## <sup>1</sup> Voltage Profile Augmentation and Minimization of Real Power

- <sup>2</sup> Loss in Transmission Lines by using Improved Hybrid Particle
- <sup>3</sup> Swarm Optimization-Based on Harmony Search Algorithm

4	K. $Lenin^1$
5	<sup>1</sup> Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University Kukatpally
6	Received: 9 December 2013 Accepted: 1 January 2014 Published: 15 January 2014

#### <sup>8</sup> Abstract

In this paper, a new particle swarm search algorithm is proposed to solve the optimal reactive 9 power dispatch (ORPD) Problem. The ORPD problem is formulated as a nonlinear 10 constrained single-objective optimization problem where the real power loss and the bus 11 voltage deviations are to be minimized separately. As an optimization technique, particle 12 swarm optimization (PSO) has obtained much attention during the past decade. It is gaining 13 popularity, especially because of the speed of convergence and the fact that it is easy to 14 realize. To enhance the performance of PSO, an improved hybrid particle swarm optimization 15 (IHPSO) is proposed to solve complex optimization problems more efficiently, accurately and 16 reliably. It provides a new way of producing new individuals through organically merges the 17 harmony search (HS) method into particle swarm optimization (PSO). During the course of 18 evolvement, harmony search is used to generate new solutions and this makes IHPSO 19 algorithm have more powerful exploitation capabilities. In order to evaluate the performance 20 of the proposed algorithm, it has been tested on IEEE 30 bus system. 21

22

Index terms— modal analysis, optimal reactive power, transmission loss, particle swarm, harmony search metaheuristic.

## 25 1 Introduction

n recent years the optimal reactive power dispatch (ORPD) problem has received great attention as a result 26 of the improvement on economy and security of power system operation. Solutions of ORPD problem aim to 27 minimize object functions such as fuel cost, power system loses, etc. while satisfying a number of constraints like 28 limits of bus voltages, tap settings of transformers, reactive and active power of power resources and transmission 29 lines and a number of controllable Variables [1,2]. In the literature, many methods for solving the ORPD 30 problem have been done up to now. At the beginning, several classical methods such as gradient based [3], 31 interior point [4], linear programming [5] and quadratic programming [6] have been successfully used in order 32 to solve the ORPD problem. However, these methods have some disadvantages in the Process of solving the 33 34 complex ORPD problem. Drawbacks of these algorithms can be declared insecure convergence properties, long 35 execution time, and algorithmic complexity. Besides, the solution can be trapped in local minima [1,7]. In 36 order to overcome these disadvantages, researches have successfully applied evolutionary and heuristic algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) [2], Differential Evolution (DE) [8], Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [9] 37 and harmony search algorithms [10][11]. This paper formulates the reactive power dispatch as a multi-objective 38 optimization problem with loss minimization and maximization of static voltage stability margin (SVSM) as 39 the objectives. Voltage stability evaluation using modal analysis [12] is used as the indicator of voltage stability. 40 Function optimization has received extensive research attention, and several optimization algorithm such as neural 41 networks [13], evolutionary algorithms [14], genetic algorithms [15] and swarm intelligence-based algorithms 42

[16][17] have been developed and applied successfully to solve a wide range of complex optimization problems. 43 Most stochastic optimization algorithms including particle swarm optimizer (PSO) [18,19] and genetic algorithm 44 (GA) [15] have shown inadequate to complex optimization problems, as they rapidly push an artificial population 45 toward convergence. That is, all individuals in the population soon become nearly identical. To improve PSO 46 performance, several methods have been proposed. Many of these methods concerned predefining numerical 47 coefficients, consisting of the maximum velocity, inertia weight, social factor and individual factor, which can 48 affect various characteristics of the algorithm, such as convergence rate or the ability of global optimization. 49 Recently, some hybrid I Global Journal of Researches in Engineering () methods. NM-PSO (Nelder-Mead-50 PSO) [20] comprises NM method at the top of level, and PSO at the lower level. CPSO (Chaotic PSO) [21] 51 applies PSO to perform global exploration and chaotic local search to perform local search on the solutions 52 produced in the global exploration process. These methods can equip PSO with extra facilities. In this paper, 53 an improved PSO (IPSO) based of harmony search (HS) [22,23] is proposed to solve complex optimizations. The 54 PSO algorithm includes some tuning parameters that greatly influence the algorithm performance, often stated 55 as the exploration-exploitation trade off: Exploration is the ability to test various regions in the problem space 56 in order to locate a good optimum, hopefully the global one. Exploitation is the ability to concentrate the search 57 58 around a promising candidate solution in order to locate the optimum precisely. 59 Facing complicated optimizations, it's difficult to explore every possible region of the search space. Recently,

60 harmony search (HS) algorithm imitates the improvisation process of music players and had been very successful in a wide variety of optimization problems [24][25], presenting several advantages with respect to traditional 61 optimization techniques such as the following [24]:(a) HS algorithm imposes fewer mathematical requirements 62 and does not require initial value settings of the decision variables. (b) As the HS algorithm uses stochastic 63 random searches, derivative information is also unnecessary. (c) The HS algorithm generates a new vector, after 64 considering all of the existing vectors, whereas the genetic algorithm (GA) only considers the two parent vectors. 65 These features increase the flexibility of the HS algorithm and produce better solutions. In this study, one of the 66 ways of integrating the concepts of these two optimization algorithms for solving complex optimization problems 67 is explored. The performance of IHPSO has been evaluated in standard IEEE 30 bus test system and the results 68

<sup>69</sup> analysis shows that our proposed approach outperforms all approaches investigated in this paper.

#### 70 **2** II.

# 71 3 Voltage Stability Evaluatio a) Modal analysis for voltage 72 stability evaluation

To reduce (1), let  $\hat{I}$ ?"P = 0, then.?Q = ?J QV ? J Q? J P? ?1 J PV ??V = J R ?V (2) ?V = J ?1 ? ?Q(3)

Where J R = ?J QV ? J Q? J P? ?1 JPV?(4)

<sup>79</sup> J R is called the reduced Jacobian matrix of the system.

#### <sup>80</sup> 4 a) Modes of Voltage instability

Voltage Stability characteristics of the system can be identified by computing the eigen values and eigen vectors. If R = ???(5)

- Where,? = right eigenvector matrix of J R ? = left eigenvector matrix of J R ? = diagonal eigenvalue matrix of J R and J R ?1 = ?? ?1 ?(6)
- From (3) and (6), we have ?V = ?? ?1 ??Q(7)
- or?V = ??i?i?i!Q(8)

Where ? i is the ith column right eigenvector and ? the ith row left eigenvector of J R . ? i is the ith eigen value of J R . The ith modal reactive power variation is,? Q mi = K i ? i(9)

- where, K i = ? ? ij 2 j ? 1(10)
- 90 Where? ji is the jth element of ? i
- <sup>91</sup> The corresponding ith modal voltage variation is?V mi = [1 ? i ? ]?Q mi(11)

In (8), let  $\hat{1}$ ?" = e k where e k has all its elements zero except the kth one being 1. Then, Global Journal of Researches in Engineering () Q Q. Q Q?V = ?? 1k? 1? 1 i (12)? 1k k th element of ? 1 V-Q sensitivity at bus k?V K?Q K = ?? 1k? 1? 1 i = ? P ki? 1 i (13) III.

#### 95 5 PROBLEM FORMULATION

- 96 The objectives of the reactive power dispatch problem considered here is to minimize the system real power loss
- $_{\rm 97}$   $\,$  and maximize the static voltage stability margins (SVSM).

## <sup>98</sup> 6 a) Minimization of Real Power Loss

- <sup>99</sup> Minimization of the real power loss (Ploss) in transmission lines of a power system is mathematically stated as <sup>100</sup> follows.P loss = ? g k(V i 2 +V j 2 ?2V i V j cos ? ij ) n k=1 k=(i,j) (14)
- Where n is the number of transmission lines, g k is the conductance of branch k, V i and V j are voltage magnitude at bus i and bus j, and ?ij is the voltage angle difference between bus i and bus j.

## <sup>103</sup> 7 b) Minimization of Voltage Deviation

- Minimization of the Deviations in voltage magnitudes (VD) at load buses is mathematically stated as follows.Minimize VD = ? |V k ? 1.0| nl k=1 (15)
- $_{\rm 106}$   $\,$  Where nl is the number of load busses and V k is the voltage magnitude at bus k.

#### <sup>107</sup> 8 c) System Constraints

<sup>108</sup> In the minimization process of objective functions, some problem constraints which one is equality and others <sup>109</sup> are inequality had to be met. Objective functions are subjected to these constraints shown below.

#### <sup>110</sup> 9 Load flow equality constraints:

where, nb is the number of buses, P G and Q G are the real and reactive power of the generator, P D and Q
D are the real and reactive load of the generator, and G ij and B ij are the mutual conductance and susceptance

- 116 between bus i and bus j.

- Where, nc, ng and nt are numbers of the switchable reactive power sources, generators and transformers.IV.

#### 128 10 STANDARD PSO

PSO is a population-based, co-operative search meta-heuristic introduced by Kennedy and Eberhart. The 129 fundament for the development of PSO is hypothesis that a potential solution to an optimization problem is 130 treated as a bird without quality and volume, which is called a particle, coexisting and evolving simultaneously 131 based on knowledge sharing with neighbouring particles. While flying through the problem search space, each 132 particle modifies its velocity to find a better solution (position) by applying its own flying experience (i.e. memory 133 having best position found in the earlier flights) and experience of neighbouring particles (i.e. best-found solution 134 of the population). Particles update their positions and velocities as shown below:?? ??+1 ?? =  $\partial$  ??" $\partial$  ??" ?? . 135 136 ?? ?? + ?? ??+1 ??(25) 137

Where ?? ?? ?? represents the current position of particle i in solution space and subscript t indicates an 138 iteration count; ?? ?? ?? is the best-found position of particle i up to iteration count t and represents the 139 cognitive contribution to the search velocity ?? ?? ?? . Each component of ?? ?? ?? can be clamped to 140 the range to control excessive roaming of particles outside the search space; ?? ?? ?? is the global best-found 141 position among all particles in the swarm up to iteration count t and forms the social contribution to the velocity 142 vector; ?? 1 and ?? 2 are random numbers uniformly distributed in the interval (0.1), where?? 1 and?? 2 are 143 the cognitive and social scaling parameters, respectively; ??" ??" is the particle inertia, which is reduced 144 dynamically to decrease the search area in a gradual fashion [25]. The variable  $\partial$  ??" $\partial$  ??" ?? is updated as 145

#### <sup>146</sup> 11 Global Journal of Researches in Engineering (

b ??"ð ??" ?? = (ð ??"ð ??" ?????? ? ð ??"ð ??" ?????? ). (?? ?????? ???) ?? ?????? + ð ??"ð ??" ?????(26)
Whereð ??"ð ??" ?????? and ð ??"ð ??" ?????? denote the maximum and minimum of ð ??"ð ??" ??
respectively; ?? ?????? is a given number of maximum iterations. Particle i fly toward a new position according
to Eq. (??4) and (25). In this way, all particles of the swarm find their new positions and apply these new
positions to update their individual best ?? ?? points and global best ?? ?? of the swarm. This process is
repeated until termination conditions are met.

153 V.

#### 154 12 HARMONY SEARCH

Harmony search (HS) algorithm is based on natural musical performance processes that occur when a musician searches for a better state of harmony, such as during jazz improvisation. The engineers seek for a global solution

as determined by an objective function, just like the musicians seek to find musically pleasing The steps in the procedure of harmony search are as follows:

- 159 Step 1: Initialize the problem and algorithm parameters.
- 160 Step 2: Initialize the harmony memory (HM).
- 161 Step 3: Improvise a new harmony from the HM.
- 162 Step 4: Update the HM.
- 163 Step 5: Repeat Steps 3 and 4 until the termination criterion is satisfied.

## 13 VI. THE REALIZATION OF IHPSO BASED OF HS

This section describes the implementation of proposed improvement in PSO using HS approach. The proposed 165 method, called, IHPSO (improved hybrid particle swarm optimization) is based on the common characteristics 166 167 of both PSO and HS algorithms. HS algorithm provides a new way to produce new particles. Different from PSO and GA, HS algorithm generates a new vector after considering all of the existing vectors. HS algorithm 168 can produce new solution and the parameters of HMCR and PAR are introduced to allow the solution to escape 169 170 from local optima and to improve the global optimum prediction of the algorithm. Enlightened by this, the HS realization concept has been used in the PSO in this paper to exploration the potential solution space. In 171 summary?the realization of improved PSO algorithm for solving reactive power dispatch is described as follows: 172

- 173 Step 1: Initializing the parameters of PSO and HS;
- 174 Step 2: Initalizing the particles;
- 175 Step 3: Evaluating particles according to their fitness then descending sort them;
- 176 Step 4: Performing HS and generating a new solution;
- 177 Step 5: If the new solution is better than the worst particle then replacing it with the new one;

Step 6: Update the particles by using equation's (??4) & (25) Step 7: The program is finished if the terminations conditions are met otherwise go to step 3.

180 Improvising a new harmony from the HM can be realized as follows:

A New Harmony vector ?? ? =  $\{?? 1?, ?? 2?, ?? ?? ?? \}$  is generated from the HM based on memory 181 considerations, pitch adjustments, and randomization. For instance, the value of for the new vector can be chosen 182 from any value in the specified HM rang (?? 1 1 ? ?? harmony as determined by an aesthetic [26]- [27]. In music 183 improvisation, each player sounds any pitch within the possible range, together making one harmony vector. If all 184 the pitches make a good solution, that experience is stored in each variable's memory, and the possibility to make 185 186 a good solution is also increased next time. HS algorithm includes a number of optimization operators, such as 187 the harmony memory (HM), the harmony memory size (HMS, number of solution vectors in harmony memory), 188 the harmony memory considering rate (HMCR), and the pitch adjusting rate (PAR). In the HS algorithm, the harmony memory (HM) stores the feasible vectors, which are all in the feasible space. The harmony memory size 189 determines how many vectors it stores. A new vector is generated by selecting the components of different vectors 190 randomly in the harmony memory. For example, Consider a jazz trio composed of saxophone, double bass and 191 guitar. There exist certain amount of preferable pitches in each musician's memory: saxophonist, {Do, Mi, Sol}; 192 double bassist, {Si, Sol, Re}; and guitarist, {La, Fa, Do}. If saxophonist randomly plays {Sol} out of {Do, Mi, 193 Sol}, double bassist {Si} out of {Si, Sol, Re}, and guitarist {Do} out of {La, Fa, Do}, that harmony (Sol, Si, Do) 194 makes another harmony (musically C-7 chord). And if the New Harmony is better than existing worst harmony 195 in the HM, the New Harmony is included in the HM and the worst harmony is excluded from the HM. This 196 197 procedure is repeated until fantastic harmony is found. When a musician improvises one pitch, usually he (or she) follows any one of three rules: (a) playing any one pitch from his (or her) memory, (b) playing an adjacent 198 pitch of one pitch from his (or her) memory, and (c) playing totally random pitch from the possible sound range. 199 Similarly, when each decision variable chooses one value in the HS algorithm, it follows any one of three rules: (i) 200 choosing any one value from HS memory (defined as memory considerations), (ii) choosing an adjacent value of 201 one value from the HS memory (defined as pitch adjustments), and (iii) choosing totally random value from the 202 possible value range (defined as randomization). The three rules in HS algorithm are effectively directed using 203 two parameters, i.e., harmony memory considering rate (HMCR) and pitch adjusting rate (PAR). 204

208 The HMCR is the probability of choosing one value from the historic values stored in the HM, and (1-HMCR) 209 is the probability of randomly choosing one feasible value not limited to those stored in the HM. For example, an 210 HMCR of 0.95 indicates that the HS algorithm will choose the design variable value from historically stored values 211 in the HM with a 95% probability and from the entire feasible range with a 5% probability. An HMCR value of 1.0 is not recommended because of the possibility that the solution may be improved by values not stored in the 212 HM. This is similar to the reason why the genetic algorithm uses a mutation rate in the selection process. Every 213 component of the New Harmony vector ?? ? =  $\{?? 1?, ?? 2?, ?, ?? ?? ?\}$  is examined to determine whether 214 it should be pitch-adjusted. This procedure uses the parameter that set the rate of adjustment for the pitch 215

The Pitch adjusting process is performed only after a value is chosen from the HM. The value (1-PAR) sets the rate of doing nothing. A PAR of 0.3 indicates that the algorithm will choose a neighbouring value with probability. If the pitch adjustment decision for ?? ? is Yes, and ?? ? is assumed to be ?? ?? (??) i.e., the kth element in ?? ?? , the pitch-adjusted value of?? ?? (??) is:?? ? = ?? ? + ??(29)

Where ?? ? the value of is ???? ? (1, ?1), ???? is an arbitrary distance bandwidth for the continuous design variable, and u (?1, 1) is a uniform distribution between -1 and 1. The HMCR and PAR parameters introduced in the harmony search help the algorithm escape from local optima and to improve the global optimum prediction of the HS algorithm. After improvising a new harmony, evaluating the new one and if it is better than the worst one in the HM in terms of the objective function value, the new one is included in the HM and the existing worst harmony is excluded from the HM. The HM is then sorted by the objective function value.

#### <sup>228</sup> **14 VII.**

## 229 15 SIMULATION RESULTS

The accuracy of the proposed IHPSO Algorithm method is demonstrated by testing it on standard IEEE-30 230 bus system. The IEEE-30 bus system has 6 generator buses, 24 load buses and 41 transmission lines of which 231 four branches are (6-9), (6-10), (4-12) and (28-27) - are with the tap setting transformers. The lower voltage 232 magnitude limits at all buses are 0.95 p.u. and the upper limits are 1.1 for all the PV buses and 1.05 p.u. for 233 all the PQ buses and the reference bus. The simulation results have been presented in Tables 1, 2, 3 &4. And 234 in the Table ?? shows the proposed algorithm powerfully reduces the real power losses when compared to other 235 236 given algorithms. The optimal values of the control variables along with the minimum loss obtained are given 237 in Table 1. Corresponding to this control variable setting, it was found that there are no limit violations in any of the state variables. ORPD together with voltage stability constraint problem was handled in this case as a 238 multi-objective optimization problem where both power loss and maximum voltage stability margin of the system 239 were optimized simultaneously. Table 2 indicates the optimal values of these control variables. Also it is found 240 that there are no limit violations of the state variables. It indicates the voltage stability index has increased from 241 0.2482 to 0.2498, an advance in the system voltage stability. To determine the voltage security of the system, 242 contingency analysis was conducted using the control variable setting obtained in case 1 and case 2. The Eigen 243 values equivalents to the four critical contingencies are given in Table 3. From this result it is observed that the 244

Eigen value has been improved considerably for all contingencies in the second case. 1/2/3



Figure 1: Where  $\hat{I}$ ?" P=

1

Variables	
Control variables	Variable setting
V1	1.040
V2	1.041
V5	1.040
V8	1.030
V11	1.003
V13	1.041
T11	1.01
T12	1.00
T15	1.0
T36	1.0
Qc10	3
Qc12	4
Qc15	4
Qc17	0
Qc20	3
Qc23	4
Qc24	3
Qc29	3
Real power loss	4.2985
SVSM	0.2482

Figure 2: Table 1 :

## $\mathbf{2}$

Control Variables	Variable Setting
V1	1.043
V2	1.044
V5	1.042
V8	1.031
V11	1.005
V13	1.035
T11	0.090
T12	0.090
T15	0.090
T36	0.090
Qc10	4
Qc12	4
Qc15	3
Qc17	4
Qc20	0
Qc23	3
Qc24	3
Qc29	4
Real power loss	4.9690
SVSM	0.2498

Figure 3: Table 2 :

3

		Method	Minimum loss
		Evolutionary	5.0159
		programming[28]	
		Genetic algorithm[29]	4.665
		Real coded GA with	4.568
		Lindex as SVSM[30]	
		Real coded genetic	
		algorithm[31]	4.5015
		Proposed IHPSO method	4.2985
Sl.No Contigency	ORPD	VSCRPD	
	Setting	Setting	
1 28-	0.1410	0.1425	
27			
2 4-	0.1658	0.1665	
12			
3 1-3	0.1774	0.1783	
4 2-4	0.2032	0.2045	

[Note: www.GlobalJournals.org]

Figure 4: Table 3 :

 $<sup>^1\</sup>odot$  2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) implementations of PSO algorithm with other search  $^2\odot$  2014 Global Journals Inc. (US)

 $<sup>^3 \</sup>mathbbm{O}$  2014 Global Journals Inc. (US) Voltage Profile Augmentation and Minimization of Real Power Loss in Transmission Lines by using

#### 245 .1 CONCLUSION

In this paper, one of the recently developed stochastic algorithms IHPSO has been demonstrated and applied to solve optimal reactive power dispatch problem. The problem has been formulated as a constrained optimization problem. Different objective functions have been considered to minimize real power loss, to enhance the voltage profile. The proposed approach is applied to optimal reactive power dispatch problem on the IEEE 30-bus power

- system. The simulation results indicate the effectiveness and robustness of the proposed algorithm to solve optimal reactive power dispatch problem in test system.
- [Geem et al. ()] 'A new heuristic optimization algorithm: harmony search'. Z W Geem , J H Kim , G V
   Loganathan . Simulation 2001. 76 (2) p. .
- [Lee and Geem ()] 'A new meta-heuristic algorithm for continues engineering optimization: harmony search
   theory and practice'. K S Lee , Z W Geem . Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng 2004. 194 p. .
- [Eberhart and Kennedy ()] 'A new optimizer using particle swarm theory'. R C Eberhart , J Kennedy .
   *Proceedings of the Sixth International Symposium on Micromachine and Human Science*, (the Sixth International Symposium on Micromachine and Human ScienceNagoya, Japan) 1995. p. .
- [Kang and Geem ()] 'A new structural optimization method based on the harmony search algorithm'. S L Kang
   , Z W Geem . Comput. Struct 2004. 82 (9) p. .
- [Abido and Bakhashwain ()] 'A novel multiobjective evolutionaryalgorithm for optimal reactive power dispatch
   problem'. M A Abido , J M Bakhashwain . proc. Electronics, Circuits and Systems conf, (Electronics, Circuits and Systems conf) 2003. 3 p. .
- 263 and Systems conf) 2003. 3 p. .
- [Holland ()] Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems, J H Holland . 1975. MIT Press.
- [Deeb and Shahidehpour ()] 'An Efficient Technique for ReactivePower Dispatch Using a Revised Linear Pro gramming Approach'. N I Deeb , S M Shahidehpour . *Electric Power System Research* 1988. 15 p. .
- 267 [Bishop ()] C M Bishop . Neural Networks for Pattern Recognitionm, 1995. Oxford University Press.
- [Canizares et al. (1996)] Comparison of performance indices for detection of proximity to voltage collapse, C A
   Canizares , A C Z Souza , V H Quintana . Aug 1996. 11 p. .
- [Abou El Ela et al. ()] 'Differential EvolutionAlgorithm for Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch'. A A Abou El Ela
   M A Abido , S R Spea . *Electric Power Systems Research* 2011. 81 p. .
- [Miranda and Fonseca ()] 'EPSO-Evolutionary Particle SwarmOptimization, A New Algorithm with Applications in Power Systems'. V Miranda, N Fonseca. Proc. of Transmission and Distribution conf, (of Transmission and Distribution conf) 2002. 2 p. .
- [Lee et al. ()] Fuel-cost minimisation for both realandreactive-power dispatches, K Y Lee , Y M Park , J L Ortiz
   . 1984. 131 p. . (in proc. Generation, Transmission and Distribution conf)
- [Engelbrecht ()] Fundamentals of Computational Swarm Intelligence, A P Engelbrecht . 2005. John Wiley &
   Sons.
- [Durairaj et al. (2006)] 'Genetic algorithm applications to optimal reactive power dispatch with voltage stability enhancement'. S Durairaj , D Devaraj , P S Kannan . IE(I) Journal-EL September 2006. 87.
- [Abdullah et al. ()] 'GeneticAlgorithm for Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch'. W N W Abdullah , H Saibon , A
   A M Zain , K L Lo . proc. EnergyManagement and Power Delivery conf, (EnergyManagement and Power
   Delivery conf) 1998. 1 p. .
- [T. Back, D.B. Foge, A. Michalewicz (ed.) ()] Handbook of Evolutionary Computation, T. Back, D.B. Foge, A.
   Michalewicz (ed.) 1997. IOP Publishers and Oxford University Press.
- [Geem et al. ()] 'Harmony search for generalized orienteering problem: best touring in China'. Z W Geem , C
   Tseng , Y Park . Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2005. Springer. 3412 p. .
- [Geem et al. ()] 'Harmony search optimization: application to pipe network design'. Z W Geem , J H Kim , G
   V Loganathan . Int.J.Model. Simul 2002. 22 (2) p. .
- [Fan et al. ()] 'Hybrid simplex search and particle swarm optimization for the global optimization of multimodal
   functions'. S K S Fan , Y C Liang , E Zahara . *Engineering Optimization* 2004. 36 (4) p. .
- [Devaraj ()] 'Improved genetic algorithm for multiobjective reactive power dispatch problem'. D Devaraj .
   *European Transactions on electrical power* 2007. 17 p. .
- [Liu et al. ()] 'Improved particle swarm optimization combined with chaos'. B Liu , L Wang , Y H Jin , F Tang
   , D X Huang . Chaos Solitons & Fractals 2005. 25 p. .
- [Geem (ed.) ()] Knowledge-based intelligent information and engineering systems, Z Geem . Gabrys B, Howlett
   RJ, Jain L (ed.) 2006. Heidelberg: Springer. p. . (Improved harmony search from ensemble of music players)
- [Abido ()] 'Optimal Power Flow Using Particle SwarmOptimization'. M A Abido . *Electrical Power and Energy* Systems 2002. 24 p. .

- [Granville ()] 'Optimal Reactive Dispatch Trough Interior PointMethods'. S Granville . IEEE Trans. on Power
   Systems 1994. 9 p. .
- 302 [Jeyanthy and Devaraj (2010)] 'Optimal Reactive Power Dispatch for Voltage Stability Enhancement Using Real
- Coded Genetic Algorithm'. P , Aruna Jeyanthy , Dr D Devaraj . International Journal of Computer and
   Electrical Engineering August. 2010. 2 (4) p. .
- [Geem ()] 'Optimal scheduling of multiple dam system using harmony search algorithm'. Z Geem . Computation aland ambient intelligence, (Berlin) 2007a. Springer. p. .
- [Kim et al. ()] 'Parameter estimation of the nonlinear Muskingum model using harmony search'. J H Kim , Z W
   Geem , E S Kim . J.Am. Water Resour. Assoc 2001. 37 (5) p. .
- 309 [Kennedy J et al. ()] 'Particle swarm optimization'. Kennedy J, Eberhart R C.; R], Piscataway. Proceedings of
- *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks*, (IEEE International Conference on Neural NetworksNJ)
   1995. IEEE Press. 4 p. .
- <sup>312</sup> [Wu and Ma ()] 'Power system optimal reactive power dispatch using evolutionary programming'. Q H Wu , J <sup>313</sup> Ma . *IEEE Transactions on power systems* 1995. 10 (3) p. .
- IGrudinin ()] 'Reactive Power Optimization Using Successive QuadraticProgramming Method'. N Grudinin .
   *IEEE Trans. on Power Systems* 1998. 13 p. .
- 316 [Kennedy and Eberhart ()] Swarm Intelligence, J Kennedy, R C Eberhart . 2001. Morgan Kaufman.