Artificial Intelligence formulated this projection for compatibility purposes from the original article published at Global Journals. However, this technology is currently in beta. *Therefore, kindly ignore odd layouts, missed formulae, text, tables, or figures.*

An Algorithm for Integration, Differentiation and Finding Root Numerically Nizhum Rahman¹, Aminul Islam² and B. K. Datta³ Received: 12 December 2013 Accepted: 5 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

7 Abstract

8 Numerical analysis concerns the development of algorithms for solving various types of

⁹ problems of mathematics; it is a vast-ranging field having deep interaction with computer

¹⁰ science, mathematics, engineering, and the sciences. Numerical analysis mainly consists of

¹¹ Numerical Integration, Numerical Differentiation and finding Roots numerically. In this paper

¹² we develop an algorithm combination of Numerical Integration (Trapezoidal rule, Simpson?s

¹³ ??/?? rule, Simpson?s ??/?? rule and Weddle?s rule.), Numerical Differentiation (Euler,

¹⁴ modified Euler and Runge- Kutta second and fourth order) and finding Roots (Bisection

¹⁵ method and False position method) numerically.

16

17 Index terms— computer science, mathematics, engineering, and the sciences.

18 1 Introduction

¹⁹ umerical analysis is the area of mathematics and computer science that creates, analyzes, and implements ²⁰ algorithms for solving numerically the problems of continuous mathematics. Such problems originate generally ²¹ from real-world applications of algebra, geometry, and calculus, and they involve variables which vary ²² continuously. The formal academic area of numerical analysis varies from highly theoretical mathematical studies ²³ to computer science issues involving the effects of computer hardware and software on the implementation of ²⁴ specific algorithms **??**5].

An algorithm is a procedure or formula for solving a problem. The word derives from the name of the mathematician, Mohammed ibn-Musa al-Khwarizmi.

Clearly, ?? ?? = ?? 0 + ??. Hence the integral becomes ?? = ? ?? ????? ?? ?? ?? 0

Approximating ?? by Newton's forward difference formula, we obtain. [4] ?? = ? [?? 0 + ????? 0 + ?34 ??(???1)2! ? ? 2 ?? 0 + ? ??(???1)(???2) 3! ? ? 3 ?? 0 + ?]???? ?? ?? 0

Since ?? = ?? 0 + ???, ???? = ? ???? and hence the above integral becomes?? = ? ? [?? 0 + ????? 0 + ?36 ??(???1) 2! ? ? 2 ?? 0 + ? ??(???1)(???2) 3! ? ? 3 ?? 0 + ?]???? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?? 0 = ???[?? 0 + ?37 ??? 2 ???? 0 + ? ??(2???3) 12 ? ? 2 ?? 0 + ?]????(2)

From this general formula, we can obtain different integration formula by putting?? = 1,2,3?? etc.

Programming language C is very flexible and powerful. It originally designed in the early 1970s [3]. It allows us to maximum control with minimum command. It is recognized worldwide and used in a multitude of applications especially in Numerical Analysis. Along with other numerous benefits, we have used programming language C in this paper.

Author ? ? ? ¥: Department of Mathematics, Pabna University of Science & Technology (PUST). e-mails:
 nl.nizhum@gmail.com, pust.pulok@gmail.com, bimaldu@gmail.com, rajpust09@gmail.com Author ?: Department

45 of EEE, University of Information Technology & Sciences (UITS). e-mail: pr.aminul@gmail.com Already B. K.

⁴⁶ Datta et all in [1] and [2] have given the algorithms of numerical differentiation and numerical integration. In this

47 paper we have developed a combined algorithm of numerical differentiation, numerical integration and finding48 roots numerically.

The outline of this paper is as follows: Section 2 contains the brief description of the existing methods with 49 methodology. In Section 3, we develop an algorithm, using the programming language C, which gives us the 50 solution of a problem simultaneously regarding four popular existing numerical integration methods namely 51 Trapezoidal rule, Simpson's 1/3 rule, Simpson's 3/8 rule and Weddle's rule or the solution of an ordinary 52 differential equation simultaneously regarding four popular existing methods namely Euler, modified Euler, 53 Runge-Kutta second and fourth order or N the solution of a problem simultaneously regarding two existing 54 numerical methods namely Bisection method and False position method. Moreover, the simulator identifies 55 the method that gives the best solution comparing with possible exact solution of the problem in each case. 56 Conclusions are given at the end at Section 4. 57

58 2 II.

59 **3** Existing Methods

60 We give a brief description of the existing methods of Numerical Integration like Trapezoidal rule, Simpson's

1/3 rule, Simpson's 3/8 rule and Weddle's rule, methods of numerical differential equations like Euler, modified
 Euler, Runge-Kutta second and fourth order and numerical methods namely Bisection method and False position

 63 method in this section with their methodology [2] . a) Trapezoidal Rule Putting ?? = 1 in (2) all differences

64 higher than the first will become zero and we obtain? ?? ???? ?? 1 ?? 0 = ? ??? 0 + 1 2 ??? 0? = ? ??? 0 + 1 2 ???? 0 + 1

For the next interval [?? 1, ?? 2] and others we have the similar expression as ? ?? ?????? 2 ?? 1 = ? 2 [?? 1 + ?? 2]

and so on. And for the last interval [?? ???1 , ?? ??] , we have? ?? ????? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?1 = ? 2 [?? ???1 + $\frac{2}{2}$?? ??].

72 This is known as Trapezoidal rule.

$_{73}$ 4 b) Simson's 1/3 Rule

Putting ?? = 2 in (2) all differences higher than the first will become zero and we obtain? ?? ???? = 2?[?? 0 +
??? 0 + 1 6 ? 2 ?? 0] ?? 2 ?? 0 = ? 3 [?? 2 + 4?? 1 + ?? 0] Similarly, ? ?? ???? ?? 4 ?? 2 = ? 3 [?? 2 + 4??
76 3 + ?? 4] and finally ? ?? ???? ?? ?? ?? ?? ?2 = ? 3 [?? ???2 + 4?? ???1 + ?? ??]

Combining all these expressions, we obtain? ?? ????? ?? ?? 0 = ? 3 [?? 0 + 4(?? 1 + ?? 3 + ? + ?? ???1 + 2(?? 2 + ?? 4 + ? + ... +?? ???2) + ?? ??]

This is known as Simson's 1/3 rule.

$_{80}$ 5 c) Simson's 3/8 Rule

81 Putting ?? = 3 in (2) all differences higher than the first will become zero and we obtain? ?? ???? ?? 3 ?? 0 = 82 3?[?? 0 + 3 2 ??? 0 + 9 12 ? 2 ?? 0 + 3 24 ? 3 ?? 0] = 3 8 ?[?? 0 + 3?? 1 + 3?? 2 + ?? 3]

Similarly, ? ?? ???? ?? 6 ?? $3 = 3 \ 8 \ ?[?? \ 3 + 3?? \ 4 + 3?? \ 5 + ?? \ 6 \]$ and so on. Summing up all these, we obtain? ?? ???? ?? ?? 0 = 3 \ 8 \ ?[?? \ 0 + 3?? \ 1 + 3?? \ 2 + 2?? \ 3 + ? + 2?? \ ???3 + 3?? \ ???2 + 3?? \ ???1 + 2?2 \ 2^2 \ 1 \ 12

- 85 ?? ??] [13]
- 86 This rule is known as Simson's 3/8 rule.

⁸⁷ 6 d) Weddle's Rule

88 Putting ?? = 6 in (2) all differences higher than the first will become zero and we obtain? ?? ???? ?? 6 ?? 0 = 89 $3 \ 10 \ ?$ (?? 0 + 5?? 1 + ?? 2 + 6?? 3 + ?? 4 + 5?? 5 + ?? 6]

92 This is known as Weddle's rule.

93 7 e) Euler Method

In mathematics and computational science, the Euler method is a first-order numerical procedure for solving
 ODEs with a given initial value. It is the most basic explicit method for numerical ODEs [1].

96 8 i. Procedure

- We consider the differential equation?? $? = \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)(3)$
- with the initial condition??(?? 0) = ?? 0(4)

Suppose that we wish to solve the equation (3) with (4) for the value of ?? at ?? = ?? ?? = ?? 0 + ??? (?? 100 = 1,2, ? ? ? ? . .)Integrating (3) with ?? 0 to ?? 1 and?? 0 to?? 1 , we get ?? 1 ? ?? 0 = ? δ ??" δ ??"(??, 101 ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0 Or, ?? 1 = ?? 0 + ? δ ??" δ ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0 (5) Assuming that δ ??" δ ??"(??, ??) = 102 δ ??" δ ??"(?? 0 , ?? 0) in ?? 0 ? ?? ? ?? 1 , this gives Euler's formula ?? 1 ? ?? 0 + ? δ ??" δ ??"(?? 0 , ?? 0 103)[since?? 1 ? ?? 0 = ?](6)

Similarly for the range ?? 1 ? ?? ? ?? 2 , we have?? $2 = ?? 1 + ? \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 2 ?? 1$

105 9 Substituting

106 δ ??" δ ??"(?? 1, ?? 1) for δ ??" δ ??"(??, ??) where ?? 1 ? ?? ? ?? 2, we have?? 2 ? ?? 1 + ? δ ??" δ ??"(?? 1 107, ?? 1) [since?? 2 ? ?? 1 = ?]

Proceeding in this way, we obtain the general formula?? ??+1 = ?? ?? + ? ð ??"ð ??"(?? ?? , ?? ??), ?? = 0,1,2, ?? ?? ?? ?! Modified Euler's method i. Procedure

We consider the differential equation?? = δ ??" δ ??"(??, ??)(7)

111 With the initial condition $??(?? \ 0) = ?? \ 0 \ (8)$

Suppose that we wish to solve the equation (7) with (8) for the value of y at?? = ?? ?? = ?? 0 + ??? (?? = 113 1,2,?????..)

Integrating (7) with ?? 0 to ?? 1 and ?? 0 to ?? 1 , we get?? 1 ? ?? $0 = ? \ \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0$ Integrating (7) with ?? 0 to ?? 1 and ?? 0 to ?? 1 , we get?? 1 ?? $0 = ? \ \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0$

Now integrating (9) by means of trapezoidal rule to obtain?? $1 = ?? \ 0 + ? ? ? ? [ð ??"ð ??"(?? \ 0 , ?? \ 0) + \delta ??"ð ??"(?? \ 1 ?? \ 1)](10)$

We thus obtain the iterative formula?? 1 (??+1) = ?? 0 + ? ? 2 ? $[\delta$??" δ ??"(?? 0 , ?? 0) + δ ??" δ ??"(?? 19 1 , ?? 1 ??)] ;?? = 0,1, 2 , ..(11)

Where ?? 1 ?? is the nth approximation to ?? 1 . The iterative formula (11) can be started by choosing ??

10 from Euler's formula ?? 10 = ?? 0 + ? δ ??" δ ??"(?? 0, ?? 0) g) Runge-Kutta method(Second order) i. Procedure

We consider the differential equation $?? = \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)$ (

With the initial condition $??(?? \ 0) = ?? \ 0 \ (13)$ Suppose that we wish to solve the equation (12) with (13) for the value of ?? at?? = ?? ?? = ?? $0 + ??? \ (?? = 1,2, ??? ?? ...)$

Integrating (12) with ?? 0 to ?? 1 and ?? 0 to ?? 1, we get?? 1 ? ?? $0 = ? \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0$ Or ?? $1 = ?? 0 + ? \delta ??"\delta ??"(??, ??)???? ?? 1 ?? 0 (14)$

Now integrating (14) by means of trapezoidal rule to obtain?? $1 = ?? 0 + (? 2)[\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0, ?? 0) + \delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 1 ?? 1)](15)$

Substitute ?? $1 = ?? \ 0 + ? \ \delta ??"\delta ??"(?? \ 0 , ?? \ 0)$ on the right side of equation (15), we obtain?? $1 = ?? \ 0 + ?? \ 2 ? \ [\delta ??"\delta ??" \ 0 + \delta ??"\delta ??"(?? \ 0 + ?, ?? \ 0 + ? \ \delta ??"\delta ??" \ 0)(16)$

Where δ ??" δ ??"(?? 0, ?? 0) = δ ??" δ ??" 0, ?? 1? ?? 0 = ? Now set ?? 1 = ? δ ??" δ ??" 0 and ?? 2 = ? 33 δ ??" δ ??"(?? 0 + ?, ?? 0 + ?? 1).

¹³⁴ 10 And hence equation (16) becomes

135 ?? 1 = ?? 0 + ? 1 2 ? [?? 1 + ?? 2].

136 This is the Runge-Kutta second order formula. h) Runge-Kutta method (Fourth order) i. Procedure

We mention the fourth order formulae defined by?? $1 = ?? \ 0 + ?? \ 1 ?? \ 1 + ?? \ 2 ?? \ 2 + ?? \ 3 ?? \ 3 + ?? \ 4 ??$ 138 4(17)

Where?? $1 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0, ?? 0) ?? 2 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + ?? 0?, ?? 0 + ?? 0?? 1) ?? 3 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + ?? 1?, ?? 0 + ?? 1?? 1 + ?? 1?? 2) ?? 4 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + ?? 2?, ?? 0 + ?? 2?? 1 + ?? 1?? 2) ?? 4 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + ?? 2?, ?? 0 + ?? 2?? 1 + ?? 1?? 2) ?? 4 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + ?? 2?, ?? 0 + ?? 2?? 1 + ?? 1?? 3) (18)$

Where the parameters have to be determined by expanding both sides of (17) by Taylor's series and securing agreement of terms up to and including those containing ? 4. The choice of the parameters is, again arbitrary and we have therefore several fourth order Runge-kutta formulae. If for example we set, ?? 2 = 1?? 1 = 1 2??2 145 ? 1?, ?? 2 = 0 ?? 1 = 1? 1 ?2, ?? 2 = ? 1 ?2, ?? 1 = 1 + 1 ?2 ?? 1 = ?? 4 = 1.6, ?? 2 = 1.3 ?1 ? 1 ?2 ?, ?? 146 3 = 1.3 ?1 + 1 ?2 ?

We obtain the method of Gill, whereas the choice?? 0 = ?? 1 = 1 2, ?? 0 = ?? 1 = 1 2 ?? 1 = ?? 2 = ?? 2148 = 0, ?? 2 = ?? 1 = 1 ?? 1 = ?? 4 = 1 6, ?? 2 = ?? 3 = 2 6

Leads to the fourth order Runge-Kutta formulae, whereas?? $1 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0, ?? 0) ?? 2 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"$ $150 ??? 0 + 1 2 ?, ?? 0 + 1 2 ?? 1 ? ?? 3 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??" 0 + 1 2 ?, ?? 0 + 1 2 ?? 2 ? ?? 4 = ?\delta ??"\delta ??"(?? 0 + 151 ?, ?? 0 + ?? 3)$

Then?? 1 = ?? 0 + 1 6 (?? 1 + 2?? 2 + 2?? 3 + ?? 4) i) Bisection method

The bisection method in mathematics is a rootfinding method which repeatedly bisects an interval and then selects a subinterval in which a root must lie for further processing. It is a very simple and robust method, but it is also relatively slow. Because of this, it is often used to obtain a rough approximation to a solution which is then used as a starting point for more rapidly converging methods. The method is also called the binary search method or the dichotomy method [4].

158 11 i. Procedure

The method is applicable when we wish to solve the equation 0) (= x f for interval], [b a and) (a f the real variable,

161 x where f is a continuous function defined on an and have opposite signs, so the method is applicable to this 162 smaller interval.

¹⁶³ 12 j) False Position Method

In problems involving arithmetic or algebra, the false position method or regulafalsi is used to refer to basic trial and error methods of solving problems by substituting test values for the unknown quantities.

¹⁶⁶ 13 i. Procedure

¹⁶⁷ The poor convergence of the bisection method as well as its poor adaptability to higher dimensions (i.e., systems ¹⁶⁸ of two or more non-linear equations) motivate the use of better techniques **??**6]. One such method is the Method ¹⁶⁹ of False Position. Here, we start with an initial interval], [J e XIV Issue VII Version I function changes sign

170 only once in this interval. Now we find an 3

171 x is given by of the problem. In other words, finding 3) () () () (1 2 1 1 2 1 3 x f x f x f x x x ? ? ? = 172 Now,

x is a static procedure in the case of the bisection method since for a given 1

174 x and 2

x, it gives identical 3 x, no matter what the function we wish to solve. On the other hand, the false position method uses the information about the function to arrive at 3

177 X.

178 III.

179 14 Algorithm

180 INPUT: Type your choice C.

181 15 If C == 1 {

- INPUT: function ð ??"ð ??", limits ?? 0 and ?? ?? , number of division ??, direct result ??.
- 183 Step-1: Compute ? = ?? ?? ??? 0

184 16 ??

- 185 Step-2: Set ?? = 0
- 186 Step-3: While ?? ? ??, repeat Step-4
- 187 Step-4: Set $??[??] = \eth ??"\eth ??"(?? 0 + ???)$
- 188 Step-5: Set ?? = 1
- 189 Step-6: While ?? < ??, repeat Step-7

The false position method differs from the bisection method only in the choice it makes for subdividing the interval at each iteration. It converges faster to the root because it is an algorithm which uses appropriate weighting of the initial end points 1

204 17 ??_????_4

 205
 OUTPUT: ??_????_1, ??_?????_2, ??_????_3 and t_?????_4 with message which sum is most accurate.

 206
 STOP. } [2] Else If C==2 { INPUT: function δ ??"δ ??"(??, ??), initial condition (?? 0, ?? 0), interval ?, value

207 of ??, direct result ??.

208 Step-1: Set n = (?? ? ?? 0)/?, ?? 00 = ?? 0, ?? 0?? = ?? 0, ?? 02 = ?? 0 ?? 04 = ?? 0, ?? 1 = ?? 00 + ?0 ??" ?"" ?"" 0, ?? 00)

- 210 Step-2: Set ?? = 1
- 211 Step-3: While ?? ? ??, repeat Step-4 to step-7
- 212 Step-4: Set ?? = 1

- Step-5: While ?? ? ?? repeat step-10 Step-6: Set ?? $1 = ?? \ 0 + ?$, ?? $10 = ?? \ 00 + 1 \ 2 \ ?\delta \ ??"\delta \ ??"(?? \ 0 \ , ?? \ 0 \) + \delta \ ??"\delta \ ??"(?? \ 1 \ , ?? \ 1 \), ?? \ 1$ = ?? 10Step-7: Set ?? $1?? = ?? \ 0?? + ?\delta \ ??"\delta \ ??"(?? \ 0 \ , ?? \ 0?? \), ?? \ 11 = ?\delta \ ??"\delta \ ??"(?? \ 0 \ , ?? \ 04 \), ?? \ 22 =$
- 217 ?ð ??"ð ??"(?? 0 + IV.

218 18 Conclusion

In this paper, we develop an algorithm incorporated with Numerical Integration (Trapezoidal rule, Simpson's 1/3 rule, Simpson's 3/8 rule and Weddle's rule.), Numerical Differentiation (Euler, modified Euler and Runge-Kutta second and fourth order) and finding Roots (Bisection method and False position method) numerically. We observed that the result obtained according to our procedure is completely identical with the hand calculation and save our time and labour. Moreover, Weddle's rule gives the best solution, the Runge-Kutta fourth order gives the best solution and the False position method gives the best solution in Numerical Integration, Numerical

 224 gives the best solution and the False position method gives the best solution in Numerical Integration, Numerical Differentiation and finding Roots numerically respectively. $^{1-2}$



Figure 1:

225

 $^{^{1}}$ © 2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $^{^{2}}x$ in this interval, which is given by the intersection of the x axis and the straight line passing through)) (, (11 x f x and)) (, (11 x f x. It is easy to verify that 3



Figure 2:

		$1\ 2\ ?,\ ??\ 04\ +$	$1\ 2\ ??\ 11\)$
?? 33 = ?ð ??"ð ??" ??? 0 +	$1\ 2\ ?,\ ??\ 04\ +$	$1 \ 2$	

Figure 3:

- Else ?? 1 ?? 4 Output: ?? 1?? , ?? 10 , ?? 1 ?? 2 and ?? 1 ?? 4 with message which method gives best solution.
- 230 Step-1: Set
- 231 Step-3: Set
- 232 Step-5: Set
- 233 Step-8: OUTPU (failure)
- 234 Step-9: Set
- 235 Step-10: While N i ? do steps 11-14
- 236 Step-11: Set
- 237 Step-13: . 1

 $_{238}$.1 + = i i

- 239 Step-14: If
- 240 [Datta et al. ()] A Numerical Simulator for Solving Numerical Integration, B K Datta, N Rahman, R C Bhowmik
- , U Roy , M R Kabir , & S Paul . 2014. p. . IJSET
- [Datta et al. ()] A Numerical Simulator for Solving Ordinary Differential Equations, IJSET, B K Datta , N
 Rahman , & R C Bhowmik . 2014. p. .
- [Goyal ()] M Goyal . Computer-based Numerical & Statistical Techniques, (New Delhi, India) 2007.3. Infinity
 Science Press LLC.
- 246 [Wiki Answers, wiki.answer] Wiki Answers, wiki.answer, com.5.