Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

1	FEM Analysis of Integral Abutment Bridges with Fixed and
2	Pinned Pile Head Connections
3	Yamuna Bhagwat ¹ , R.V.Raikar ² and Nikhil Jambhale ³
4	¹ MBEs College of Engineering
5	Received: 8 June 2015 Accepted: 30 June 2015 Published: 15 July 2015
6 —	

7 Abstract

The comparative study on the effect of pile head connection with abutment on integral 8 abutment bridges is presented in this paper. The influence on the design parameters such as 9 bending moment, shear force and longitudinal stresses in deck slab has been considered. The 10 study demonstrates that the design parameters are affected by the pile head to abutment 11 connection. In addition, the results of DL (Dead Load) + temperature and DL + LL (Live 12 Load) + temperature combination with varying span numbers have been compared with single 13 span and with DL. Similarly the effect on interior and exterior girder has also been studied. In 14 case of only DL, the negative maximum end Bending Moment (BM) reduced by 10.5 15

16

17 Index terms— integral abutment bridge, pile head abutment connection, finite element method.

${\scriptstyle 18} \quad 1 \quad {\rm FEMAnalysis of Integral A but ment Bridges with Fixed and Pinned Pile Head}$

19 Strictly as per the compliance and regulations of :

Abstract-The comparative study on the effect of pile head connection with abutment on integral abutment 20 bridges is presented in this paper. The influence on the design parameters such as bending moment, shear force 21 and longitudinal stresses in deck slab has been considered. The study demonstrates that the design parameters 22 are affected by the pile head to abutment connection. In addition, the results of DL (Dead Load) + temperature 23 and DL + LL (Live Load) + temperature combination with varying span numbers have been compared with 24 single span and with DL. Similarly the effect on interior and exterior girder has also been studied. In case of only 25 DL, the negative maximum end Bending Moment (BM) reduced by 10.5% in the case of single span, 28.5% in 26 two spans integral abutment bridge, while no change is observed in three spans of the integral abutment bridge. 27 The positive BM, however, showed an increasing trend. An interesting outcome of the study is an inversely 28 proportional relation between the number of spans and the design parameters. The increase in temperature 29 tends to enhances negative BM and decreases positive BM. Furthermore, the SF in deck slab increased by 5.9% 30 in two spans integral abutment bridge having pile head with pinned connection, however no change is observed 31 in SF in single and three span configurations. 32

³³ 2 FEM Analysis of Integral Abutment Bridges with

34 Fixed and Pinned Pile Head Connections Yamuna Bhagwat ? , R.V. Raikar ? & Nikhil Jambhale ?

Abstract-The comparative study on the effect of pile head connection with abutment on integral abutment bridges is presented in this paper. The influence on the design parameters such as bending moment, shear force and longitudinal stresses in deck slab has been considered. The study demonstrates that the design parameters are affected by the pile head to abutment connection. In addition, the results of DL (Dead Load) + temperature and DL + LL (Live Load) + temperature combination with varying span numbers have been compared with single span and with DL. Similarly the effect on interior and exterior girder has also been studied.

In case of only DL, the negative maximum end Bending Moment (BM) reduced by 10.5% in the case of single span, 28.5% in two spans integral abutment bridge, while no change is observed in three spans of the integral abutment bridge. The positive BM, however, showed an increasing trend. An interesting outcome of the study
is an inversely proportional relation between the number of spans and the design parameters. The increase
in temperature tends to enhances negative BM and decreases positive BM. Furthermore, the SF in deck slab
increased by 5.9% in two spans integral abutment bridge having pile head with pinned connection, however no

 $_{\rm 47}$ $\,$ change is observed in SF in single and three span configurations.

$_{48}$ 3 Introduction

⁴⁹ he Integral Abutment Bridges are bridges generally built with their superstructures integral with the abutments in ⁵⁰ the absence of expansion or contraction joints over the entire length of the superstructure. These are designed as ⁵¹ single span or multi span and typically have stub-type abutments supported on piles and a continuous bridge deck ⁵² from one embankment to the other. Although the small and flexible foundations facilitate horizontal movement ⁵³ or rocking of the support, the bridge structures react to the temperature changes and deform when subjected ⁵⁴ to the internally developed thermal stresses. The thermal effect is therefore an essential feature in the design of ⁵⁵ integral bridges and constitutes the biggest challenge in the analysis and design of the abutment.

The rigid connection facilitates the integral bridges to act as a single unit in resisting thermal and brake loads. 56 The integral design and construction eliminates joints in the bridge resulting in avoiding common issues related 57 to bridges such as corrosion of reinforcements due to leakage of water and the use of de-icing chemicals through 58 joints. Failure to proper response to unanticipated movements results in overstress and subsequent structural 59 damage to the bridge elements via split and rupture of abutment bearings, abutment-rotation and abutment 60 overturning. With an edge over many issues related to conventional bridge design and operation, the integral 61 bridges are trending towards a definite change in the design of highway bridges. ??Arsoyet al., 1999;Manjunath 62 and Bastwadkar, 2012;and Khodair and Hassiotis, 2013). ??avid et al. (2010 ??avid et al. (, 2014)) found 63 an increase in the performance of the integral bridge with short H-Piles and they reported that sufficient design 64 is required in order to accommodate the effects due to thermal loading. Khodair and Hassiotis (2013) studied 65 the effect of temperature on integral bridges in conjunction with skew effect. According to them, the effect 66 of temperature changes on daily and seasonal scales as well as the varying coefficient of thermal expansion 67 between the various components of bridge superstructure in the horizontal and vertical directions results in cyclic 68 expansion and contractions. Shreedhar et al. (2012) studied the behavior of integral bridge with and without 69 soil interaction using STAAD Beava. Dunker and Liu (2007) extensively studied the behavior of integral bridges 70 under various conditions such as the connections at abutments (fixed and pinned pile head), foundations and 71 others. They used commercially available finite element software packages. The present study describes the 72 effect of pile head connection with abutment on the various design parameters of deck slab of integral abutment 73 bridge and behavior under temperature load. The commercially available finite element software SAP 2000 has 74 been employed for the purpose. The bridge models are prepared for pile head with fixed connection and pinned 75 connection and analyzed for load combinations like Dead Load (DL), Live Load (LL) and temperature. The 76 effect of pile head connections on deck slab is studied by observing variations in Bending Moment (BM), shear 77 force (SF), axial force and longitudinal stresses. 78

79 **4** II.

⁸⁰ 5 Model Description

Three cases of bridge models were developed by varying the length and number of spans. Single span with length 81 of 60 m, two spans of 30 m each and three spans of 20 m each were considered with pile head having fixed 82 connection and pinned connection. The 12 m width of the bridge was adopted with thickness of the deck slab 83 as 0.25 m. The main girders are of 0.35 m \times 1.5 m placed at a distance of 2.4 m c/c. The height of the integral 84 abutment from the bottom of the abutment to bottom of girder is 3 m. Cast-in-situ piles of 1.1 m diameter and 85 pier of 1.2 m diameter were considered in the present study. The models of integral abutment bridge developed 86 using SAP 2000 are presented in Figure 1, Figure ?? and Figure ?? of single, two and three spans bridges, 87 respectively. 88

The bridge models were developed using rigid links between deck slab and girder. The deck slab was modeled by quadrilateral shell element, which couples bending with membrane action and the longitudinal girders as well as diaphragm and piles were modeled as frame elements. The deck and girders were placed at their vertical locations of the centroid respectively. The composite action between the deck and girders were affected by the rigid links.

94 6 Results and Discussion

95 The results of finite element analysis were compared for the bending moments (BM), shear forces (SF),

96 longitudinal stresses in the deck slab, bending moments (BM) and shear forces (SF) in exterior and interior 97 girders of integral abutment bridge having pile head with fixed and pinned connections have been discussed as 98 follows.

Figure 6 and Figure ?? shows the comparison of BM in deck slab of integral abutment bridge, central girder and exterior girder of single span integral abutment bridge having pile head with fixed and pinned connection

under only DL. It may be observed that the positive maximum BM increased by 17.69%, while negative maximum 101 BM reduced by 10.5% in deck slab of pinned pile head connection as compared to fixed pile head connection. 102 Similarly in the central girder, the positive maximum BM is increased by 17.79% and negative maximum BM 103 is reduced by 10.62%. An increase of 17.62% in positive maximum BM and decrease of 10.31% of negative 104 maximum BM was observed in the exterior girder. In the case of bridge deck slab with two spans, it was found 105 that the positive and negative maximum BM increased by 10.93% and 11.4%, respectively in pinned pile head 106 connection as compared with the fixed pile head connection as shown in the Figure 10. The negative BM at the 107 end of deck slab reduced by 28.5% in case of pinned pile head connection as compared to the fixed pile head 108 connection. It was also observed that in the central girder, the positive maximum BM increased by 10.5% and 109 negative maximum BM increased by 10.9%, an increase of 11.4% in positive maximum BM and 11.5% in negative 110 BM in case of exterior girder with pinned pile head connection as compared with the fixed pile head connection. 111 The Figure 11 presents the comparison of SF variations, which shows 5.9% increase of SF values in pinned pile 112 head connection as compared with the fixed pile head connection. In the central girder, the SF reduced by 5.8%113 and in exterior girder SF increased by 6% with pile head having pinned connection than in fixed connection. 114

The percentage change in variation of all the parameters considered for two span integral abutment bridge 115 having pile head with pinned connection in comparison with pile head fixed connection is shown in Figure 12. An 116 117 interesting outcome of the study was the negligible variation of BM and SF in deck slab of three spans integral 118 abutment bridge having shaving pile head with fixed connection when compared with pinned connection as shown in Figures 13 and 14. The changes in percentage of BM, SF and longitudinal stress in deck slab under only DL 119 case with pile head having fixed and pinned connection for two spans and three spans with respect to single span 120 is shown in Figures 15 and 16. In case of DL, the BM observed was maximum for single span (60 m). However, 121 for two spans (30 m each) integral abutment bridge BM reduced up to 75% and for three spans (20 m each), it 122 has reduced to 88% as compared with single span. This reduction in BM may be attributed to the increase in 123 number of spans and the decrease in span length. SF also has maximum value for single span (60 m) integral 124 abutment bridge. For two spanned bridge, the SF reduces to 50% and for three spans (20 m each) SF further 125 reduced to 66% as compared with single span. Axial force was maximum for single span integral abutment bridge 126 (60 m), while in case of two spans (30 m each) and three spans (20 m each) integral abutment bridge, the axial 127 force reduced respectively by 77.5% and 90% as compared with single span bridge. 128

Longitudinal extreme top and bottom fibre stresses were maximum for single span (60 m), and they reduced to 75% for two spans (30 m each) integral abutment bridge and 89% for three spans (20 m each) integral abutment bridge as compared to single span.

132 7 Conclusions

133 The following conclusions are drawn from the present analysis:

¹³⁴? The design parameters are affected by the pile head to abutment connection in integral abutment bridges.

? The negative BM at the end of deck slab and girders tend to reduce by 10.5% in single span and 28.5% in two spans, while there is no change in three spanned integral abutment bridge. Correspondingly, reduction of stresses at the end of deck slab is observed for the bridges having pinned pile head connection as compared with fixed pile head connection.

139 ? An increase in SF at the deck slab was observed with a magnitude of 5.9% in two spans integral abutment 140 bridge having pile head with pinned connection, whereas there was no change in SF in single and three spans. In 141 the central girder, a decrease in SF and in external girder increase in SF is however observed in single and two 142 spans bridge and there is no change in three spanned bridge girders.

Abutment and deck connection can be designed for less BM in integral abutment bridge having pile headpinned connection as compared with fixed connection.

145 ? The relation between the number of spans and all the design parameters was found to be inversely 146 proportional. As the number of span increased, the design parameters such as BM and SF drastically decreased. 147 The percentage reduction was observed to be the same for integral abutment bridges having pile head with fixed 148 and pinned connection.

? 6. An inversely proportional relation was also observed between the number of spans and the top and
 bottom fibre stresses in deck slab. The stresses tend to decrease with increase in number of spans.

151 ? The increase in temperature increases the negative moment when compared only with DL because of its 152 hogging effect decreases in the positive BM. This trend is opposite to that of only DL which shows increase in 153 positive BM and decrease in negative BM.

? With DL + temperature combination, the positive BM is increased by a magnitude of 17.69% and negative BM reduced by 10.5% in deck slab and girders with single span. In two span integral abutment bridge, the both positive and negative BM increased by nearly 10.93% and 11.4% respectively. However, there is no change in three spans bridge with pinned pile head as compared with fixed condition. Similar trend is also observed with DL + LL + temperature case.

? No change in shear force was observed in deck slab of one and three spanned bridges, but in case of two
spans, there is 5.9% increase for the bridge with pinned pile head connection as compared with fixed connection.
Further, SF decreased in central girder and increased in exterior girder for one and two span bridges and there
is no change in three spans bridge. Similar change in percentage is found in DL + LL + temperature case.

163 ? The positive maximum BM in deck slab of integral abutment for different spans reduced in case of DL and 164 temperature combination as compared only with DL. On the other hand negative maximum BM shows increasing 165 trend in case of both DL and temperature and DL, LL, and temperature cases. Similar trend is also observed in 166 interior and exterior girders.

? The SF in deck slab of integral abutment bridge for different spans increased both in case of DL + temperature
 combination and DL + LL + temperature combination as compared with DL, but it is zero for single span bridge with DL+ temperature combination.



Figure 1: Fig. 1 :

169

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2015 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 2: Fig. 3 : Fig. 4 :



Figure 3: Fig. 6 :



Figure 4: Fig. 7 : Fig. 8 : Fig. 9 :







Figure 6: Fig. 12 :



Figure 7: Fig. 14 :



Figure 8: Fig. 15 :



Figure 9: Fig. 16 :

- 170 [Department of Civil Engineering] Department of Civil Engineering, KLEMSSCET Belgaum
- [Raju ()] Design of Bridges, Krishna Raju , N . 2010. New Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publications. (Fourth edition.
 Reprint)
- [Victor ()] Essentials of Bridge Engineering, Johnson Victor , D . 2011. New Delhi: Oxford & IBH Publications.
 (Sixth edition. Reprint)
- [Dunker and Liu ()] 'Foundations for integral abutments'. K F Dunker , D Liu . Pract Period on Struct Des. And
 Constr. ASCE 2007. 12 (1) p. .
- [Manjunath and Bastwadkar ()] M Manjunath , M Bastwadkar . Seismic analysis of integral bridge, M.tech,
 2012.
- [Khodair and Hassiotis ()] 'Numerical and experimental analyses of an integral bridge'. Y Khodair , S Hassiotis
 Inter. J. Advanced Str. Eng 2013. 5 (14) p. .
- [Dicleli ()] 'Simplified model for computeraided analysis of integral bridges'. M Dicleli . J. Bridge Eng 2000.
 ASCE. 5 (3) p. .
- [Standard Specifications and Code of Practice for Road Bridges Section II Loads and Stresses] 'Standard Specifications and Code of Practice for Road Bridges'. IRC: 6-2010. Section II Loads and Stresses, (New Delhi)
 Indian Road Congress.
- [Standard Specifications and Code of Practice for Road Bridges] Standard Specifications and Code of Practice
 for Road Bridges, IRC: 21-2000. Section III Cement Concrete; New Delhi: Indian Road Congress.
- 188 [Arsoy et al. ()] The behavior of integral abutment bridges, S Arsoy, R Barker, J M Duncan. 1999. Blacksburg,
- Virginia. The Charles E. Via, Jr. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic
 and State University
- [User's manual SAP2000 ()] User's manual SAP2000, 2009. Berkeley, California, U.S.A: Computers and Structures, Inc. p. P2000.