

A Comparison of Figure of Merit for Some Common Thermocouples in the High Temperature Range

S.S. Verma¹

¹ Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal

Received: 16 December 2012 Accepted: 4 January 2013 Published: 15 January 2013

Abstract

Figure of merit along with low cost and easy availability are considered the important parameters of a thermoelectric material for its suitability and efficiency. This paper reports figure of merit for some common thermocouples as a function of thermal and electrical conductivity of thermoelectric materials in the high temperature range. The five different thermocouples are studied in normal mode (without any applied electric or magnetic field) for the generation of thermo emf and then the figure of merit is calculated. Finally, theoretical and experimental results are compared in the temperature range from 300-630 K.

Index terms— thermocouple, thermo emf, thermal conductivity, electrical conductivity and figure of merit.

1 Introduction

thermoelectricity is a well known phenomenon to generate thermo emf by the thermoelectric materials. This phenomenon is based on the temperature gradient of the junctions a thermocouple. This technology is becoming famous due to a large number of thermocouple devices, which are able to generate energy in the considerable means and are also advantageous due to their pollution free nature, no moving parts and no complex designs. Applications range from house warmer systems to the advanced solar cell technologies [1][2].

Present days are the days of energy crises due to a much consumption of energy in all the fields with low efficient devices. Thermoelectricity can play a meaningful role to contribute towards energy crisis all along with renewable energy sources. This technique can easily generate the thermo emf in the considerable means with the advent of common thermocouples. The common thermoelectric materials like Cu, Fe, Al and Nichrome are very advantageous due to their low cost and easy availability [3][4].

2 Authors

: Department of Physics, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal, India. E-mail : ssverma@fastmail.fm difference of the two junctions of the thermocouple is given by T. This has been observed that more is the figure of merit of a thermo electric material more is its efficiency and vice-versa. This is also clear from the relation that to enhance the figure of merit, the thermal conductivity should be minimum and the electrical conductivity should be maximum to the possible. Numbers of researchers working in the area of thermoelectric are oriented to improve figure of merit [5][6][7].

Normal mode of our study is the investigation of thermo emf generation under the conditions, i.e. without outside application of electric or magnetic fields [8][9]. We selected five thermocouples Cu-Fe, Fe-Nichrome, Constantan-Nichrome, Fe-constantan and Cu-Nichrome for present investigations on the basis of their less cost and easy availability. These thermocouples are investigated for the generation of thermo emf in wide temperature range from the room temp (300K) to 630K. The figure of merit for the thermocouples is calculated from the experimental data which is then compared with the calculated theoretical values.

The standard equations of thermoelectricity are used for the calculations of present theoretical values. The figure of merit is one of the most important term to describe the performance of the thermoelectric materials.

5 CONCLUSIONS

43 This is the dimensionless quantity given by $ZT = \frac{E}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k}$ where E , σ and k are the Seebeck constant, electrical conductivity
44 and thermal conductivity of the thermoelectric material respectively. The temperature equation (1) for pure
45 materials [10]. The X-ray diffraction peaks for elemental analysis of all four materials given in Figure 1 (a, b, c
46 & d) show the presence of only major component of the main material and thus materials are taken near to be
47 pure. The values of all theoretical parameters take and thus calculated are given below in Tables 1 and 2: (2)

48 Here E is the thermo emf in mV and S , are the Seebeck constants. The thermo power i.e. the rate of change
49 of thermo emf w.r.t. time is given by: $\frac{dE}{dt} = S \frac{dT}{dt}$ from (2) but is very small so $\frac{dE}{dt} \approx S \frac{dT}{dt}$ and for the two thermoelectric
50 materials (a thermocouple) can be replaced by and by squaring we can write: (3)

51 Thus, the figure of merit is given by: $ZT = \frac{E^2}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k}$

52 We know that: (5)

53 Here A and L are the area of crosssection and length of the thermoelectric material respectively. Then from (3), (4)
54 and (5), the figure of merit is given as: $ZT = \frac{E^2}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k} = \frac{E^2}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k} = \frac{E^2}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k}$ Putting $E = K \Delta T$ then ZT becomes as [10]: $ZT = \frac{K^2 \Delta T^2}{T} \frac{\sigma}{k}$ (7) As the
55 final resistance of the thermocouple is a parallel combination of individual resistances, so R (of thermocouple)
56 can be calculated as: $R = \frac{L}{\sigma A}$ (8)

57 Taking the value of K as: $K = \frac{dE}{dT}$ (9)

58 By using the values of K (Thermal Conductivity) and R (resistance) from equations (9) and (10) we
59 calculate the figure of merit of equation (7). This is to note here that the value of E is extracted from the slope of
60 graph between thermo emf (E) and the temperature gradient (T) for the individual thermocouples. The equation
61 for a linear fit of a graph is, $y = A+Bx$ and then the slope is B but our graphs are between thermo emf (E) and
62 the temperature difference (T) so (a constant numerical value i.e. Seebeck Constant). Hence we can take Area
63 of Cross-Section (m^2) 1.51×10^{-6} 9.5×10^{-7} 1.112×10^{-6} 9.7×10^{-7}

64 3.

65 Length (m) 48×10^{-2} 48×10^{-2} 48×10^{-2} 48×10^{-2} 4.

66 Resistivity (Ohm-m) 6×10^{-6} 1.4×10^{-6} 1.2×10^{-6} 3.41×10^{-6} 5.

67 Electrical Conductivity ($S m^{-1}$)

68 1.67×10^6 7.143×10^5 8.33×10^5 2.933×10^5 III.

69 3 Experimental Setup

70 The four thermoelectric materials i.e., Cu, Fe, Constantan and Nichrome were used to fabricate five thermocouples
71 of each wire of 48cm length. The basics of the experimental set up used in present studies stand same as
72 in our earlier research [11] though with suitable modifications. A hot and cold temperature arrangement for
73 thermocouple junctions was made with a wooden stand. An electric furnace was used to heat the junction and
74 fresh water from tap with an arrangement was used to maintain the room temperature of the cold junction. The
75 HP 34401 digital multi-meter was used to measure the thermo emf and resistance of the wires [11].

76 IV.

77 4 Results and Discussion

78 Experimentally measured thermo emf as a function of temperature difference between hot and cold junctions
79 for all five thermocouples is shown in Figure 2. Going through the performance comparison of different
80 thermocouples from Figure 2, it is clear that for similar experimental conditions Fe-constantan thermocouple
81 gives the maximum thermo emf generation where as Cu-Fe gives the least values. Thermo emf generation for Fe-
82 constantan thermocouple increases to the highest temperature difference upto 3000C giving values to the range of
83 7mV. From the above discussed theoretical and experimental calculations for Z , we obtained the theoretical and
84 experimental values of dimensionless parameter ZT i.e. the figure of merit for all five thermocouples as a function
85 of temperature difference, T in Kelvin of thermocouple junctions. A comparison of theoretical and experimentally
86 calculated values of ZT with the temperature difference, T for each of the thermocouple was done and is shown
87 in Figure 3 (a, b, c, d & e). The comparison shows that there is a perfect matching between the theoretical and
88 experimental values of figure of merit for the Fe-Constantan thermocouple, which not only confirms the accuracy
89 of present measurements but also indicate the worthiness of this thermocouple for better performance in its uses
90 in thermoelectrics. Theoretical vs experimental comparison also comes better for Fe-Nichrome and Constantan-
91 Nichrome thermocouples but there was a significant difference between the values for other two i.e., Cu-Fe and
92 Cu-Nichrome thermocouples. The wide difference between theoretical and experimental values may be assigned to
93 low levels of thermoemf generation in these thermocouples due their strong dependence on physical & chemical
94 properties and operating parameters of thermocouple materials. Comparison of results directly indicates the
95 superiority of Fe-Constantan thermocouple over other four thermocouples for their use in thermoelectrics.

96 V.

97 5 Conclusions

98 This paper concludes that: Fe-Constantan shows a better thermocouple combination to match for their
99 experimental and in the theoretical values of thermo emf generation. Poor matching of theoretical with
100 experimental findings for thermocouples of Fe-Nichrome and Constantan-Nichrome indicates the uncertainty
101 in the experimental behavior of Nichrome, significant contribution from its components or high inaccuracy in the

102 values of its physical properties. More gaps between matching of theoretical and experiment values of thermo emf
103 for Copper-Nichrome and Copper-Iron thermocouples again show the uncertainty in the experimental behavior of
104 Nichrome, significant contribution from its components or high inaccuracy in the values of its physical properties.
105 Influence of thermoelectric nature or properties of different thermocouple materials hence, indicates towards their
performance in their thermoelectric applications. ¹



Figure 1:

$1\alpha_a$

Figure 2: Figure 1 :

$2\alpha_b$

Figure 3: Figure 2 :

106

3 °C

Figure 4: Figure 3

1

Sr. No.	Parameter	Copper	Iron	Constantan	Nichrome
1.	Thermal Conductivity (Wm ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	401	80.4	19.5	11.3
2.	Seebeck Constant (V/0 C)	6.5	19	-35	25
3.	Resistivity (Ohm-m)	1.678x10 ⁻⁸	9.6x10 ⁻⁸	5x10 ⁻⁷	1.5x10 ⁻⁶
4.	Electrical Conductivity (S m ⁻¹)	5.96x10 ⁷	1.041x10 ⁷	2x10 ⁷	6.67x10 ⁵

Figure 5: Table 1 :

2

Year
2
XIII Issue XI
Version I
()

Sr. No.	Parameter	Cu-Fe	Fe- Nichrome	Cu- Nichrome	Fe- Constantan	Constantan- Nichrome
1.	Seebeck Constant (V/0 C)	1.3x10 ⁻⁵	6x10 ⁻⁶	1.9x10 ⁻⁵	5.4x10 ⁻⁵	6x10 ⁻⁵
2.	Figure of merit , Z (0 C ⁻¹)	1.2x10 ⁻⁸	1.3x10 ⁻⁷	3.2x10 ⁻⁸	1.22x10 ⁻⁵	2.95x10 ⁻⁵

Figure 6: Table 2 :

3

Parameter	Cu-Fe	Fe- Nichrome	Cu- Nichrome	Fe- Constantan	Constantan- Nichrome
1. = (v/ /)	4.2x10 ⁻⁷	1.32x10 ⁻⁶	1.25x10 ⁻⁶	1.955x10 ⁻⁵	1.074x10 ⁻⁵
2. Z = (dE/dT) 2 / RK (0 C ⁻¹)	1.7x10 ⁻¹⁰	3.8x10 ⁻⁸	1.3x10 ⁻⁹	1.233x10 ⁻⁵	8.5x10 ⁻⁶

[Note: Calculations of Seebeck Constant () and Figure of Merit (Z) for different thermocouples Sr. No.]

Figure 7: Table 3 :

4

Sr. No.	Parameter	Copper	Iron	Constantan	Nichrome
1.	Resistance (Ohm)	0.1918	0.7062	0.5174	1.6874
2.					

Figure 8: Table 4 :

-
- 107 [Qiu and Hayden ()] ‘Development of a thermoelectric self powered residential heating system’. K Qiu , A C S
108 Hayden . *Journal of Power Sources* 2008. 180 p. .
- 109 [Singh and Verma ()] ‘Effect of magnetic and electric field dynamics on copper-iron thermocouple performance’.
110 Jaspal Singh , S Verma . *Asian Journal of Chemistry* 2009. 21 p. .
- 111 [Kumar et al.] *Performance comparison of some common XIII Issue XI Version*, Vinod Kumar , Jaspal Singh ,
112 S Verma . (I 11)
- 113 [Semiconductors ()] Semimetals Semiconductors . *Recent Trends in Thermoelectric Materials Research: Part*
114 *Three (Semiconductors and Semimetals by Terry M. Tritt, 2000. Academic Press. 71.*
- 115 [Gravier ()] ‘Spin-dependent thermopower in Co/Cu multilayer nanowires’. L Gravier . *Journal of Magnetism*
116 *and Magnetic Materials* 2004. 271 p. .
- 117 [Schlosser and Munnings ()] ‘The effect of a magnetic field on a copper-constantan thermocouple at low
118 temperature’. F Schlosser , R H Munnings . *Cryogenics* 1972. 12 p. .
- 119 [Bhandari and Rowe ()] ‘Theoretical analysis of the thermoelectric figure of merit’. C M Bhandari , D M Rowe
120 . *Energy Conversion and Management* 1980. 20 p. .
- 121 [Yu and Vorobiev ()] ‘Thermal-Photovoltaic solar hybrid system for efficient solar energy conversion’. Yu ,
122 Vorobiev . *Solar Energy* 2006. 80 p. .
- 123 [Mingo ()] ‘Thermoelectric figure of merit and maximum power factor in III-V semiconductor nanowires’. N
124 Mingo . *Appl. Phys. Lett* 2004. 84 p. .
- 125 [Rodriguez and Lopez ()] ‘Thermoelectric figure of merit of oxygen -deficient YBCO perovskites’. J E Rodriguez
126 , J Lopez . *Physica B* 2007. 387 p. .
- 127 [Chandler and Lilley ()] ‘Three-wire iron/copper/constantan linear thermocouple’. P J Chandler , Lilley . *Journal*
128 *of Physics E: Scientific Instruments* 1981. 14 p. .
- 129 [XIII Issue XI Version I] *XIII Issue XI Version I,*