

# Performance of Composite Structures Subjected to High Velocity Impact -Review

Enock A. Duodu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Jiangsu University

*Received: 14 December 2015 Accepted: 2 January 2016 Published: 15 January 2016*

---

## Abstract

In recent years, breakthrough in the development of modelling techniques and impact analysis of composite materials subjected to high velocity has been made. The study methodically reviews the modelling techniques for the structural response of composite materials under high velocity. Although, report gives numerical model as widely used method, yet experimental test is always required to validate both analytical and finite element designs. The assessment shows that all modelling methods are suitable for application based on loading conditions of the composite structure. Lastly, the reference list provides databank for future researchers and engineers on composite structure subjected to high velocity impact.

---

*Index terms*— analytical approach, experimental method, finite element simulation, high velocity impact, composite materials.

## 1 Performance of Composite Structures Subjected

to High Velocity Impact -Review I. Introduction carbon fibre-reinforced composites are known for their high weight-specific stiffness and strength properties, which make them a preferred alternative in the material selection for modern lightweight structures in aeronautic and automotive engineering. However, these materials are susceptible against impact loads. Internal damage formed in composite laminates spreads beyond the impacted area, and significantly reduces the strength and stiffness of the composite [1]. Composite materials response to impact loading and also the dissipation of the incident kinetic energy of the projectile is different when compared to metals. In traditional materials energy is absorbed through elastic and plastic deformation which result in permanent structural deformation [2]. However, the capability of the composites to undergo plastic deformation is extremely limited as the resultant energy is frequently absorbed to create large zones for fracture with resultant reductions in both strength and stiffness [3].

Composite materials are extensively used in industrial applications such as aerospace, marine, locomotive and civil engineering structures due to their superior material properties. However, these materials tend to involve many microscopic damages including stiffness reduction due to impact which often leads to catastrophic failure. Currently, the extent of fiberreinforced composites applied in aircraft structures has become one of the most important targets making the advancement of modern structural design. Nevertheless the applications in aerospace industry, composites are increasingly used in other industries such as civil, sporting equipment, medical fields, automotive, railways and in marine vessels specifically for masts, hulls, desks and propellers. Unlike traditional materials, composites offer an endless array of design variations with flexibility accompanied by complications in modelling and analysis. This performance attracted transportation industries to develop structures replacing metallic material with the lighter structures. However, composite structures are poor to impact resistance owing to cyclic loading conditions, which results in inelastic behavior and poor damage resistance. Composite structures can develop local failures or exhibit local damage such as matrix cracks, fiber breakage, fiber-matrix debonds, and delaminations under normal operating conditions which may contribute to their failure.

44 High velocity impact is defined as local wave dominated response independent of boundary conditions ranging  
45 above 10 m/s, and characterized as dynamic events [4] with high speed and small mass. High velocity impact  
46 produces a short duration, steeply rising loading pulse when impacting the structure. It is dominated by inertial  
47 forces, wave propagation and changes in material stiffness, strength and fracture energy due to a high strain  
48 rate [5]. There are many parameters which influence the response of composite materials under high velocity  
49 impact, and these include: type, architectures and volume fraction of the reinforcement, laminate thickness,  
50 matrix system, projectile geometry and mass [6]. At high incident impact energies, target perforation may occur  
51 and the passage of the impactor naturally results in petalling, cracking and spalling. Although such damage  
52 reduce the load-bearing ability of the structure, its effects can generally be predicted using fracture mechanics  
53 principles [7].

54 In a high velocity impact, the response of the structural element is governed by the local behaviour of  
55 the material in the neighbourhood of the impacted zone; the impact response of the element being generally  
56 independent of its support conditions; and in most cases, impact test is carried out experimentally using a single  
57 stage gas gun. In recent years, researchers [8][9][10] have used sensors and transducers, however, residual velocity  
58 is difficult to measure as a result of shear plugs while other researchers have adopted a high-speed video cameras  
59 [11] due to its consistency and precision. As a result of focus on the impact response, it is important to understand  
60 the material's behaviour and the mode of assessment when subjected to impulsive loading.

61 Nowadays, composites are believed to have superior potential applications as the primary loadbearing structure  
62 in many industries, thus, studies on impact behaviour of carbon fibre composite have attracted much attention  
63 and become a hot research interest in the discipline of composite materials. This work is a bit of that endurance  
64 to establish numerical models capable for structural optimization in diverse engineering applications especially  
65 aeronautics and astronautics design. Therefore, the main objective of this paper is to review recent models  
66 used to analyze impact response of composite materials subjected to high velocity. Depending on the nature of  
67 the structure and the impact threshold, numerous studies have adopted analytical, experimental and numerical  
68 methods to analyze the structural behavior. On like metals, composite materials are anisotropic or orthotropic in  
69 nature and their mechanical behaviors under impact are complicated to predict, and therefore presents distinctive  
70 and demanding task for researchers and engineers to study in order to predict the impact response during static  
71 and dynamic loading conditions for safe used. This work endeavor is part of that endurance and aims to review  
72 the methodologies capable to predict structural performance in diverse engineering applications.

## 73 2 II. Methods of Analysis a) Experimental technique

74 Hosur et al. [15] conducted experimental study on response of stitched/unstitched woven fabriccarbon/epoxy  
75 composite laminates subjected to high velocity impact loading. The ensuing damage is characterized through  
76 ultrasonic NDE. Result of the study indicates that the damage is well contained within the stitch grid in the  
77 stitched laminates but higher for the unstitched laminates. Their studies also proved that ballistic limit increased  
78 with the increase in the thickness of the laminate; however, satin weave laminate exhibited higher ballistic limits  
79 in most of the cases.

80 Garcia-Castillo et al. [16] examined the damage in preloaded glass/vinylester composite panels subjected to  
81 high-velocity impacts. In their study, three representative structural cases (no, uniaxial and biaxial loadings) was  
82 analyzed. The result shows that the damage area was localized in the center of the panel; and qualitatively the  
83 damage area was largest on the non-loaded laminate of impact velocity of 136 m/s followed by 130m/s velocity  
84 uniaxial loading with the least being the 98m/s impact loading of the biaxial laminate. The study reveals that  
85 the impact energy and damage area was greater in the non-preload laminates than in the uniaxial and biaxial  
86 preloaded laminates. This difference occurs due to the increment of effective stiffness in panels subjected to  
87 membrane loads, which decreases the displacement of the panels, and accordingly reduces the damage area. This  
88 phenomenon is more significant at the perforationthreshold energy, where the bending of the panels is the greatest.  
89 High velocity impact response of sandwich structure composite laminated plates, Kevlar-29/epoxy and 60 61-T6  
90 aluminium was experimentally investigated by [17] using a nitrogen gas gun. Adopting the same approach, high  
91 velocity projectile impact through different thickness of polyurea coated AA5083-H116 aluminium alloy plates  
92 was assessed by [18] .

93 Jabbar et al. [19] presented experimental study to compare the mechanical and ballistic performance of  
94 composites reinforced with single-layer and double-layer inter-locked woven fabrics low and high velocity impacts.  
95 The energy absorption and mechanical failure behavior of composites during the impact event were found to be  
96 strongly affected by the weave design of the reinforcement. The composites reinforced with doublelayer interlocked  
97 woven fabrics were found to perform better than those comprising single-layer fabrics in terms of impact energy  
98 absorption and mechanical failure. Similarly, Sultan [3] prescribed a study to examine the effect of thickness  
99 on fiber glass reinforced epoxy matrix subjected to high velocity impact loading. Their results show that the  
100 mechanical properties, damage characterization and impact resistance of type C-glass/Epoxy 600 g/m<sup>2</sup> possess  
101 better toughness, modulus and penetration compared to type C-glass/Epoxy 200 g/m<sup>2</sup> . Moreover, as the plate  
102 thickness increases, the maximum impact load and impact energy increases relatively. The result clearly reveals  
103 that impact damage was in the form of perforation, fiber breakage and matrix cracking. Lahuerta et al. [12] used  
104 an experimental technique to measure the delamination length in mode I tests based on video image processing.  
105 A nondimensional formulation of an analytical model proposed in a previous work of García Castillo et al. [13]

---

was redeveloped by [14] based on energy criteria to study the ballistic behaviour of composite plates made from woven laminates of E-glass fibers. This model allows for estimation of the residual velocity of the projectile, the ballistic limit, the energy absorbed by different mechanisms during the penetration of the laminate, and the contact time between the projectile and the laminate. Good agreement was found for residual velocities, contact time and ballistic limit for two geometry ratios.

Sabet et al. [20] presented experimental study on high velocity impact performance of glass reinforced polyester (GRP) resin composite plates with different type of reinforcement between the velocity range of 80 to 160 m/s. Result shows higher ballistic limit velocity (velocity at which samples fully penetrated the target plates with zero residual velocity) for 3 mm GRP plates with cross-ply unidirectional reinforcement followed by unidirectional reinforcement and plain weave, the plates with satin weave and chopped strand mat (CSM) reinforcements were almost in same level. The report added that thicker specimens (6 mm), plates with plain weave reinforcement showed better ballistic performance towards sharp tipped conical projectile impact, followed by cross-ply unidirectional, satin weave, unidirectional and CSM reinforced plates. Experimentally, the study reveals that the ballistic limit velocity for all specimens correlate well with estimated ballistic limit values obtained in full perforation tests. Findik et al. [21,22] have investigated experimental study on impact performance of some polymer-based composites and observed the contact mechanism as well as dynamic response of composite laminates. Pol et al. [23] performed experimental study on the influence of nano-clay Closite 30B on ballistic impact behavior of 2D woven E-glass/epoxy laminated composites.

The standard material characterization under compression and fracture modes was assessed by [24] under experimental study of high velocity impact fracture of ice. The failure of fiber reinforced thermoplastic composites (polypropylene made of hybrid E-glass/PP yarns) was investigated under medium and high velocity impact loading conditions by electromagnetic and acoustic emission signal measurements [25].

Hazell et al. [26] presented experimental study on the response of a bonded carbon-fiber-reinforced plastic composite panel to impact, penetration, and perforation by a high-velocity steel sphere. Hou et al. [27] identified and discussed the ballistic performance, quasi-static and impact perforation of metallic sandwich structures with aluminum foam and studied the effects of several key parameters as impact velocity, skin thickness, thickness, density of foam core, and projectile shapes on the ballistic limit and energy absorption of the panels during perforation of impact loading.

To elucidate the penetration and failure mechanisms, an experimental test using the JH-1 ceramic model of the projectile penetrating into a silicon carbide-faced polycarbonate is implemented in the hydro-code Autodyn-2D [28]. Übeyli et al. [29] have experimentally studied the ballistic behavior of laminated composite having alumina front and dual phase steel backing layers using 7.62 mm armor piercing (AP) projectiles under normal impact. The results showed that utilization of a 6 mm thick alumina front layer which is bonded to dual phase steel enhanced the ballistic resistance of the dual phase steel remarkably.

A study of the high velocity impact response of thick composite plates under tensile preload using a glass sphere projectile and an impact velocity is presented in [30], where less delaminations compared to the unloaded case are obtained under tensile preloads. Ballistic impact tests on thick woven E-glass/vinyl ester plates with compressive preload and variable velocities are performed by [31]. The authors report a detrimental effect on the residual strength of the composite plate.

### 3 b) Analytical study

Talib et al. [32] formulated analytical model to predict the performance of hybrid composite made of woven fiber Kevlar-29 and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder/epoxy subjected to high velocity impact. The relationship between the ballistic limit with the thickness and energy absorption with thickness for Kevlar-29 fiber and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder-reinforced composite materials was established. It was found that the proposed equation is suitable for this type of composite materials after comparing the behavior of the theoretical analyses with the experimental work. The experimental results showed good agreement compared with the theoretical work. The results indicate that the improvements in the performance target for bullet-proof applications are achieved.

Extensive parametric studies were carried-out on woven fabric thick composites and the energy absorption to predict the ballistic impact behavior of thick composites [33,34]. Also, a normal impact and perforation of conically-tipped hard steel cylinders was done on laminated Kevlar-29/polyester targets and pneumatic and powder guns, with a 12.7 mm barrel diameter are used for dynamic testing where ballistic An analytical formulation by Sheikh et al. [37] to predict the residual velocity of cylindrical projectiles under high velocity impacts on carbon epoxy laminates is investigated. Similarly, Udatha et al. [38] proposed analytical model to predict the performance of 3D woven composites under high velocity impact. For comparison, studies are also presented on the performance of twodimensional plain weave E-glass/epoxy composites. A good match is observed between the analytical predictions and experimentally obtained limit velocities for complete penetration. It is observed that limit velocity for complete penetration for three-dimensional woven composite is higher than that of two-dimensional plain weave composite.

Mishra et al. [39] formulated mathematical model based on theory of single yarn impact and implemented in MATLAB code to calculate the energy absorption and strain induced in the Kevlar and leather Performance of Composite Structures Subjected to High Velocity Impact -Review limits, terminal velocities and perforation are determined on target plates [35,36]. layers composites under high velocity impact. Results of the study shows

### 3 B) ANALYTICAL STUDY

---

168 that the stored energy increases and strain decreases with increase in impact time due to initially high kinetic  
169 energy utilizing of impactor for deformation than the absorbed in layers. As the impact time increases, tendency  
170 of deformation reduces and energy absorption improves. Again, the strain energy is high at the time of impact  
171 inception, due to kinetic energy of impactor is more than the energy absorbing capacity of layers which results  
172 in maximum damage of the layers; and the cone area developed in the Kevlar layer is more in comparison to  
173 leather layer has absorb more energy than leather layers. The study concludes that outcome of the results may  
174 be used as preliminary design tool for an assembly of rigid and semi-rigid materials in an armor system to reduce  
175 the experimental cost and time.

176 Ravi kumar et al. [40] presented analytical method to predict the compressive strength at high strain rate  
177 of 5000 s<sup>-1</sup> loading of a typical woven fabric E-glass/epoxy composite L2R. The result is compared with the  
178 experimental tests using compressive split Hopkinson pressure bar apparatus, which observed that the compressive  
179 strength is enhanced at high strain rate loading compared with that at quasi-static loading.

180 Pernas-Sánchez et al. [41] proposed a simplified analytical model of carbon/epoxy tape quasiisotropic laminates  
181 to assess the different energy absorption mechanisms and predict the residual velocity of the projectile subjected  
182 to high velocity impacts. The model is validated by experimental test using destructive and non-destructive  
183 techniques.

184 Hossein et al. [42] studied the variation of the ballistic limit with areal density in a woven fabric made from  
185 Kevlar fibers. The model allows variation of spacing between laminas in order to study their effect on the ballistic  
186 limit. Again, the models based on energy conservation laws consider that the kinetic energy of the projectile at  
187 impact should be consumed during the perforation process by the elastic deformation of the panel, by the failure  
188 process of the laminate (which includes several mechanisms), by friction and heating of the laminate, and by  
189 accelerating the panel after impact [14].

190 Garc a-Castillo redeveloped an energy model based on the proposals of Naik, et al. [43] to study laminate  
191 plates subjected to ballistic impact with and without in-plane preloads [44,45]. The authors' model assumes  
192 that the plate absorbs the energy by three mechanisms: the elastic deformation of the fibers, the acceleration  
193 of the plate, and the generation of damage in the laminate. This damage may be due to the failure of fibers,  
194 delamination, and matrix cracking. This model is later used in a non-dimensional formulation to analyze the  
195 influence of several ratios in the ballistic behavior of thin laminates [46]. Fatt et al. [47] presented analytical  
196 solution to predict the residual velocity of a hemispherical-nose cylindrical projectile impacted on a composite  
197 sandwich panel at high velocity range of 75-325m/s. The analytical approach was mechanistic without any  
198 detailed account of progressive damage due to delamination and debonding but changes in the load-bearing  
199 resistance of the sandwich panel due to failure and complete loss of resistance from the face sheets and core  
200 during projectile penetration. The study indicated that the predicted transient deflection and velocity of the  
201 projectile and sandwich panel compared fairly well with results from finite element analysis. Again, analytical  
202 predictions of the projectile residual velocities were found to be in good agreement with experimental data found  
203 in literature.

204 Using an existing model [48], Feli and Pour [49] presented a new analytical model for perforation process of  
205 composite sandwich panels with honeycomb core subjected to high-velocity impact of cylindrical projectile. The  
206 redefined model is validated by comparing with [48] experimental tests and numerical model. A good agreement  
207 between the residual velocity of projectile in the new analytical model and experiment tests was established.

208 Mamivand et al. [50] developed analytical technique for the ballistic behaviour of 2-dimensional (2D)  
209 woven fabric composites. Similarly, Feli and Asgari [51] have presented analytical approach for perforation  
210 of ceramic/multi-layer woven fabric targets by blunt projectiles. This model was used to model back-up woven-  
211 fabric material and deformation of yarns during perforation where the kinetic and strain energy of yarns were  
212 determined. Feli et al. [52] developed analytical model and FE simulation based on FE code in LS-DYNA for  
213 normal penetration of cylindrical projectiles onto the ceramic-composite targets. Liaghat et al. [53] presented  
214 analytical technique to determine the ballistic limit velocity of metallic honeycombs impacted by cylindrical  
215 projectiles. This method is based on the assumption that the total kinetic energy of the projectile is dissipated  
216 in folding and crushing of honeycomb, tearing of cell walls, forming and shearing of the plug.

217 L pez-Puente et al. [54] formulated analytical application to predict residual velocity after the impact onto a  
218 thin carbon/epoxy woven laminate. Their model considers three different energy absorption mechanisms for the  
219 laminate. The study used experimental impact test to validate the model and the results clearly shows a very  
220 good correlation between the results obtained from both experimental and numerical simulations in literature.

221 A non-dimensional formulation of an analytical model proposed in a previous work of Garc a Castillo et al.  
222 [13] was redeveloped by [14] based on energy criteria to study the ballistic behaviour of composite plates made  
223 from woven laminates of E-glass fibers.

224 This model allows for estimation of the residual velocity of the projectile, the ballistic limit, the energy absorbed  
225 by different mechanisms during the penetration of the laminate, and the contact time between the projectile and  
226 the laminate. Good agreement was found for residual velocities, contact time and ballistic limit for two geometry  
227 ratios.

228 Talib et al. [32] formulated analytical model to predict the performance of hybrid composite made of woven  
229 fiber Kevlar-29 and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder/epoxy subjected to high velocity impact. The relationship between the  
230 ballistic limit with the thickness and energy absorption with thickness for Kevlar-29 fiber and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powder-

---

reinforced composite materials was established. It was found that the proposed equation is suitable for this type of composite materials after comparing the behavior of the theoretical analyses with the experimental work. The experimental results showed good agreement compared with the theoretical work. The results indicate that the improvements in the performance target for bullet-proof applications are achieved.

Extensive parametric studies were carried-out on woven fabric thick composites and the energy absorption to predict the ballistic impact behavior of thick composites [33,34]. Also, a normal impact and perforation of conically-tipped hard steel cylinders was done on laminated Kevlar-29/polyester targets and pneumatic and powder guns, with a 12.7 mm barrel diameter are used for dynamic testing where ballistic limits, terminal velocities and perforation are determined on target plates [35,36].

An analytical formulation by Sheikh et al. [37] to predict the residual velocity of cylindrical projectiles under high velocity impacts on carbon epoxy laminates is investigated. Similarly, Datta et al. [38] proposed analytical model to predict the performance of 3D woven composites under high velocity impact. For comparison, studies are also presented on the performance of twodimensional plain weave E-glass/epoxy composites. A good match is observed between the analytical predictions and experimentally obtained limit velocities for complete penetration. It is observed that limit velocity for complete penetration for three-dimensional woven composite is higher than that of two-dimensional plain weave composite.

Mishra et al. [39] formulated mathematical model based on theory of single yarn impact and implemented in MATLAB code to calculate the energy absorption and strain induced in the Kevlar and leather layers composites under high velocity impact. Results of the study shows that the stored energy increases and strain decreases with increase in impact time due to initially high kinetic energy utilizing of impactor for deformation than the absorbed in layers. As the impact time increases, tendency of deformation reduces and energy absorption improves. Again, the strain energy is high at the time of impact inception, due to kinetic energy of impactor is more than the energy absorbing capacity of layers which results in maximum damage of the layers; and the cone area developed in the Kevlar layer is more in comparison to leather layer has absorb more energy than leather layers. The study concludes that outcome of the results may be used as preliminary design tool for an assembly of rigid and semi-rigid materials in an armor system to reduce the experimental cost and time.

Ravi kumar et al. [40] presented analytical method to predict the compressive strength at high strain rate of 5000 s<sup>-1</sup> loading of a typical woven fabric Eglass/epoxy composite L2R. The result is compared with the experimental tests using compressive split Hopkinson pressure bar apparatus, which observed that the compressive strength is enhanced at high strain rate loading compared with that at quasi-static loading.

Pernas-Sánchez et al. [41] proposed a simplified analytical model of carbon/epoxy tape quasiisotropic laminates to assess the different energy absorption mechanisms and predict the residual velocity of the projectile subjected to high velocity impacts. The model is validated by experimental test using destructive and non-destructive techniques.

Hossein et al. [42] studied the variation of the ballistic limit with areal density in a woven fabric made from Kevlar fibers. The model allows variation of spacing between laminas in order to study their effect on the ballistic limit. Again, the models based on energyconservation laws consider that the kinetic energy of the projectile at impact should be consumed during the perforation process by the elastic deformation of the panel, by the failure process of the laminate (which includes several mechanisms), by friction and heating of the laminate, and by accelerating the panel after impact [14].

García-Castillo redeveloped an energy model based on the proposals of Naik, et al. [43] to study laminate plates subjected to ballistic impact with and without in-plane preloads [44,45]. The authors' model assumes that the plate absorbs the energy by three mechanisms: the elastic deformation of the fibers, the acceleration of the plate, and the generation of damage in the laminate. This damage may be due to the failure of fibers, delamination, and matrix cracking. This model is later used in a non-dimensional formulation to analyze the influence of several ratios in the ballistic behavior of thin laminates [46].

Fatt et al. [47] presented analytical solution to predict the residual velocity of a hemispherical-nose cylindrical projectile impacted on a composite sandwich panel at high velocity range of 75-325m/s. The analytical approach was mechanistic without any detailed account of progressive damage due to delamination and debonding but changes in the load-bearing resistance of the sandwich panel due to failure and complete loss Global Journal of Researches in Engineering ( ) Volume XVI Issue IV Version I of resistance from the face sheets and core during projectile penetration. The study indicated that the predicted transient deflection and velocity of the projectile and sandwich panel compared fairly well with results from finite element analysis. Again, analytical predictions of the projectile residual velocities were found to be in good agreement with experimental data found in literature.

Using previously developed model of Buitrago et al. [48], Feli and Pour [49] presented a new analytical model for perforation process of composite sandwich panels with honeycomb core subjected to high-velocity impact of cylindrical projectile. The redefined model is validated by comparing with [48] experimental tests and numerical model. A good agreement between the residual velocity of projectile in the new analytical model and experiment tests was established.

Mamivand et al. [50] developed analytical technique for the ballistic behaviour of 2-dimensional (2D) woven fabric composites. Similarly, Feli and Asgari [51] have presented analytical approach for perforation of ceramic/multi-layer woven fabric targets by blunt projectiles. This model was used to model back-up woven-fabric material and deformation of yarns during perforation where the kinetic and strain energy of yarns were

294 determined. Feli et al. [52] developed analytical model and FE simulation based on FE code in LS-DYNA for  
295 normal penetration of cylindrical projectiles onto the ceramic-composite targets. Liaghat et al. [53] presented  
296 analytical technique to determine the ballistic limit velocity of metallic honeycombs impacted by cylindrical  
297 projectiles. This method is based on the assumption that the total kinetic energy of the projectile is dissipated  
298 in folding and crushing of honeycomb, tearing of cell walls, forming and shearing of the plug.

299 López-Puente et al. [54] formulated analytical application to predict residual velocity after the impact onto a  
300 thin carbon/epoxy woven laminate. Their model considers three different energy absorption mechanisms for the  
301 laminate. The study used experimental impact test to validate the model and the results clearly shows a very  
302 good correlation between the results obtained from both experimental and numerical simulations in literature.

### 303 4 c) Finite element method

304 A numerical model with appropriate erosion criteria for impact analysis of hybrid-fiber engineered cementitious  
305 composites (ECC) panels using LS-DYNA commercial software under high velocity is investigated by [55]. The  
306 study shows that the tensile stress-strain relationship of the developed numerical model compared with the  
307 experimental test in reference [56] is in good agreement.

308 Prakash et al. [57] developed FE model to study the influence of adhesive thickness on high velocity impact  
309 (HVI) performance of ceramic (Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-99.5)/aluminum (Al5083 H116) composite laminate through a detailed  
310 numerical investigation using the AUTODYN software platform. The result of the study discloses that interface  
311 layer plays a significant role in the impact performance. The result is validated by experimental analysis for  
312 optimum designs of the target plates. Park et al. [58] performed numerical analysis using the commercial  
313 software tool LS-DYNA on high velocity impact of shear thickening fluids (STF) impregnated Kevlar fabric. The  
314 simulation results are in good agreement with empirical data obtained. The empirical and numerical study on  
315 the energy absorption characteristics of neat Kevlar and STF impregnated Kevlar fabrics suggest a positive effect  
316 by the STF impregnation on the energy absorption.

317 Pernas-Sánchez et al. [59] developed finite element model to predict the behavior of composite unidirectional  
318 laminates under high velocity impact. The numerical model is validated by C-Scan images which exhibit very  
319 good correlation with reference to penetration and the damaged area. Wang et al. [60] conducted FE analysis on  
320 CFRP laminates subjected to high velocity impact. The predicted numerical results are validated by experimental  
321 measurement; and the study shows that thin CFRP laminates have higher energy absorbing efficiency (EAE)  
322 under higher velocity (energy) while thick CFRP laminates have higher EAE under lower velocity (energy)  
323 impact. The energy absorbing efficiency comparison shows that impact velocity range of EAE-CFRP laminates  
324 is higher than that of stainless-steel. Therefore, CFRP laminates are seen as a potential advantage to substitute  
325 the metal plates in higher velocity impact resistance structures.

326 Ivañez et al. [61] formulated a user material VUMAT subroutine and implemented into ABAQUS/Explicit  
327 finite element platform to predict the high-velocity impact response of sandwich plates. The accuracy of the finite-  
328 element model is determined by comparing experimental data with numerical predictions in terms of ballistic limit  
329 and residual velocity. Satisfactory agreement with the experimental results was established. The comparison of  
330 the damaged area in sandwich and spaced plates revealed that the suppression of the foam core in the sandwich  
331 structure affects the size of the damaged area. Buitrago et al. [48] formulated a model and implemented into  
332 ABAQUS/Explicit through user-written VUMAT subroutines finite element to predict the behaviour of sandwich  
333 panels made of carbon/epoxy laminate skins with aluminum honeycomb core under high-velocity impacts. The  
334 model is validated with experimental tests by comparing numerical and experimental residual velocity, ballistic  
335 limit and contact time.

336 Heimbs et al. [62] have carried out an experimental and numerical study of the influence of tensile and  
337 compressive preloading impact on the performance of carbon/epoxy plates at high velocity.

338 Ultrasonic C-scans and micrographs are used for the post-test damage inspection, where matrix cracking  
339 and delaminations are observed as the major impact damage modes. Tensile preloading is found to reduce the  
340 extent of delaminations, while compressive preload increased the extent of delaminations resulting from a higher  
341 bending deflection of the impact plate. The study shows that preloading has an influence on the impact response  
342 of laminated composite plates and is relevant in the structural design analyses.

343 Silva et al. [63] presented experimental and numerical application to predict the ballistic impact on composite  
344 laminated plates reinforced with Kevlar-29/Vinylester. The analysis is performed using a commercial code based  
345 on finite difference hydrocode AUTODYN and values obtained are compared with the experimental data to  
346 evaluate the performance of the simulation. Good correlation between numerical simulation and experimental  
347 results is achieved for deformation and damage of the laminates. Tham et al. [64] conducted a combined  
348 experimental and 3D dynamic nonlinear finite element (FE) approach to study damage in composite beams  
349 subject to ballistic impact. The influence of tensile and compressive preloads on the soft body impact behaviour  
350 of composite laminates has been studied experimentally and numerically in [65], where the researchers used  
351 gelatine projectile as a substitute material.

352 Bürger et al. [66] formulated a model based on Lagrangian and implemented into an explicit solver in the  
353 commercial FEA software ABAQUS/Explicit to simulate the ballistic impact of an armor-piercing projectile in  
354 hybrid ceramic/fiber reinforced composite armor. The ballistic limit prediction velocity shows that global damage  
355 and residual velocity are very accurate when experimentally compared. Sastry et al. [67] developed numerical

---

356 model and implemented into the commercial software ABAQUS/Explicit to study the effect of ballistic impact  
357 on the composite plate madeup of woven fabric CFRP, the E-glass/epoxy and the Kevlar/epoxy for different  
358 ply stacking sequences. The result indicates that the Kevlar/epoxy absorbs a maximum kinetic energy of energy  
359 compared to the other the two materials. The numerical simulation provides quick estimation with good accuracy  
360 and reliability as compared to experimental results.

361 Sevkat et al. [68] presented a combined experimental and numerical approach to study the ballistic impact  
362 response of S2-glass fiber toughened epoxy composite beams using a high speed gas gun. Again, a hybrid particle  
363 finite element algorithm for high velocity impact based on the Generalized Particle Algorithm (GPA) is formulated  
364 [69][70][71] and compared to the other computation algorithm.

365 Mohotti et al. [72] formulated a bird strike-like projectile simulation using explicit finite element code in  
366 LS-DYNA to investigate the behaviour of multi-layered composite plate coated with polyurea and aluminium  
367 alloys under high velocity impact. The study shows that the application of polyurea coatings resulted in a higher  
368 residual velocity reduction per unit areal density than aluminium alloys. This indicates the feasibility of polyurea  
369 to be utilised in layered composite armour systems in mitigating ballistic threats.

370 Kumar et al. [73] have numerically study the effect of impactor and laminate parameters on the impact  
371 response and impact-induced damages in graphite/epoxy laminated cylindrical shells using 3D finite element  
372 formulation. The numerical results compared with experimental data showed good correlation. Zhao and Cho  
373 [74] investigated the impactinduced damage initiation and propagation in the laminated composite shell under  
374 low-velocity impact. The study employed a three dimensional eight-node non-conforming element with Taylor's  
375 modification scheme to analysis the interlaminar stress distribution and damage propagation.

376 Ghosh and Sinha [75] developed a finite element model to predict the initiation and propagation of damage  
377 laminated composite plates under forced vibration and impact loads. Tarfaoui et al. [76] presented a FEA of  
378 static and dynamic tests on thick filament wound glass/epoxy tubes. The material characteristics of the models  
379 are validated to predict the static and dynamic elastic behavior. Kim et al. [77] have numerically proposed  
380 a damage model based on continuum damage mechanics for the progressive damage analysis of a composite  
381 structure. The damage model is implemented in the user material subroutine of the ABAQUS/Explicit program.  
382 The impact response and damage from the numerical analysis are comparable with results obtained through  
383 experimental test.

## 384 5 III. Concluding Remarks

385 This paper reviews the modelling techniques to analysis the impact response of composite structures subjected  
386 to high velocity. Three approaches; experimental, analytical and numerical methods were successfully employed  
387 to predict the impact behaviour. The report shows that numerous researchers assessed the impact behaviour  
388 of composite edifice through experimental method due to the simplification of use and does not need detail  
389 parameter on actual damage mechanisms. But, this method is costly and difficult to extend towards more  
390 general loading conditions, where multi-axial stress conditions are imposed. Finite element simulations have  
391 been applied to structural analysis under high velocity impact loadings for accurate estimation of results under  
392 both static and dynamic conditions. On the other hand, computational cost is very high, which requires high  
393 performance computers to model complex impact events. Again, numerous researchers and engineers have applied  
394 analytical models due to low computational cost and time, and capable to define the physical behaviour of the  
395 composite materials. Noticeably, analytical formulations are only applicable to simple models. The report shows  
396 that all methods for analysis are suitable for application based on loading conditions of the composite material.  
397 Nonetheless, numerical simulation offers the most detailed information on the spatial and temporal distribution  
398 of damage during impact which is more flexible and powerful alternative and widely used compare to analytical  
399 formulations and experimental tests. <sup>1 2 3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)Performance of Composite Structures Subjected to High Velocity Impact  
-Review

<sup>2</sup>Performance of Composite Structures Subjected to High Velocity Impact -Review

<sup>3</sup>© 2016 Global Journals Inc. (US)



---

400 [Razali et al.] , N Razali , Mth Sultan , F Mustapha , N Yidris , M R Ishak . (Impact Damage on Composite  
401 Structures-A Review)

402 [Alves et al.] , M Alves , C Chaves , R S Birch . (Impact on aircraft)

403 [Johnson et al. ()] ‘A 3D combined particle-element method for intense impulsive loading computations involving  
404 severe distortions’. G Johnson , S Beissel , C Gerlach . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2015. 84  
405 p. .

406 [Sevkat et al. ()] *A combined experimental and numerical approach to study and Technology*, E Sevkat , B Liaw  
407 , F Delale , B B Raju . 2009. 69 p. .

408 [Johnson et al. ()] ‘A combined particle-element method for high-velocity impact computations’. G Johnson , S  
409 Beissel , C Gerlach . *Procedia Engineering* 2013. 58 p. .

410 [Mamivand and Liaghat ()] ‘A model for ballistic impact on multi-layer fabric targets’. M Mamivand , G H  
411 Liaghat . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2010. 37 p. .

412 [Fatt and Sirivolu ()] ‘A wave propagation model for the high velocity impact response of a composite sandwich  
413 panel’. Msh Fatt , D Sirivolu . *International journal of impact engineering* 2010. 37 p. .

414 [Feli and Pour ()] ‘An analytical model for composite sandwich panels with honeycomb core subjected to high-  
415 velocity impact’. S Feli , Mhn Pour . *Composites Part B: Engineering* 2012. 43 p. .

416 [López-Puente et al. ()] ‘An analytical model for high velocity impacts on thin’. J López-Puente , R Zaera , C  
417 Navarro . *CFRPs Global Journal of Researches in Engineering* 2007. 44 p. . (International Journal of solids  
418 and structures)

419 [Feli et al. ()] ‘An analytical model for perforation of ceramic/multi-layered planar woven fabric targets by blunt  
420 projectiles’. S Feli , M H Yas , M R Asgari . *Composite Structures* 2011. 93 p. .

421 [Naik et al. ()] ‘An energy-based model for ballistic impact analysis of ceramic-composite armors’. N K Naik  
422 , S Kumar , D Ratnaveer , M Joshi , K Akella . *International Journal of Damage Mechanics* 2012. p.  
423 1056789511435346.

424 [Ravikumar et al. ()] ‘Analytical and experimental studies on mechanical behavior of composites under high  
425 strain rate compressive loading’. G Ravikumar , J R Pothnis , M Joshi , K Akella , S Kumar , N K Naik .  
426 *Materials & Design* 2013. 44 p. .

427 [Johnson et al. ()] ‘Another approach to a hybrid particle-finite element algorithm for highvelocity impact’. G  
428 Johnson , S Beissel , C Gerlach . *International journal of impact engineering* 2011. 38 p. .

429 [Naik and Doshi ()] *Ballistic impact behaviour of thick composites: Parametric studies. Composite structures*, N  
430 K Naik , A V Doshi . 2008. 82 p. .

431 [Findik and Tarim ()] *Ballistic impact efficiency of polymer composites. Composite structures*, F Findik , N Tarim  
432 . 2003. 61 p. .

433 [Hou et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact experiments of metallic sandwich panels with aluminium foam core’. W Hou , F  
434 Zhu , G Lu , D-N Fang . *International journal of impact engineering* 2010. 37 p. .

435 [Tham et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact of a KEVLAR® helmet: Experiment and simulations’. C Y Tham , Vbc Tan ,  
436 H P Lee . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2008. 35 p. .

437 [Übeyli et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact performance of an armor material consisting of alumina and dual phase steel  
438 layers’. M Übeyli , H Deniz , T Demir , B Ögel , B Gürel , Ö Keleş . *Materials & Design* 2011. 32 p. .

439 [Tarim et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact performance of composite structures’. N Tarim , F Findik , H Uzun . *Composite  
440 Structures* 2002. 56 p. .

441 [Nair et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact performance of composite targets’. N S Nair , Cvs Kumar , N K Naik . *Materials  
442 & Design* 2013. 51 p. .

443 [Talib et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact performance of Kevlar-29 and Al 2 O 3 powder/epoxy targets under high velocity  
444 impact’. Ara Talib , L H Abbud , A Ali , F Mustapha . *Materials & Design* 2012. 35 p. .

445 [Bürger et al. ()] ‘Ballistic impact simulation of an armour-piercing projectile on hybrid ceramic/fiber reinforced  
446 composite armours’. D Bürger , De Faria , AR , De Almeida , Sfm , De Melo , Fcl Donadon , MV . *International  
447 Journal of Impact Engineering* 2012. 43 p. .

448 [Liaghat et al. ()] *Ballistic limit evaluation for impact of cylindrical projectiles on honeycomb panels. Thin-Walled  
449 Structures*, G H Liaghat , A A Nia , H R Daghyani , M Sadighi . 2010. 48 p. .

450 [Zee et al. ()] ‘Ballistic response of polymer composites’. R H Zee , C J Wang , A Mount , B Z Jang , C Y Hsieh  
451 . *Polymer composites* 1991. 12 p. .

452 [Maalej et al. ()] ‘Behavior of hybridfiber engineered cementitious composites subjected to dynamic tensile  
453 loading and projectile impact’. M Maalej , S T Quek , J Zhang . *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*  
454 2005. 17 p. .

### 5 III. CONCLUDING REMARKS

---

- 455 [Sheikh et al. ()] ‘Behaviour of multiple composite plates subjected to ballistic impact’. A H Sheikh , P H Bull ,  
456 J A Kepler . *Composites Science and Technology* 2009. 69 p. .
- 457 [Astanin et al. ()] ‘Characterising failure in textile of Composite Structures Subjected to High Velocity Impact’.  
458 V V Astanin , G O Shchegel , W Hufenbach , A Hornig , A Langkamp . *Review* 2014. 2012. 63 p. .
- 459 [Jabbar et al. ()] ‘Comparison of mechanical and ballistic performance of composite laminates produced from  
460 single-layer and double-layer interlocked woven structures’. A Jabbar , Hasan Malik , M Hussain , T Zulifqar  
461 , A Tausif , M . *Polymer Composites* 2014. 35 p. .
- 462 [Kim et al. ()] ‘Composite damage model based on continuum damage mechanics and low velocity impact  
463 analysis of composite plates’. E-H Kim , M-S Rim , I Lee , T-K Hwang . *Composite Structures* 2013. 95  
464 p. .
- 465 [Garcia-Castillo et al. ()] ‘Damage in preloaded glass/vinylester composite panels subjected to high-velocity  
466 impacts’. S K Garcia-Castillo , C Navarro , E Barbero . *Mechanics Research Communications* 2014. 55  
467 p. .
- 468 [Ghosh and Sinha ()] *Dynamic and impact response of damaged laminated composite plates. Aircraft Engineering  
469 and Aerospace Technology*, A Ghosh , P K Sinha . 2004. 76 p. .
- 470 [Tarfaoui et al. ()] ‘Dynamic response and damage modeling of glass/epoxy tubular structures: Numerical  
471 investigation’. M Tarfaoui , P B Gning , L Hamitouche . *Composites Part A: Applied Science and  
472 Manufacturing* 2008. 39 p. .
- 473 [Vaidya and Shafiq] *Dynamic response of navy relevant laminated and sandwich composites subjected to complex  
474 impact loads*, U K Vaidya , B Shafiq . p. .
- 475 [Kumar et al. ()] ‘Effect of impactor parameters and laminate characteristics on impact response and damage in  
476 curved composite laminates’. S Kumar , B N Rao , B Pradhan . *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*  
477 2007. 26 p. .
- 478 [Pol et al. ()] ‘Effect of nanoclay on ballistic behavior of woven fabric composites: Experimental investigation’.  
479 M H Pol , G H Liaghat , F Hajiarazi . *Journal of Composite Materials* 2012. p. 0021998312449768.
- 480 [Pol et al. ()] ‘Effect of nanoclay particles on the ballistic behavior of glass/epoxy composites-experimental  
481 investigation’. M H Pol , G H Liaghat , S Mazdak . *Journal Modares Mechanical Engineering* 2013. 13  
482 p. .
- 483 [Sabet et al. ()] ‘Effect of reinforcement type on high velocity impact response of GRP plates using a sharp tip  
484 projectile’. A Sabet , N Fagih , M H Beheshty . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2011. 38 p. .
- 485 [Wang et al. ()] ‘Energy absorption efficiency of carbon fiber reinforced polymer laminates under high velocity  
486 impact’. B Wang , J Xiong , X Wang , L Ma , G-Q Zhang , L-Z Wu . *Materials & Design* 2013. 50 p. .
- 487 [Li and Zhang ()] ‘Evolution and calibration of a numerical model for modelling of hybrid-fibre ECC panels  
488 under high-velocity impact’. J Li , Y X Zhang . *Composite Structures* 2011. 93 p. .
- 489 [Pernas-Sánchez et al. ()] ‘Experimental analysis of normal and oblique high velocity impacts on carbon/epoxy  
490 tape laminates’. J Pernas-Sánchez , J A Artero-Guerrero , D Varas , J López-Puente . *Composites Part A:  
491 Applied Science and Manufacturing* 2014. 60 p. .
- 492 [Varas et al. ()] ‘Experimental study of CFRP fluid-filled tubes subjected to high velocity impact’. D Varas , R  
493 Zaera , J López-Puente . *Composite Structures* 2011. 93 p. .
- 494 [Combescure et al. ()] ‘Experimental study of high-velocity impact and fracture of ice’. A Combescure , Y Chuzel-  
495 Marmot , J Fabis . *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 2011. 48 p. .
- 496 [Feli and Asgari ()] ‘Finite element simulation of ceramic/composite armor under ballistic impact’. S Feli , M R  
497 Asgari . *Composites Part B: Engineering* 2011. 42 p. .
- 498 [Mishra et al. ()] ‘High Velocity Impact Analysis of Kevlar Composite by MATLAB’. R R Mishra , Anv Kumar  
499 , S Rajesha . *Indian Journal of Advances in Chemical Science* 2014. 2 p. .
- 500 [Sultan et al.] ‘High velocity impact damage analysis for glass epoxy-Laminated plates’. Mth Sultan , S Basri ,  
501 Asm Rafie , F Mustapha , D L Majid , M R Ajir . *Trans Tech Publ* p. .
- 502 [Heimbs et al. ()] ‘High velocity impact on preloaded composite plates’. S Heimbs , T Bergmann , D Schueler ,  
503 N Toso-Pentecôte . *Composite Structures* 2014. 111 p. .
- 504 [Udatha et al. ()] *High velocity impact performance of three-dimensional woven composites. The Journal of Strain  
505 Analysis For Engineering Design*, P Udatha , Cvs Kumar , N S Nair , N K Naik . 2012. p. 0309324712448578.
- 506 [Ramadhan et al. ()] ‘High velocity impact response of Kevlar-29/epoxy and 6061-T6 aluminum laminated  
507 panels’. A A Ramadhan , Ara Talib , Asm Rafie , R Zahari . *Materials & Design* 2013. 43 p. .
- 508 [Heimbs and Bergmann ()] ‘High-velocity impact behaviour of prestressed composite plates under bird strike  
509 loading’. S Heimbs , T Bergmann . *International Journal of Aerospace Engineering* 2012. 2012.

- 510 [García-Castillo et al. ()] ‘Impact behaviour of preloaded glass/polyester woven plates’. S K García-Castillo , S  
511 Sánchez-Sáez , J López-Puente , E Barbero , C Navarro . *Composites Science and Technology* 2009. 69 p. .
- 512 [Ali et al. ()] ‘Impact Resistance of Armor Composite Made of Kevlar29 and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Powder’. A Ali , L H Abbud  
513 , Ara Talib , F Mustapha . *Materials Testing* 2012. 54 p. .
- 514 [Hazell et al. ()] ‘Impact, penetration, and perforation of a bonded carbonfibre-reinforced plastic composite panel  
515 by a highvelocity steel sphere: an experimental study’. P J Hazell , G J Appleby-Thomas , G Kister . *The*  
516 *Journal of Strain Analysis for Engineering Design* 2010. 45 p. .
- 517 [Prakash et al. ()] ‘Influence of adhesive thickness on high velocity impact performance of ceramic/metal  
518 composite targets’. A Prakash , J Rajasankar , N Anandavalli , M Verma , N R Iyer . *International Journal*  
519 *of Adhesion and Adhesives* 2013. 41 p. .
- 520 [García-Castillo et al. ()] ‘Influence of areal density on the energy absorbed by thin composite plates subjected  
521 to high-velocity impacts’. S K García-Castillo , S Sánchez-Sáez , E Barbero . *The Journal of Strain Analysis*  
522 *for Engineering Design* 2012. p. 0309324712454996.
- 523 [Lahuerta et al.] ‘Measuring the delamination length in static and fatigue mode I tests using video image  
524 processing’. F Lahuerta , T Westphal , Rpl Nijssen , F P Van Der Meer , L J Sluys . *Composites Part*  
525 *B: Engineering*
- 526 [Kaw ()] *Mechanics of composite materials*, A K Kaw . 2005. CRC press.
- 527 [Fatt et al. ()] *Modeling Blast and High-Velocity Impact of Composite Sandwich Panels. Major Accomplishments*  
528 *in Composite Materials and Sandwich Structures*, Msh Fatt , L Palla , D Sirivolu . 2010. Springer. p. .
- 529 [Schueler et al. ()] *Modeling of High Velocity Impact on Preloaded Composite Panels*, D Schueler , N Toso-  
530 Pentecôte , H Voggenreiter . 2011.
- 531 [Abrate ()] *Modeling of impacts on composite structures. Composite structures*, S Abrate . 2001. 51 p. .
- 532 [Wisnom ()] ‘Modelling discrete failures in composites with interface elements’. M Wisnom . *Composites Part A:*  
533 *Applied Science and Manufacturing* 2010. 41 p. .
- 534 [Buitrago et al. ()] *Modelling of composite sandwich structures with honeycomb core subjected to highvelocity*  
535 *impact. Composite structures*, B L Buitrago , C Santiuste , S Sánchez-Sáez , E Barbero , C Navarro . 2010.  
536 92 p. .
- 537 [García-Castillo et al. ()] ‘Nondimensional analysis of ballistic impact on thin woven laminate plates’. S K García-  
538 Castillo , S Sánchez-Sáez , E Barbero . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2012. 39 p. .
- 539 [Pernas-Sánchez et al. ()] ‘Numerical analysis of high velocity impacts on unidirectional laminates’. J Pernas-  
540 Sánchez , J A Artero-Guerrero , J Z Viñuela , D Varas , J López-Puente . *Composite Structures* 2014. 107 p.  
541 .
- 542 [Ivañez et al. ()] *Numerical modelling of foam-cored sandwich plates under high-velocity impact. Composite*  
543 *structures*, I Ivañez , C Santiuste , E Barbero , S Sánchez-Sáez . 2011. 93 p. .
- 544 [Park et al. ()] ‘Numerical simulation and empirical comparison of the high velocity impact of STF impregnated  
545 Kevlar fabric using friction effects’. Y Park , Y Kim , A H Baluch , C-G Kim . *Composite Structures* 2015.  
546 125 p. .
- 547 [Silva et al. ()] ‘Numerical simulation of ballistic impact on composite laminates’. Mag Silva , C Cisma?iu , C G  
548 Chiorean . *International Journal of Impact Engineering* 2005. 31 p. .
- 549 [Zhao and Cho ()] ‘On impact damage of composite shells by a low-velocity projectile’. G Zhao , C Cho . *Journal*  
550 *of composite materials* 2004. 38 p. .
- 551 [Zhang and Li ()] ‘On the comparison of the ballistic performance of 10% zirconia toughened alumina and 95%  
552 alumina ceramic target’. X F Zhang , Y C Li . *Materials & Design* 2010. 31 p. .
- 553 [Patel et al. ()] ‘Penetration of projectiles in composite laminates’. B P Patel , S K Bhola , M Ganapathi , D P  
554 Makhecha . *Defence Science Journal* 2004. 54 p. .
- 555 [García-Castillo et al. ()] *Perforation of Composite Laminate Subjected to Dynamic Loads. Dynamic Failure of*  
556 *Composite and Sandwich Structures*, S K García-Castillo , S Sánchez-Sáez , C Santiuste , C Navarro , E  
557 Barbero . 2013. Springer. p. .
- 558 [Hosur et al. ()] ‘Performance of stitched/unstitched woven carbon/epoxy composites under high velocity impact  
559 loading’. M V Hosur , U K Vaidya , C Ulven , S Jeelani . *Composite Structures* 2004. 64 p. .
- 560 [Mohotti et al. ()] ‘Polyurea coated composite aluminium plates subjected to high velocity projectile impact’. D  
561 Mohotti , T Ngo , P Mendis , S N Raman . *Materials & Design* 2013. 52 p. .
- 562 [García-Castillo et al.] *Response of pre-loaded laminate composite plates subject to high velocity impact*, S K  
563 García-Castillo , S Sánchez-Sáez , E Barbero , C Navarro . EDP sciences. p. .
- 564 [Wan et al. ()] ‘Shielding performances of the designed hybrid laminates impacted by hypervelocity flyer’. H Wan  
565 , S Bai , S Li , J Mo , S Zhao , Z Song . *Materials & Design* 2013. 52 p. .

## 5 III. CONCLUDING REMARKS

---

- 566 [Sastry et al. ()] ‘Studies on ballistic impact of the composite panels’. Ybs Sastry , P R Budarapu , Y Krishna ,  
567 S Devaraj . *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics* 2014. 72 p. .
- 568 [Zhou et al. ()] ‘The perforation resistance of sandwich structures subjected to low velocity projectile impact  
569 loading’. J Zhou , Z W Guan , W J Cantwell . *Aeronautical Journal* 2012. 116 p. 1247.
- 570 [Poe and Jackson ()] ‘The use of impact force as a scale parameter for the impact response of composite  
571 laminates’. C Poe , W C Jackson . *Technology and Research* 1993. 15 p. . (Journal of Composites)