Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

Increasing Productivity through Facility Layout Improvement using Systematic Layout Planning Pattern Theory Md. Riyad Hossain¹ and Subrata Talapatra² Md. Riyad Hossain¹ and Subrata Talapatra² Received: 16 December 2013 Accepted: 3 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

7 Abstract

16

In this paper ongoing production process layout of jute industry are studied and a new layout 8 will be developed based on the systematic layout planning pattern theory to reduce 9 production cost and increase productivity. The number of equipment and travelling area of 10 material in yarn production have been analyzed. The detailed study of the plant layout such 11 as operation process chart, activity relationship chart and relationship between equipment and 12 area has been investigated. The new plant layout has been designed and compared with 13 existing plant layout. The new plant layout shows that the distance and overall cost of 14 material flow from stores to dispatch area are significantly decreased. 15

17 Index terms— operation process chart, facility layout, SLP, activity relationship chart.

18 1 Introduction

acility layout concerns with the optimum arrangement of departments with known dimensions in such a way that 19 minimizes materials handling and ensure effective utilization of men, equipment and space. In the competitive 20 world, demand is continuously increasing where resources are always limited. In industry sectors, it is important to 21 manufacture the products which have good quality and meet customers demand. This action could be conducted 22 under the existing resources like employees, machines and other facilities. For this reason, industrial factories 23 24 need to increase their potentials in production and effectiveness to compete against their competitors. That is 25 why; the production process needs to be set in a proper organized way that minimizes production cost with higher effectiveness. Therefore, the way of solving the problem of production is very important. 26

There are many techniques like quality control (QC), Pareto analysis, total quality management (TQM), control chart and plant layout are used to solve the problems concerning productivity. However, plant layout improvement could be one of the tools to response to industrial productivity improvement by the reduction of cost of manufacturing with a proper workflow in production route. For ensuring proper workflow departments are arranged in such a way that optimizes their relative placement. Sometimes, optimal placement means placing inter dependent traffic departments adjacent to one another. Knowing the nature of flow between departments and process of material flow is important.

Systematic layout planning pattern (SLP) theory is used to analyze the step-by-step of layout facility from raw material storage to finish product dispatched. This method helps to develop a new plant layout with improved process flow and effective utilization of space. On the Basis of production, designing a new layout may follow different ways such as product, process, mixed, fixed position and group layout. Since yarn is produced in mass production system with a limited variety on a steady demand, product layout is the matter of concern.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives an overview of relevant literature. In section 3 provides the methodology of SLP procedure. Analysis of original plant layout is shown in section 4. In section 5 original plant layout is analyzed by SLP theory. Proposed layout is shown in section 6. Comparison between proposed and existing layout is shown in section 7. Finally in section 8 shows the resells, conclusion & further work that

43 can be done in this field.

44 **2** II.

45 **3** Literature Review

46 Many researches have been done in facility planning area. Effective facility planning can reduce significantly 47 the operational costs of a company by 10-30%. Proper analysis of facility layout design could result in the 48 improvement of the performance of production line. This can be realized by optimizing the capacity of a 49 bottleneck; minimizing material handling costs; reducing idle time; maximizing the utilization of labor, equipment

- and space.
 Facility planning is an overall approach concerned with the design, layout and incorporation of people, machines
- 52 and activities of a system. Huang emphasizes that facility layout design defines how to organize, locate, and
- distribute the equipment and support activities in a manufacturing facility to accomplish minimization of overall production time, maximization of operational efficiency, growth of revenue and maximization of factory output
- production time, maximization of operational efficiency, gr
 in conformance with production and strategic goals.
- ⁵⁶ Wiyaratn and A. Watanapa study plant layout of iron manufacturing based on the systematic layout

57 4 Analysis of Original Plant Layout

 $_{58}$ $\,$ This case is based on the yarn production of jute industry. The original layout of company shown in In this

59 study, the yarn production of standard sizes is mostly analyzed. The operation process flow is shown in Fig.

60 ??. The size of the equipment was relational to the area as shown in Table ??. According to the original plant 61 layout, total working area, distance travelled of materials and unit flow cost and total cost could be discussed as

62 follows:

⁶³ 5 b) Utility of the Area

Total working area is more than equipment area because some spaces are required for the temporal storage of work in process inventory as well as free movement of worker.

c) The Amount and Sequence for Manufacturing In a cycle of yarn production, there produced 100KG of

67 final product. Total cost incurred with production is raw material cost, machining cost, transportation cost and

68 wages. By applying the process of SLP costs related with transportation can be reduced. Statistics shows that 69 per meter transportation cost is 6.75BDT. Our goal is to rearrange the department in such a way that will reduce

per meter transportation cost is 6.75BDT. Our goal is
both travelled distance and transportation cost. V.

⁷¹ 6 Analysis Plant Layout based on slp

According to the study of the manufacturing process, it was found that the travelled distance should be reduced for moving raw materials and also the useless area should be reduced. It is done by applying SLP method on the

real existing plant lay out. The result is continuous work flow with a sequential departmental arrangement. Activity relationship chart is used to find the most dependent department based on sequential activity. The activity

relationship chart is defined as follows. The reason behind most absolute essential department is continuous flow

of material. Beside that sometimes they share common personnel, similar type of supervision, same space or

⁷⁸ equal opportunity of convenience.

79 7 Closeness Rating

80 Rating Definition

81 8 Analysis of Proposed Layout

The proposed layout is based on the activity relationship chart and the theme of reducing travelled distance. Altering the positions between several departments will ensure smooth flow of materials as well as it will reduce total travelled distance throughout the production unit. The proposed layout are shown in

85 9 Result

After analyzing the existing layout it is shown that for a production of 100kg yarn total material handling costs are 1829.25BDT while it is reduced to 1120.5BDT for the modified layout. Implementation of newly developed layout can save 38.75% of total handling costs. It is due to the reduction of the distance between workflow and smooth flow of material throughout the cycle. Therefore rearranging the layout improves material flow, reduced travelled distance and cost resulting in an increase in production.

91 10 Conclusions & future study

92 In this paper per unit cost and distance are considered to improve existing layout but there are many other 93 parameters to analyze the layout that may be worker number, area required, equipment required. Due to Lack 94 of opportunity and practical limitations above two parameters are used in our calculation.

The problem of existing layout is large comparative distance between several departments that's forced to travel long distance and impedes the smooth material flow and leads to higher cost.

- In our proposed layout the position of various departments are altered with various others based on activity
- 98 relationship chart.
- ⁹⁹ The machines (Breaker card, Finisher card, Drawing, twisting) used in yarn production are highly weighted.
- ¹⁰⁰ The alternation of those machines are highly costly and time consuming. So, This proposed model will mostly be preferable while setting up a new plant. 1



Figure 1: F?

101

 $^{^1 \}odot$ 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)



Figure 2: Fig.

Operation	symbol
Stores	
Emulsion	Ŏ
Breaker card	Ŏ.
Finisher card	Ŏ
Drawing	Ŏ
Spinning	Č.
Twisting	Ŏ.
Inspection	
Packaging	Ö
Dispatch	

 $\mathbf{4}$

Figure 3: Fig. No. 4 :





Figure 5: Fig





No

1 : Relationship between equipment			
	size and area		
Department Number of		Equipment	Total
equipment		area	working
		(ft 2)	area
			(ft 2)
Stores	-	-	180
Emulsion	1	140	180
Breaker card	2	160	192
Finisher card	1	240	288
Drawing	4	240	288
Spinning	2	160	180
Twisting	1	160	180
Inspection	4	100	120
Packaging	2	260	300
Dispatch	-	-	240
a) The Flow of Materials			

Raw materials carrying are barely maintained in a sequential path

Figure 7: Table No .

\mathbf{No}

	2: Distance and cost ine	2 : Distance and cost incurred			
	with present layout				
From	То	Distance	Unit cost		
		(ft.)	(BDT)		
Stores	Emulsion	23.5	158.625		
Emulsion	Breaker card	37.5	253.125		
Breaker	Finisher card	23.5	158.625		
card					
Finisher	Drawing	37.5	253.125		
card					
Drawing	Spinning	23.5	158.625		
Spinning	Twisting	39.5	266.625		
Twisting	Inspection	23.5	158.625		
Inspection	Packaging	45.0	303.75		
Packaging	Dispatch	17.5	118.125		
	Total		1829.25		

Figure 8: Table No .

No

Figure 9: Table No .

- 102 [Watanapa et al.] 'Analysis Plant Layout Design for Effective Production'. Anucha Watanapa , Patcharee Phi
- Chit Kajondecha , Wisitsree Duangpitakwong , Wiyaratn . International Multiconference of Engineers and
 Computer II. (IMECS 2011)
- [Drira and Pierreval ()] 'Facility layout problems: A survey'. Amine Drira , Henri Pierreval . Annual Reviews in Control 2007. Elsevier. 31 p. .
- [Wiyaratn and Watanapa] Improvement Plant Layout Using Systematic Layout Planning (SLP) for, W Wiyaratn
 A Watanapa .
- [Yang ()] 'Multiple-attribute decision making methods for plant layout design problem'. Taho Yang . Robotics
 and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing 2007. 23 p. .
- 111 [Tenwong ()] Productivity improvement for the lamp manufacturing, a dissertation for Master's degree in
- Manufacturing Systems Engineering, S Tenwong . 1991. School of Engineering ; King Mongkut's University
 of Technology Thonburi
- 114 [Muther ()] Systematic Layout Planning, R Muther . 1995. McGraw.
- 115 [Sucharitkul ()] The feasibility study and aluminium foundry plant layout design : a case study: Sathien Plastic
- and Fibre," a dissertation for Master's degree in Manufacturing Systems Engineering, T Sucharitkul . 1999.
 School of Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi