Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.* 

1	Effect of pH on Shear Strength Behavior of Granular Soil
2	Md. Motiur $Rahman^1$
3	1
4	Received: 9 June 2015 Accepted: 5 July 2015 Published: 15 July 2015
5	

#### 6 Abstract

In this research, the performances of pH value on shear strength behavior of granular soil have 7 been studied. The shear strength of soil is an important term in most of the foundation 8 engineering problems such as the bearing capacity of shallow foundation, slope stability of 9 dam/embankment and lateral earth pressure on retaining walls. A series of direct shear test 10 were conducted on two types of dry granular soils (taken from Rangpur and Rajshahi areas of 11 Bangladesh) with different pH value (pH=0, pH=3.0, pH=5.0, pH=7.0 and pH=9.0). 12 Hydrochloric acid (HCl) and ammonia (NH4) solution were used to monitor the pH of the 13 solution for about thirty days. In all, 15 specimens of each type of soils were considered for 14 direct shear test with dry condition at a constant density. The specimens were prepared by 15 static compaction with different pH values solution (0, 3, 5, 7and 9) at same void ratio. 16 Experiment result shows that the shear strength increase with increase of pH values of soil. 17 18

19 Index terms— pH values, shear strength, granular soil, hydrochloric acid, ammonia, void ratio.

## 20 1 Introduction

ll of the civil engineering structures involve some structural element with direct contact to the soil. The stability 21 of this structures are mainly depends on the stability/strength of contact soil. Granular soil is one of the 22 commonest materials that are widely used in the construction of civil engineering infrastructures, such as earth 23 dams/embankments, roads and so on. The shear strength behavior of granular soil is complexive when they 24 loaded [1]. The variations of the behavior mainly depend on the discrete nature of the particles like shape, size, 25 surface texture, particles distribution and also depend on pH value which was studied in this paper. pH value of 26 soil is decreasing day by day by acid rain, industrial residue, fertilizer, insecticides etc. However there is limited 27 available information in existing literature on shear strength behavior of granular soil for different pH values soil. 28 Considerable researches have been carried out on this purpose (Olukorede M. Osuolale, Olumide D. Falola and 29 Mojeed A. Ayoola (2012) on Effect of pH on Geotechnical Properties of Laterite Soil Used in Highway Pavement 30 Construction) [9], (Rahanuma Tajnin, Tabassum Abdullah, Md. Rokonuzzaman (2014) on Study on the salinity 31 and pH and its effect on geotechnical properties of soil in southwest region of Bangladesh) [10] here shear strength 32 is not considered as important properties of soil but in this paper only shear strength properties was investigated 33 as an important factor on which pH affect. 34

### 35 **2** II.

### <sup>36</sup> 3 Materials and Equipment

The two types of sandy soil used in this study which address as S-1 (S-1 soil sample has been collected from Tista river, Rangpur of Bangladesh which is locally called domar sand) and S-2 (S-2 soil sample has been collected from Padma river, Rajshahi of Bangladesh which is locally called local sand). Hydrochloric acid (HCl) and ammonia (NH4) solution were used to monitor the pH. The basic properties of two samples are presented in Table 1.

The basic equipments which are used in this study are: (i) Direct shear test device, (ii) Load and deformation dial gauge and (iii) Balance, (iv) pH meter etc.

# <sup>43</sup> 4 Laboratory Testing a) Direct Shear Test Program and Proce-

### $_{44}$ dure

A series of 30 direct shear tests carried out on 2 soil samples referred to as S-1 S-2 (15 tests for each sample). The 45 basic properties of sample specimens were presented in Table 1. Each soil sample (S-1 and S-2) was divided into 46 five portions. Each portion of the soil sample was stored in the big perforated plastic containers labeled A, B, C, 47 D and E. The containers were perforated at the bottom so that the water can drain slowly in order to simulate 48 49 the actual field condition. The Hydrochloric acid (HCl) and ammonia (NH4) were used to prepare solution that 50 has pH of 3, 5, 7 and 9. The container that was labeled A is uncontaminated while the solutions with pH of 3, 51 5, 7 and 9 were poured into containers labeled B, C, D and E respectively. The five containers with its contents 52 were then stored for about 30 days in the laboratory. After 30 days the samples were air dried and direct shear tests (3 samples from each container to determining average value) were carried out on them at same density and 53 void ratio. To carry out these tests, a sample of soil is placed into the shear box. The size of the box is used 60 54 mm diameter and the sample is 33 mm thick. The soil is placed into the box by trimming 3 equal layers which 55 gives void ratio 0.64 for S-1 and 0.65 for S-2. After the specimen is placed in the box, and all the other necessary 56 adjustments are made, a known normal stress? is to be applied (1.42 psi). Then a shearing force is applied. The 57 normal load is kept constant throughout the test but the shearing force is applied at a constant rate of strain. 58 The shearing displacement is recorded by a dial gauge. The procedure is repeated five times at different normal 59 stresses (2.84, 7.11, 14.23 and 21.34psi) for each time. These results are plotted on a shearing diagram where 60 ? (normal stress) is the abscissa and ? (shearing stress) the ordinate. The slope of the line gives the angle of 61 internal friction (?°) and the intercept on the ordinate gives the apparent cohesion (c psi). The shear strength is 62 determined by using  $?? = ?? + ??\tan(??)$ . 63

64 IV.

# <sup>65</sup> 5 Results and Discussions a) Presentation of Test Result and <sup>66</sup> Discussion

67 All the specimens were tested under dry condition. The results of the shear strength are presented in Table

68 2 below for each sample. The shear strength increases with increase in pH value. Figure ?? and 2 represents 69 the shear strength versus pH values relationship and from this figure it is investigated that the shear strength

the shear strength versus pH valuesincreases with increase in pH value.

## 71 6 Conclusions and Recommendation

72 On the basis of literature test carried out following concluding remarks are made: a) Shear strength of granular

<sup>73</sup> soil is increase with increase of pH value. So if we want to increase shear strength of acidic soil we have to <sup>74</sup> increase pH value. The most common amendment to increase soil pH is lime (CaCO 3 or MgCO 3 ). b) Farther

<sup>75</sup> investigation is required for others type of soil.



Figure 1: Figure 1 : Figure 2 :

# 1

Basic Properties	Obtained		
	Value		
	S-1	S-2	
Grain Size Distribution:	0.33	0.22	
Effective size, D 10 (mm)	0.40	0.26	
Diameter corresponding to $30\%$ finer, D $30$ (mm)	0.60	0.35	
Diameter corresponding to $60\%$ finer, D $60 \text{ (mm)}$	1.82	1.59	
Uniformity co-efficient, Cu	3.10	2.50	
Fineness Modulus, FM			
Specific Gravity	2.64	2.61	
Compaction:	1.63	2.59	
Maximum dry density, ? d(max) (gm/cm 3)	15.10	15.19	
Optimum moisture content, OMC (%)	0.64	0.65	
Void ratio, e			

Figure 2: Table 1 :

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

Sample		Shear strength (psi)			
	Con. A	Con. B	Con. C	Con. D	Con. E
	(pH=0)	(pH=3)	(pH=5)	(pH=7)	(pH=9)
1	2.95	3.09	3.15	3.48	3.60
2	2.97	3.04	3.13	3.60	3.65
3	3.01	3.08	3.17	3.56	3.59
Average	2.98	3.07	3.15	3.55	3.61
1	2.79	2.84	2.99	2.99	3.25
2	2.75	2.77	2.94	3.08	3.52
3	2.74	2.82	2.86	2.92	3.45
Average	2.76	2.81	2.93	3.00	3.41
	Sample 1 2 3 Average 1 2 3 Average 3 Average	Sample       Con. A (pH=0)         1       2.95         2       2.97         3       3.01         Average       2.98         1       2.79         2       2.75         3       2.74         Average       2.76	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Figure 3: Table 2 :

- 76 [Das ()] Advanced Soil Mechanics, M B Das . 1983. McGraw Hill, London: Hemisphere Publishing Corp.
- 77 [Md. Motiur Rahman1, Ashish Kumer Shaha2, Md. Rashedul Haque3, Tahmina Tasnim Nahar4 (ed.) ()]
- Effect of Burnt Solid Wastes on Shear Strength Behavior of Granular Soil, Md. Motiur Rahman1, Ashish
   Kumer Shaha2, Md. Rashedul Haque3, Tahmina Tasnim Nahar4 (ed.) 2014. 02 p. .
- [Alim et al. ()] 'Effect of Fine Materials on Shear Strength Behavior of Granular Soil'. M A Alim , B Ahmed ,
   M M Rahman . *IJASETR* 2013. 2 (2) p. .
- 82 [Olukorede et al. ()] 'Effect of pH on Geotechnical Properties of Laterite Soil Used in Highway Pavement
- Construction'. M Olukorede, Osuolale, D Olumide, Mojeed A Falola, Ayoola. Civil and Environmental
   *Research* 2012. 2 (10) p. .
- 85 [Bardet ()] Experimental Soil Mechanics, J P Bardet . 1997. United States: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- 86 [Bowles ()] Foundation Analysis and Design, E J Bowles . 1998. Singapore: McGraw Hill Book Co. (4th ed.)
- [Cernica ()] Geotechnical Engineering: Soil Mechanics, J N Cernica . 1995. United States: John Wiley & Sons,
   Inc.
- 89 [Craig ()] Soil Mechanics, R F Craig . 1997. London: Spon Press. 6. (th ed.)
- <sup>90</sup> [Liu and Evett ()] Soils and Foundations, C Liu, B J Evett. 1998. United States of America: Prentice-Hall,
   <sup>91</sup> Inc. (4th ed.)
- 92 [Tajnin et al. ()] 'Study on the salinity and pH and its effect on geotechnical properties of soil in south-west
- region of Bangladesh'. Rahanuma Tajnin , Md Abdullah , Rokonuzzaman . International Journal of Advanced
   Structures and Geotechnical Engineering 2014. 03 (02) p. .