

# Implementation of Six Sigma to Minimize Defects in Sewing Section of Apparel Industry in Bangladesh

Jaglul Hoque Mridha<sup>1</sup>, Shah Md. Maruf Hasan<sup>2</sup>, Md. Shahjalal<sup>3</sup> and Forhad Ahmed<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Northern University Bangladesh

*Received: 15 December 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019 Published: 15 January 2019*

---

## Abstract

The garments industries which are traditionally operated are facing lots of problems such as low productivity, poor line balancing, high rejection, high defects, unable to achieve lead time. So defects minimization is the first condition of reducing cost and increasing productivity. The work aims to minimize the defect percentage by using DMAIC approach of Six Sigma methodology. The study is carried out in Ananta Apparels Ltd. by using DMAIC methodology of six sigma to minimize the defect rate in sewing section. Five phases of the DMAIC methodology named Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve and Control which indicates some critical defects such as stain, skip stitch, broken stitch, and slip out in the sewing section of Ananta Apparels Ltd. We applied various types of six sigma tools in different phases. The outcome of this study is very significant to implement in the sewing section of the apparel industry.

---

*Index terms*— DMAIC, gross domestic product, lean, toyota production system, jit, sipoc.

## 1 Introduction

he ready-made garment (RMG) is one of the most essential sectors in Bangladesh in terms of foreign export earnings, economic advancement, employment, and its contribution to Gross Domestic Product (GDP). It is one of the leading exporting industries in Bangladesh. To achieve the overall objective in minimizing defects and rejection of finished products, it is required to set up a document and maintain a system able to ensure that products are conformance to the standards specifications. Considering the reasons above, that means this study attempts to explore the use of DMAIC (define, measure, analyze, improve and control) methodology of six sigma in a selected garment factory to minimize the defect percentage.

DMAIC methodology of six sigma is a problemsolving method where six sigma tools are used to analyze the process data, and finally, the root causes behind the defects produce in the product are identified.

Six Sigma is a quality improvement process of the final product by reducing the defects, minimizing the variation and improving capability in the manufacturing process. Six Sigma initiated from the terms associated with statistical modeling of manufacturing processes.

## 2 a) Objectives

Quality Management is an operational strategy oriented towards achieving the shortest possible cycle time by eliminating wastes. The term Quality Management is coined to represent half the human effort in the company, half the manufacturing space, half the investment in tools and half the engineering hours to develop a new product in half the time. Any industry can achieve these benefits if they religiously follow this concept in their organization. In simple terms, lean manufacturing is without waste. Thus the objective of this study is to find out how we can use Quality Management to achieve the following: 1. To meet customer demand on time by eliminating nonvalue added work from the process. 2. To minimize the work in process inventory. 3. To create

42 the flexibility of style changeover. 4. To reduce rework percentage. 5. To create a pool of multi-skilled operators  
43 who can respond quickly for changing the style.

### 44 **3 II.**

#### 45 **4 Literature Review a) Quality management**

46 It is the act of overseeing all activities and tasks needed to maintain a desired level of excellence. Quality  
47 management includes the determination of a quality policy, creating and implementing quality planning and  
48 assurance, and quality control and quality improvement. Quality management ensures that an organization,  
49 product or service is consistent. It has four main components: quality planning, quality assurance, quality  
50 control and quality improvement. Quality management is focused not only on product, and service but also on  
51 the means to achieve it.

#### 52 **5 b) Lean**

53 Lean is a systematic approach to identifying and eliminating waste through continuous improvement of the  
54 product at the demand of the customer. Taiichi Ohno once said that "Lean Manufacturing is all about looking  
55 at the time line from the moment the customer gives us an order to the point when we collect the cash. And we  
56 are reducing that time line by removing the non -value added wastes" (Ohno, 1988) Identify all the steps along  
57 the process chain -This means identifying the value stream. It can be used to identify activities where the value  
58 adds to the product and those do not.

59 Make those processes flow -The value-added product must flow continuously from start to finish without  
60 interruptions, detours, backflows, waiting, scrap and stoppages.

61 Make what is pulled by the customer -The customer should pull the product from the source as needed rather  
62 than process pushing the products onto the customer.

63 Strive for perfection -After implementing the above steps, the team should continuously remove wastes as  
64 they are in uncover condition and pursue perfection through continuous improvement. Lean uses practically  
65 proven tools and techniques to systematically eliminate the wastes. If these are correctly applied, it will bring  
66 improvements to quality, cost, and delivery of the final product. Those tools help in implementing, monitoring,  
67 and evaluating Lean efforts and their results. Without proper understanding of lean approaches, it can spoil  
68 Lean efforts in one's organization garment, component or style.

#### 69 **6 d) Toyota Production System**

70 It is a manufacturing system developed by Toyota in Japan after World War II, which aims to increase production  
71 efficiency by the elimination of waste. The Toyota production system was invented and made to work, by Taiichi  
72 Ohno. While analyzing the problems inside the manufacturing environment; Ohno came to conclude that different  
73 kinds of wastes (nonvalue added works) are the main cause of inefficiency and low productivity. Ohno identified  
74 waste in several forms, including overproduction, waiting time, transportation problems, inefficient processing,  
75 inventory, and defective products.

#### 76 **7 Pareto Diagram:**

77 The Pareto diagram is a graphical overview of the process problems, in ranking order from the most frequent,  
78 down to the least frequent, in descending order from left to right. Thus, the Pareto diagram illustrates the  
79 frequency of fault types. Using a Pareto, one can decide which fault is the most serious or most frequent offender.

#### 80 **8 Fishbone Diagram:**

81 A framework used to identify potential root causes leading to poor quality.

82 Histogram: A graph of variable data providing a view of the distribution of data around the desired target  
83 value.

84 Scatter Diagram: A graph used to display the effect of changes in one input variable on the output of an  
85 operation.

#### 86 **9 e) Just in Time**

87 Just-in-time manufacturing is a Japanese management philosophy applied in manufacturing. It involves having  
88 the right items with the right quality and quantity in the right place at the right time. In general, Just in  
89 Time (JIT) helps to optimize company resources like capital, equipment, and labor. The goal of JIT is the  
90 total elimination of waste in the manufacturing process. Although the JIT system is applied mostly to the  
91 manufacturing environment, the concepts are not limited to this area of business only. The philosophy of JIT is  
92 a continuous improvement that emphasizes on prevention rather than correction and demands a companywide  
93 focus on quality.

---

94 **10 III.**

95 **11 Method and Materials**

96 **12 J**

97 The methodology adopted for this study is a case study and brain storming. The case study conducted on a  
98 garment factory named Ananta Apparels Ltd. Located at Narayanganj. At first preliminary investigation was  
99 carried out at cutting, sewing, washing, finishing and packing section to identify the area where most of the  
100 defects occur. It finds that, the sewing section is highly suffered from defect and rework problems. For this  
101 reason, the sewing line is identified to conduct research work. The work

102 **13 a) Data Collection**

103 Data sheets collected for garment item such as polo shirt for three months. The data collected by the end line  
104 quality inspectors from two production lines of sewing section. We checked 3500 polo shirts, and 470 pieces were  
105 found defective.

106 **14 b) Application of Six Sigma DMAIC Methodology**

107 Define Phase: Define is the first phase of the DMAIC methodology of Six Sigma. The purpose of this phase is  
108 to define the problem, the goal of the project and the process that needs to be improved to get a higher sigma  
109 level. There are different six sigma tools are available for define phase. Here SIPOC tool was applied.

110 Problem Statement: The garments manufacturer experience high volume of rejections of their products owing  
111 to defects.

112 Goal Statement: To decrease the percentage of the defect to the lowest level and thereby to reduce production  
113 cost and increase quality and productivity.

114 SIPOC: This is a process map that includes Suppliers, Inputs, Process, Outputs and Customers. Quality is  
115 judged based on the output of a process. Table 1 shows the SIPOC flow of the selected factory.

116 **15 J**

117 aims to minimize the defect percentage by using the DMAIC approach of Six Sigma methodology. Secondary  
118 data of the sewing section collected from the management of the factory. The data collected for polo shirts only.  
119 According to our observation and using the end line quality data provided by the management, then we identified  
120 some repetitive defects that occur in the sewing section. The information and data collected were arranged so  
121 that further study and analysis could perform. The suggestions were made based on the brain storming session  
122 which was arranged by the management of the factory. Experts of the factory from different areas were present  
123 in that session. Due to time constraint, management could not be able to implement all of the suggestions  
124 together. But they implemented some of the suggestions in short time-frame on their pilot line and found some  
125 improvement.

126 **16 Table 3: Frequency of Defects of the Inspected Polo Shirts**

127 Analyze phase: Two problem solving six sigma tools used at analyzing phase and these were: Brainstorming and  
128 cause and effect diagram.

129 Brainstorming: Brainstorming is one of an essential problem-solving tools. The goal of this tool is to identify  
130 the issues, solutions and opportunities. To find out the potential causes of the defects and their respective  
131 solutions, we arranged a brainstorming session where they applied the Round Robin method with the presence of  
132 the following members that are shown in table 4. Through brainstorming with sewing operators, line supervisors,  
133 end line quality inspectors, engineers, and floor manager, we recognized various probable causes. Then we  
134 identified the potential causes by online inspections and root cause analysis. Improve Phase: The purpose of  
135 the DMAIC Improve phase is to discover a solution to the problem that the task aims to address. This improve  
136 phase involves brainstorming potential solutions, selection of solutions to test and evaluating the results of the  
137 implemented solutions. Often a pilot implementation is conducted before a full-scale rollout of improvements.

138 **17 Cause and effect diagram:**

139 Suggested Solutions: This study tried to suggest some potential solutions to minimize the causes of defects  
140 through Brainstorming, direct observation and literature review. Table-5 gives necessary solutions with their  
141 corresponding causes.

142 **18 Method**

143 Incorrect size of the needle and thread for operation Ensure that the correct thread type and size rightly feeds  
144 both the needle and bottom (looper) positions.

145 Comparatively long stitch for the type of fabric in work.

146 Shorten the stitch length using the stitch regulator, especially when sewing fine fabrics Year 2019 J c)  
147 Implementation of the Solutions Based on the solutions provided by this study, we took some corrective actions  
148 mentioned in table-6.

149 We implemented it into one of their pilot sewing line. The pilot line had a total of 105 sewing machines.

### 150 **19 Table 6: Corrective Actions and Its Amount**

151 Control Phase: After the implementation of the solutions, we shared the progressive outcomes with the  
152 management. The main defects were recognized and partially reduced in amount. Now the challenge is to  
153 withstand the progress and to refine the system continuously. For this purpose, a control plan is prepared.

### 154 **20 Control Plan:**

155 The management needs to take the initiative on the following obligatory activities to withstand the progress after  
156 Six Sigma implementation: i. Arrange training continuously for the garments operators on the issue of quality.

157 ii. Always use quality threads, needles, and other garment accessories.

158 iii. A sound incentive scheme should take for highquality performance. iv. Preventing defects will be given  
159 more priority than correcting defects. v. Enforce strict quality control in the line. vi. The organization should  
160 develop a proper Quality Management System.

161 IV.

### 162 **21 Results and Discussions**

163 We implemented all the suggested solutions into one of their pilot sewing lines. After the implementation of  
164 solutions percentage of defectives, then we calculated DPMO and Sigma Level by using the previous sigma level  
165 formula and reported on table 7.

### 166 **22 Conclusion**

167 Minimizing defect is very important for ensuring the quality of products. Manufacturing the quality product is  
168 mandatory to sustain in this global competitive market. This study follows the DMAIC methodology of Six Sigma  
169 to find out the major defects, their root causes and then suggests logical solutions to minimize those defects. This  
170 study identified some defects (broken stitch, skip stitch, raw edge, uneven stitch, down stitch, process missing,  
171 puckering and joint stitch) those were responsible for more than 80% of total defects occurring in the sewing  
172 section of the garment factory for the item of a polo shirt. After finding the major defects, brainstorming tool  
173 was used to identify the probable causes and then we identified potential root causes by online inspections and  
174 root cause analysis. A significant improvement of the Sigma level found in the industry. So this method is very  
175 operative to the minimization of defects. If many garment factories in Bangladesh follow the six sigma concept,  
then they can minimize most of the defects in the sewing section.

Year 2019

2

( ) Volume XIx X Issue III Version I

Journal of Researches in Engineering

Global

© 2019 Global Journals

Figure 1:

**1**

Measure Phase: At this phase, the percentage of defects, existing DPMO (Defect per Million Opportunities) and calculation of the Sigma Level of the selected factory indicated in table 2.

Now, Table-2 shows the DPMO and Sigma level of Existing process-

Figure 2: Table 1 :

**2**

Suppliers	Inputs	Unstitched cloth	Ma-	Processes	Outputs
-Acotex Bangladesh Ltd. - Fabian Group	chinery Thread	Needles		Cut-ting Sewing Wash- ing Iron- ing	T-shirt Polo shirt Pant
-D.H Fashion	Button Zipper Label	Total Checked	pieces	Finishing Packaging	3500 No. of Defectives % Defec
	DPMO				134285
	Sigma level				2.6063

[Note: Calculation of the Sigma level by using the following formula in Ms. Excel:  $.5; \text{Where, Defects}-470, \text{Total Opportunities}-3500, \text{Sigma shift}= 1.5. \text{Sigma Level-Normisnv} (1-\text{Defects}/\text{Total opportunities}) +1]$

Figure 3: Table 2 :

4

Defects	Real Occurrence	Percentage (%) of Occurrence
Skip Stitch	45	9.57
Down Stitch	37	7.87
Broken Stitch	134	28.51
Raw Edge	42	8.94
Joint Stitch	35	7.45
Uneven Stitch	38	8.09
Spot/Oil stain	25	5.32
Hole/Damage	15	3.19
Puckering	39	8.30
Reject	7	1.49
Slanted	5	1.06
Uncut Thread	2	0.43
Reverse	19	4.04
Size Mistake	4	0.85
Process Missing	23	4.89
Total	470	100.00
	Attendants	Numbers
Sewing Floor Manager		1
	Industrial Engineer	2
GPQ (Growth, Production, and Quality)		2
End Line Quality Inspector		3
	Line Supervisor	3
Sewing Machine Operator		5

Figure 4: Table 4 :

5

Man	Machine	
Carelessness	Excessive pressure on the pressure foot	
Inadequate training and operator inefficiency	Dull or bent sewing machine needle and knife The machine is threaded incorrectly or excessive thread tension	All Defects
The stitch is too long for the type of fabric in work		Poor quality needle
Wrong needle size and thread size	Poor quality thread	
Incorrectly inserted needle	Material	
Method	Causes	Suggested Solutions
Areas		
Inadequate training and operator inefficiency		Trained and motivated operators sufficiently
Man		
Negligence		Improved supervision.
Machine	The machine is threaded incorrectly or excessive thread tension	Rethread machine and maintain proper thread tensions.
Excessive pressure on the presser foot.		Minimize the pressure on the presser foot.
Bent sewing machine needle and knife.		Replace the needle and knife with a new one.

Figure 5: Table 5 :

7

Incorrectly inserted needle	Check that the bobbin winds correctly and no loose thread
Poor quality thread	Using core spun yarn .
Poor quality needle	The needle should have high heat resistance capacity.
Corrective Actions	Amount
Replacement of dull or bent sewing machine needles	16 needles
Replacement of dull knives	9 knives
Number of machines rethreaded	17 machines
Correction of needle insertions	15 machines
Replacement of faulty bobbins	3 machines
Training provided	machines 2 hours each day

[Note: V.]

Figure 6: Table 7 :



177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195

---

[Shahidul and Syed Shazali ()] ‘Dynamics of manufacturing Productivity’. M I Shahidul , S T Syed Shazali .  
*Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* 2011. 22 (5) p. .

[Export Performance of RMG of Bangladesh for 2011-12 and 2012-13, Annual Report of Bangladesh Knitwear Manufacturers and  
‘Export Performance of RMG of Bangladesh for 2011-12 and 2012-13, Annual Report of Bangladesh Knitwear  
Manufacturers and Export Association’. *BKMEA*

[Implementation of Six Sigma to Minimize Defects in Sewing Section of Apparel Industry in Bangladesh 10]  
*Implementation of Six Sigma to Minimize Defects in Sewing Section of Apparel Industry in Bangladesh 10*,  
<https://paperap.com/paper-on-implementing-strategies/>

[Islam and Khan ()] ‘Minimization of Reworks in Quality and Productivity Improvement in the Apparel  
Industry’. M M Islam , A M Khan . *Int. J. of Engg. and Applied Sciences* 2013. 1 (4) p. .

[Sikorski et al. ()] D Sikorski , J W Dean , D E Bowen . *Management Theory and Total Quality: Improving  
Research and Practice and Theory Development*, 1994. 19 p. .

[Kumar and Madu ()] ‘Six sigma in small and medium-sized UK manufacturing enterprises: Some empirical  
observations’. Antony J Kumar , M Madu , CN . *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*  
2005. 22 (8) p. .

[Tennant and Sigma ()] Geoff Tennant , Six Sigma . *SPC and TQM in Manufacturing and Services*, 2001. Gower  
Publishing Ltd. 25.

[Ohno ()] *Toyota Production System*, T Ohno . [https://www.google.com/search?q=thinkinglean.com/img/files/PAPER\\_3\(1%E2%80%A6&tbn=isch&so](https://www.google.com/search?q=thinkinglean.com/img/files/PAPER_3(1%E2%80%A6&tbn=isch&so) 1988. New York: Productivity Press.