*
250 *and Remote Sensing* 2004. 70 p. .
- 251 [Baban and Yusof ()] 'Mapping land use/cover distribution on amountainous tropical island using remote sensing
252 and GIS'. S M J Baban , K W Yusof . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2001. 22 p. .

- 253 [Helmer et al. ()] ‘Mapping montane tropical forest successional stage and land use with multi-date Landsat
254 imagery’. E H Helmer , S Brown , W B Cohen . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2000. 21 p. .
- 255 [Kokalya et al. ()] ‘Mapping vegetation in Yellowstone National Park using spectral feature imagery to improve
256 classification in an urban area’. R F Kokalya , D G Despain , R N Clark , K E Livo . *Photogrammetric
257 Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2003. 61 p. .
- 258 [Stefanov et al. ()] ‘Monitoring urban land coverchange: an expert system approach to land cover classification
259 of semiarid to aridurban centers’. W L Stefanov , M S Ramsey , P R Christensen . *Remote Sensing of
260 Environment* 2001. 77 p. .
- 261 [Bruzzone et al. ()] ‘Multisource classification of complex rural areas by statistical and neural-network ap-
262 proaches’. L Bruzzone , C Conese , F Maselli , F Roli . *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote
263 Sensing* 1997. 63 p. . (Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing)
- 264 [Chen et al. ()] ‘Neural classification of SPOT imagery through integration of intensity and fractal information’.
265 K S Chen , S K Yen , D W Tsay . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 1997. 18 p. .
- 266 [Qiu and Jensen ()] ‘Opening the black box of neural networks for remote sensing image classification’. F Qiu ,
267 J R Jensen . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2004. 25 p. .
- 268 [Zhang ()] ‘Optimisation of building detection in satellite images by combining multispectral classification and
269 texture filtering’. Y Zhang . *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 1999. 54 p. .
- 270 [Peddle and Ferguson ()] ‘Optimization of multisource data analysis: an example using evidential reasoning for
271 GIS data classification’. D R Peddle , D T Ferguson . *Computers & Geosciences* 2002. 28 p. .
- 272 [Augusteijn et al. ()] ‘Performance evaluation of texture measures for ground cover identification in satellite
273 images by means of a neural network classifier’. M F Augusteijn , L E Clemens , K A Shaw . *IEEE Transactions
274 on Geo science and Remote Sensing* 1995. 33 p. .
- 275 [Harris et al. ()] ‘Practical limits on hyperspectral vegetation discrimination in arid and semiarid environments’.
276 P M Harris , S J Ventura , G S Okin , D A Roberts , B Murray , W J Okin . *Remote Sensing of Environment*
277 1995. 2001. 77 p. . (The integration of geographic data with remotelysensed 202)
- 278 [Murai and Omatu ()] ‘Remote sensing image analysis using a neural network and knowledge-based processing’.
279 H Murai , S Omatu . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 1997. 18 p. .
- 280 [Erikson ()] ‘Species classification of individually segmented tree crowns in high resolution aerial images using
281 radiometric and morphologic image measures’. M Erikson . *Remote Sensing of Environment* 2004. 91 p. .
- 282 [Lu and Weng ()] ‘Spectral mixture analysis of the urban landscapes in Indianapolis with Landsat ETM+
283 imagery’. D Lu , Q Weng . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2004. 70 p. .
- 284 [Barandela and Juarez ()] ‘Supervised classification of remotely sensed data with ongoing learning capability’. R
285 Barandela , M Juarez . *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2002. 23 p. .
- 286 [Hodgson et al. ()] *Synergistic use lidar and color aerial photography for mapping urban parcel imperviousness.*
287 *photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, M E Hodgson , J R Jensen , J A Tullis , K D Riordan ,
288 C M Archer . 2003. 69 p. .
- 289 [Epstein et al. ()] ‘Techniques for mapping suburban sprawl’. J Epstein , K Payne , E Kramer . *Photogrammetric
290 Engineering and Remote Sensing* 2002. 68 p. .
- 291 [Narasimha et al. ()] ‘Textural analysis of IRS-1D panchromatic data for land cover classification’. Narasimha ,
292 P V Rao , M V R Sessa Sai , K Sreenivas , M V Rao , B R M Rao , R S Dwivedi , L Venkataratnam .
293 *International Journal of Remote Sensing* 2002. 23 p. .
- 294 [Debeir et al. ()] ‘Textural and contextual land-cover classification using single and multiple classifier systems’.
295 O Debeir , Van Den , I Steen , P Latinne , P Van Ham , E Wolff . *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote
296 Sensing* 2002. 68 p. .
- 297 [Carr and Miranda ()] ‘The semivariogram in comparison to the co-occurrence matrix for classification of image
298 texture’. J R Carr , F P Miranda . *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 1998. 36 p. .
- 299 [Mesev ()] ‘The use of census data in urban image classification’. V Mesev . *Photogrammetric Engineering and
300 Remote Sensing* 1998. 64 p. .
- 301 [Zhang et al. ()] ‘Urban built-up land change detection with road density and spectral information from
302 multitemporal LandsatTM data’. Q Zhang , J Wang , X Peng , P Gong , P Shi . *International Journal
303 of Remote Sensing* 2002. 23 p. .
- 304 [Narumalani et al. ()] ‘Utilizing geometric attributes of spatial linformation to improve digital image classifica-
305 tion’. S Narumalani , Y Zhou , D E Jelinski . *Remote Sensing Reviews* 1998. 16 p. .